FORMS AND SAMPLE MEMOS

SAMPLE DECLINE LETTER1
SMITH AFFIDAVIT FORM 1
SMITH AFFIDAVIT FORM 2
WAIVER OF EXTRADITION
AUTHORIZATION FOR RELEASE OF MEDICAL INFORMATION
MEDICAL RECORDS DISCLOSURE REQUEST TO HOSPITAL UNDER RCW 70.02.060
MEDICAL RECORDS DISCLOSURE REQUEST TO VICTIM UNDER RCW 70.02.060
CHARGING INFORMATION FOR VIOLATIONS OF FOREIGN PROTECTION ORDERS
MOTION AND DECLARATION FOR A WARRANT TO APPREHEND AND DETAIN A MATERIAL WITNESS
ORDER FOR ISSUANCE OF A WARRANT OF ARREST TO APPREHEND AND DETAIN MATERIAL WITNESS
WARRANT OF ARREST FOR THE APPREHENSION AND DETENTION OF MATERIAL WITNESS
PLAINTIFF'S MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF THE ADMISSION OF A 911 TAPE 13
ADMISSION OF A 911 TAPE - DIRECT EXAMINATION OF A TECHNICIAN WHO COPIED THE TAPE
STATE'S MOTION TO VOID NUNC PRO TUNC TERMINATION OF ORDER OF PROTECTION
PLAINTIFF'S MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF THE ADMISSION OF A BATTERED WOMAN SYNDROME EXPERT WITNESS
JURY INSTRUCTIONS AND SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE: FAMILY OR HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS
PRE-TRIAL DIVERSION AGREEMENT

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO REVOKE PRE-TRIAL DIVERSION AGREEMENT
NOTICE OF INELIGIBILITY TO POSSESS FIREARM
FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW FOR EXCEPTIONAL SENTENCE
ORDER OF RELEASE PENDING APPEAL (Superior Court)
ORDER OF RELEASE PENDING APPEAL (District Court)

SAMPLE DECLINE LETTER

June 22, 1999

Ms. Jane Doe First Street Hometown, WA 98000

RE: State/City v. John Doe, Case No. 3443

Dear Ms. Doe:

This letter is to inform you that the Prosecutor's Office has made a decision not to file criminal charges in the above-noted matter. This decision was made carefully and in accordance with office policy and charging guidelines. A decision not to file charges does not mean we concluded that no crime was committed. Accordingly, it would be inappropriate for this letter, or the fact of the decline, to be used in any other court proceeding to suggest or imply that this office has made a determination that these allegations are unfounded. The prosecutor must consider many factors in making a decision as to whether to file criminal charges.

If you have any questions about how this decision was reached or the factors considered, please call me at 123/456-7890.

Very truly yours,

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

SMITH AFFIDAVIT FORM 1

SWORN STATEMENT

Full Name of Declarant:	
Date of Birth:	Address:
Home Phone:	Work Phone:
Date of Incident:	Date of Statement:
Time Statement Commenced:	Time Statement Completed:
Location where statement made:	
Place:	
Signature of Declarant:	

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington, that the following is true and correct:

Check the box that applies to this statement:

- \Box 1. I wrote this statement in my own handwriting.
- \Box 2. I orally provided the officer with this statement and the officer wrote down what I said.
- □ 3. I orally provided the officer with this statement and the officer made a tape recording of what I said. I gave the officer permission to tape-record the statement.

I have read, or have had read to me, each page of this statement which consists of ______ pages. I have signed each page of the statement and placed my initials next to any corrections I have made. I understand that this statement may be used in a court of law and may be used by a judge in determining the existence of probable cause for any charges that may be filed as a result of the described incident. This statement is truthful and accurate; and was made voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, without any threats or promises of any kind.

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

DATED this ______ day of ______, 19____.

Signature of Declarant

Signature of Witness to Statement

SMITH AFFIDAVIT FORM 2

STATE OF WASHINGTON)

: ss.

