ARKANSAS STAT	
UNIVERSIT	Y

Arkansas State University Beebe Performance Evaluation For Classified Employees

This performance evaluation instrument will be used as a communications tool to assist in professional development and in Human Resources decisions. EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FORM

PART I – RATED EMPLOYEE IDENTIFICATION										
Name (Last, First, MI)		Department								
Position Title	Class Code	Position Number								
PART II – RATER EMPLOYEE IDENTIFICATION										
Name of Rater/Supervisor ⁱ (Last, First, MI)		Position Title								
PART III – REVIEWING OFFICIAL EMPLOYEE IDENTIFICATION										
Name of Reviewing Official (Last, First, MI)	Telephone Number	Position Title								
PART IV – PERFORMANCE STANDARDS										
Duty Area:										
Standard:										
Results:										
Comments:										
Exceeds Standard 📃 Above Average	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory								
Duty Area:										
Standard:										
Results:										
Comments:										
Exceeds Standard Above Average	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory								
Duty Area:										
Standard:										
Results:										
Comments:										
Exceeds Standard Above Average	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory								
Duty Area:										
Standard:										

Results:"						
Comments:						
Exceeds Standard		Above Average	Satisfactory		Unsatisfactory	
Duty Area:			<u> </u>			
Standard:						
Results:						
Comments:						
Exceeds Standard		Above Average	Satisfactory		Unsatisfactory	
		PART V – OVI	ERALL RATING			
<u>Overall Rating</u> – It is understood to rating during this period. The overall r	hat an <u>Un</u> r ating rec	<u>satisfactory</u> in any abo eived is determined	ove fields precludes aw at the discretion of th	arding an <u>E</u> e rating off	<u>xceeds Standard</u> o ïcial.	r <u>Above Average</u>
Exceeds Standard		Above Average	Satisfactory		Unsatisfactory	
Rating Period Beginning Date:			Rating Period Ending	Date:		
By signing below the employee concur indicate that he or she agrees with the Employee's Signature: By signing below the supervisor certific official.	evaluatio	on. Comments concer	ning performance may	be submitte	d on a separate sh	eet.
Rater's Signature:			Date:			
Reviewing Official's Signature:	ring Official's Signature: Date:					
Additional Comments:						

ⁱUpdated 3/10/2010