COUNTY)

BEING FIRST DULY SWORN ON OATH, DEPOSES AND SAYS:

That I am the person who gave the attached statement of _____ pages, dated ______. I have read the attached statement or it has been read to me and I know the contents of the statement. I believe that the statement is a true and accurate statement of the facts of this case. The attached statement is, by this reference, incorporated and made a part of this affidavit.

I further understand that this affidavit is made under oath and truthfully reflects all the facts within my knowledge. I also understand that this affidavit may be used in a court of law.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.

I further acknowledge that giving a false statement which affects a criminal proceeding is the crime of false swearing, a gross misdemeanor, and that I may be charged with that crime if I give a materially false statement.

Signed at:

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this _____ day of _____, ____

NOTARY PUBLIC in and for the State of Washington residing at ______. My commission expires: ______. IN THE _____ COURT FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

STATE OF WASHINGTON,			
Plaintiff,			
VS,			

Defendant.

NO.

WAIVER OF EXTRADITION

, having first been properly charged with a crime or having The defendant, been convicted of a crime in the above-entitled cause number, agrees that in consideration of being granted release prior to trial and/or release prior to sentencing and/or granted a furlough from custody and/or granted permission to leave the State of Washington and/or granted release pending appeal, hereby agrees to waive extradition to the State of Washington from any state or territory of the United States or from the District of Columbia or from any other point outside of the State of Washington should it be the case that I am found outside of the State of Washington and am subject to return to stand trial in this matter or to serve a sentence imposed in this matter or to address any alleged violations of any conditions of the probation and/or sentence imposed in this matter. I also agree that I will not contest any effort to return me to the State of Washington. I make this waiver of extradition freely, voluntarily and without compulsion. No one has threatened harm of any kind to me or any other person to cause me to make this waiver. No person has made promises of any kind to cause me to make this waiver, except as set forth in this agreement. I have been informed and fully understand that by waiving extradition, I am waiving the following rights: (a) the right to issuance and service of a warrant of extradition; (b) the right to obtain a writ of habeas corpus under RCW 10.88.290 or another state's version of the Uniform Criminal Extradition Act; (c) the right to counsel with respect to extradition proceedings; and (d) the opportunity to petition the executive of the asylum state for relief from extradition. I have also been informed and fully understand that once I sign this agreement, the waiver of extradition is irrevocable.

Attorney for Defendant WSBA No. Defendant

The foregoing waiver was signed by the defendant in open court in the presence of the defendant's lawyer(s) and the undersigned judge. The defendant asserted that he had previously read the waiver. I find that the defendant's decision to waive extradition to be knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily made.

DATED this ______ day of ______, 19 _____.

JUDGE

AUTHORIZATION FOR RELEASE OF MEDICAL INFORMATION

TO:

Patient's Full Name:

Date of Birth:

If Patient is a child, name of parent:

Street Address:

City, State and ZIP code:

Home Phone:

Work Phone:

For the purpose of potential legal proceedings in which the Kitsap County Prosecuting Attorney's Office is a party, I hereby authorize that all medical information from the following date(s) of service, be released and furnished to:

Kitsap County Prosecuting Attorney Attn: 614 Division Street, MS 35 Port Orchard, WA 98366

The term "medical information" as it relates to this authorization includes any and all medical information, opinions, insurance forms, physicals, operative reports, laboratory reports, x-rays (or copies) and x-ray reports, emergency room reports, and pathology reports.

I also specifically consent to disclose in conjunction with this release authorization, any and all drug and alcohol information that is protected by federal and state law. I also specifically consent to disclose in conjunction with this release authorization, any and all mental health information that is protected by federal and state law. I also specifically consent to disclose in conjunction with this release authorization, any and all mental health information that is protected by federal and state law. I also specifically consent to disclose in conjunction with this release authorization, any and all information regarding sexually transmitted diseases or HIV/AIDS information that is protected by federal and state law.

I specifically consent to transmission of my medical records via facsimile (fax) machine if requested and that a photocopy of this release shall have the same effect as the original.

Signature

Date

MEDICAL RECORDS DISCLOSURE REQUEST TO HOSPITAL UNDER RCW 70.02.060

June 22, 1999

	Hospital				
Medie	cal Records Section				
Wellr	ness Boulevard				
Home	Hometown, WA 98000				
RE:	Medical Records for:		; DOB		
	Date of Visit:				
	City/State v.	, Case No.			
	Trial Date/Time:	/	am/pm		
	Trial Location:				

Dear Sir or Madam:

This letter is to advise you that under RCW 70.02.060, the Prosecutor's Office is requesting the medical records for the above-named patient/victim who was seen at your facility on the date noted. A subpoena duces tecum which requires production of the records will be served shortly after receipt of this letter.

Under the state law, the patient and/or the facility must petition for a protective order in court within 14 days of receipt of this letter to prevent release of the medical records. Enclosed is a copy of our letter to the patient.

Please call me if you have any questions. Thank you for your assistance with this matter.

Very truly yours,

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

enclosure: Copy of Letter to Patient/Victim

MEDICAL RECORDS DISCLOSURE REQUEST TO VICTIM UNDER RCW 70.02.060

June 28, 1999

RE: Medical Facility: Date of Visit: City/State v. Trial Date/Time: Trial Location:

, Case No.

am/pm

Dear Sir or Madam:

This letter is to advise you that under RCW 70.02.060, the Prosecutor's Office is requesting your medical records from the above-named medical facility in order to prosecute its case.

Under the state law, the patient and/or the facility must petition for a protective order in court within 14 days of receipt of this letter to prevent release of the medical records. If you or the medical facility do not obtain a court order within 14 days of receipt of this letter, the health care provider will release your records.

Please call me if you have any questions. Thank you for your assistance with this matter.

Very truly yours,

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

CHARGING INFORMATION FOR VIOLATIONS OF FOREIGN PROTECTION ORDERS

First or Second Violation of Protection Order

On or about the _____ day of _____, ___, in the County of _____, State of Washington, the above-named Defendant, with knowledge that the (*name of court, i.e. Oregon Superior*) Court had previously issued a foreign protection order pursuant to (state or tribal, i.e. Oregon State) law in Cause No. , did violate said order by violating the restraint provisions therein, and/or by violating

a provision excluding him or her from a residence, a workplace, a school or a daycare, and/or by violating a provision of the order for which a violation is specifically indicated to be a crime; contrary to Laws of 1999, ch. 184, §9.

(Maximum Penalty For First or Second Offense-One (1) year in jail or \$5,000 fine, or both, pursuant to Laws of 1999, ch. 184, §9(1) and RCW 9.92.020, plus restitution, assessments and court costs.)

Third or Subsequent Violation of a Protection Order

On or about the ______ day of ______, ____, in the County of ______, State of Washington, the above-named Defendant, with knowledge that the <u>(name of court, i.e. Oregon Superior)</u> Court had previously issued a foreign protection order pursuant to <u>(state or tribal, i.e. Oregon State)</u> law in Cause No. _______, did violate said order by violating the restraint provisions therein, and/or by violating a provision excluding him or her from a residence, a workplace, a school or a daycare, and/or by violating a provision of the order for which a violation is specifically indicated to be a crime; and furthermore, the defendant has at least two prior convictions for violating the provisions of a no-contact order issued under Chapter 26.09,26.10, 26.26, or 26.50 RCW, or any federal or out-of-state order that is comparable to a no-contact order or protection order that is issued under Washington law; contrary to Cause of 1999, ch. 184, §9.

(Maximum Penalty -- five (5) years imprisonment and/or a \$10,000 fine pursuant to Laws of 1999, ch. 184, § 9(4) and 9A.20.021(1)(c), plus restitution and assessments.)

Assault in Violation of Foreign Protection Order

On or about the ______ day of ______, ____, in the County of ______, State of Washington, the above-named Defendant, with knowledge that the <u>(name of court, i.e. Oregon Superior)</u> Court had previously issued a foreign protection order pursuant to <u>(state or tribal, i.e. Oregon State)</u> law in Cause No. _______, did violate said order by violating the restraint provisions therein, and/or by violating a provision excluding him or her from a residence, a workplace, a school or a daycare, and/or by violating a provision of the order for which a violation is specifically indicated to be a crime; and furthermore did intentionally assault another and/or engaged in conduct that was reckless and created a substantial risk of death or serious physical injury to another, to wit: <u>(name of victim)</u>; contrary to the contrary to contrary to Laws of 1999, ch. 184, §9.

(Maximum Penalty -- five (5) years imprisonment and/or a \$10,000 fine pursuant to Laws of 1999, ch. 184, § 9(3) and 9A.20.021(1)(c), plus restitution and assessments.)

IN THE

COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON FOR

COUNTY

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

-vs-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

NO.

MOTION AND DECLARATION FOR A WARRANT TO APPREHEND AND DETAIN A MATERIAL WITNESS

COMES NOW the STATE OF WASHINGTON, by and through _____ Deputy Prosecuting Attorney, and moves the Court for a material witness warrant of arrest pursuant to CrR 4.10 for Jane Doe to attend a hearing to determine whether the witness's testimony is material. This motion is based on the record and file in this cause, and upon the declaration below.

> WSBA Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington pursuant to RCW 9A.72.085 that the following is true and correct.

I, _____, am a duly appointed, qualified and acting Deputy Prosecuting Attorney for _____ County, and declare I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth above and that I am competent to testify of the matters stated herein.

The State believes the witness's testimony to be material and that it may become impracticable to secure the presence of the witness by subpoena for the following reasons:

DATED:

, at _____, Washington.

WSBA Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

STATE OF WASH	IINGTON.	
	Plaintiff,	NO.
-VS-	,	
	Defendant.	ORDER FOR ISSUANCE OF A WARRANT OF ARREST TO APPREHEND AND DETAIN MATERIAL WITNESS
THIS MATT	ER having come before the	e Court pursuant to the State's motion; the Court havin
considered the motio	on and declaration for an or	der for issuance of a warrant of arrest to apprehend an
detain material with	ess,, the record	ds and files in this cause, and the statements of counse
is hereby		
ORDERED t	that a warrant shall issue fo	r the material witness,, arrest, as
probable cause exist	s for such arrest.	
IT IS FURTH	HER ORDERED that upon	apprehension, the material witness shall be held in the
(jail)	, and be brought befo	re this Court as soon as possible for determination of
testimony materiality	y, and deposition thereof, a	nd bail.
DONE IN O	PEN COURT this da	y of, 2008.
		JUDGE
PRESENTED BY:		J U D G E APPROVED FOR ENTRY:

IN THE	COURT OF THE STAT	E OF WASHINGTON FOR	COUNTY
STATE OF WASH	INGTON,		
	Plaintiff,	NO.	
-VS-	Defendant.	WARRANT OF ARRE APPREHENSION ANI OF MATERIAL WITN	D DETENTION
TO: The Sheriff of	f County—Gree	eting:	
on the day of County of YOU ARE T Doe_ and bring her be	,, in the _ ; HEREFORE commanded for	Material Witness Court of the State of W thwith to arrest the above-named stimony in the above-entitled caus	ashington for the material witness <u>Jane</u>
Count has a diaumad	for the acceler that you doling	on hon to the oustedy of the Isilan	of the Country of
Court has adjourned	for the session, that you deliv	rer her to the custody of the Jailer	of the County of
By order of th WITNESS th	ne Court. e Honorable	_, Judge of the said	
By order of th WITNESS th	ne Court.	_, Judge of the said	
By order of th WITNESS th	ne Court. e Honorable	_, Judge of the said	
By order of th WITNESS th	ne Court. e Honorable	, Judge of the said, 2008. County Clerk and Clerk of the	_ Court, and the seal
By order of th WITNESS th	ne Court. e Honorable	, Judge of the said , 2008.	_ Court, and the seal
By order of the WITNESS the of said Court affixed Bail fixed at \$ The State hav IT IS THE OI jurisdiction of telegram or teletype t	this day of ring presented probable cause RDER of this Court that if sai County, State of Washi to police officers outside the j	, Judge of the said, 2008. County Clerk and Clerk of the	_ Court, and the seal Court oe; ted within the n material witness by
By order of the WITNESS the of said Court affixed Bail fixed at \$ The State hav IT IS THE OI jurisdiction of	this day of ring presented probable cause RDER of this Court that if sai County, State of Washi to police officers outside the j	, Judge of the said, 2008. County Clerk and Clerk of the By Deputy to arrest material witness Jane D id material witness cannot be loca ngton, service of this warrant upo jurisdiction of said County, pursu	_ Court, and the seal Court oe; ted within the n material witness by
By order of the WITNESS the of said Court affixed Bail fixed at \$ The State hav IT IS THE OI jurisdiction of	ring presented probable cause RDER of this Court that if sai County, State of Washi to police officers outside the j authorized.	, Judge of the said, 2008. County Clerk and Clerk of the By Deputy to arrest material witness Jane D id material witness cannot be loca ngton, service of this warrant upo jurisdiction of said County, pursu	_ Court, and the seal Court oe; ted within the n material witness by

I

I

IN THE FOR	DISTRICT/MUNICIPAL COURT COUNTY, STATE OF WASHINGTON	
STATE OF WASHINGTON,		
Plaintiff,	NO.	
-VS-	PLAINTIFF'S MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF THE ADMISSION OF A 911 TAPE	
Defendant.		
I. ST	ATEMENT OF FACTS	
On (date), at approximately (time), called 911. S/he reported that: [insert facts]	
	II. ARGUMENT	
A. THE 911 TAPE RECOR PURSUANT TO RCW 5	RDING IS ADMISSIBLE AS A BUSINESS RECORD 5.45.020	
RCW 5.45.020 provides that:		
evidence if the custodian or other mode of its preparation, and if it w the time of the act, condition or ev	on or event, shall, insofar as relevant, be competent qualified witness testifies to its identity and the vas made in the regular course of business, at or near vent, and if, in the opinion of the court, the sources of of preparation were such as to justify its admission.	
In State v. Bradley, 17 Wn. App.	916, 918, 567 P.2d 916 (1977), review denied, 89 Wash.2d	
1013 (1978), the court recognized that a c	computer printout of a 911 call was properly admitted under	
this statute: The court stated that:		
the officers and recorded the time declarations of the person who pla location of the incident. We find	nents of the person who received the call, dispatched of their arrival; and second, the printout contains the aced the phone call and described the nature and no error. The printout is a record of an event made hat satisfies the requirements for admission under the CCW 5.45.020.	
See also, State v. Kreck, 86 Wn.2d 112, 5	742 P.2d 782 (1975); State v. Ross, 42 Wn. App. 806, 810,	
714 P.2d 703 (1986). Admission of a business record does not violate the defendant's confrontation		
rights and admission does not turn upon	whether the individual who prepared the business record is	
unavailable to testify. See State v. Mons	on, 113 Wn.2d 833, 841, 784 P.2d 485 (1989).	
Since 911 tapes are made at the ti	me of every call in the regular course of business and such	
call are relied upon to dispatch fire and p	olice personnel, the tape is admissible under RCW 5.45.020	
as a business record. Moreover, it should	be noted that RCW 9.73.090(1) and (3) exempts incoming	

1	telephone calls to police and fire stations from the prohibition against recording conversations
2	without the consent of both parties. See State v. Fjermestad, 114 Wn.2d 828, 832, 791 P.2d 897
3	(1990); State v. Bonilla, 23 Wn. App. 869, 874, 598 P.2d 783 (1979).
4	In State v. Robinson, 38 Wn. App. 871, 885, 691 P.2d 213 (1984), review denied, 103 Wn.2d
5	1015 (1985), the court listed the foundation requirements for the admission of a tape recording into
6	evidence:
7	(1) It must be shown that the mechanical transcription device was capable of taking
8	testimony. (2) It must be shown that the operator of the device was competent to operate it. (3) The authenticity and correctness of the recording must be established.
9	(4) It must be shown that changes, additions, or deletions have not been made. (5) The manner of preservation of the record must be shown. (6) Speakers must be identified.
10	(7) It must be shown that the testimony elicited was freely and voluntarily made, without any kind of duress.
11	In State v. Robinson, supra, an answering machine owner was allowed to testify as to the
12	mode of operation and reliability of the machine, as well as the chain of custody of the tape.
13	Admission of a transcript of a tape recording to assist the jury in listening to the tape was
14	approved by the court in State v. Cunningham, 93 Wn.2d 823, 825, 613 P.2d 1139 (1980):
15	It is well recognized that accurate typewritten transcripts of sound recordings, used contemporaneously with the introduction of the recordings into evidence, are
16	admissible to assist the jury in following the recordings while they are being played. The admission of such transcripts as an aid in listening to tape recordingsis a matter
17	committed to the sound discretion of the trial court. (Citations omitted).
18	In State v. Castellanos, 132 Wn.2d 94, 935 P.2d 1353 (1997), the court held that a jury may be
19	permitted unlimited access to an audio tape of a wiretap and player during deliberations if the trial
20	court determines that the exhibit bears directly upon the charge and is not unduly prejudicial. The
21	court does, of course, retain the authority to limit access to a player during deliberations if the
22	emotional content of the tape recording is unfairly inflammatory. Id.
23	Since the 911 tape recording satisfies the requirements of the business record exception to the
24	hearsay rule pursuant to RCW 5.45.020, plaintiff urges this court to admit the tape recording into
25	evidence and allow the jury unrestricted access to such tape during deliberations as permitted in State
26	v. Castellanos, supra.
27	B. THE CALLER'S STATEMENTS ON THE 911 TAPE ARE ADMISSIBLE AS A
28	PRESENT SENSE IMPRESSION UNDER ER 803(a)(1)

ER 803(a)(1) provides that the following statements are not excluded by the hearsay rule, regardless of the declarant's availability:

I

Present Sense Impression. A statement describing or explaining an event or condition made while the declarant was perceiving the event or condition, or immediately thereafter.

"The rule does not require that the statement be in response to a startling or exciting event. The rule is based on the assumption that under circumstances defined by the rule, there is very little chance of misrepresentation or conscious fabrication. presumes that the element of spontaneity reduces the chance of misrepresentation to an acceptable level." 5B K. Tegland, Wash. Prac., *Evidence Law and Practice* § 360, at 152 (3d ed. 1989). However, the statement must be made "while" the declarant was perceiving the event or condition or "immediately thereafter." *See State v. Hieb*, 39 Wn. App. 273, 693 P.2d 145 (1984), *rev'd on other grounds* 107 Wn.2d 97, 727 P.2d 239 (1986). Telephone calls to 911 made within 10 minutes after automobile accident have been held to satisfy the present sense exception to the hearsay rule. *See Miller v. Crown Amusements, Inc.*, 821 F. Supp. 703 (S.D. Ga. 1993). The statement must also be limited to describing or explaining the event or condition.

In this case, the caller's statements on the 911 tape should be admitted as present sense impressions under ER 803(a)(1) because they described [insert the event] and were made [insert the

facts---while perceiving the event or immediately thereafter.]

C. THE CALLER'S STATEMENTS ON THE 911 TAPE ARE ADMISSIBLE AS EXCITED UTTERANCES UNDER ER 803(A)(2)

ER 803(a)(2) contains the following hearsay exception:

Excited Utterance. A statement relating to a startling event or condition made while the declarant was under the stress of excitement causes by the event or condition.

Excited utterances are deemed reliable because they are made under shock, stress, or excitement rather than reflection or self-interest. *State v. Chapin*, 118 Wn.2d 681, 686, 826 P.2d 194 (1992). Moreover, the admission of such utterances does not violate the defendant's constitutional right to confront witnesses, even though the declarant does not testify at trial. *State v. Palamo*, 113 Wn.2d 789, 783 P.2d 575 (1989); *State v. Strauss*, 119 Wn.2d 401 (1992). In addition, the proponent of the utterance is not required to establish the declarant's unavailability. *State v. Palamo*, 113 Wn.2d at 797.

In *State v. Chapin*, 1118 Wn.2nd 681, 686, 826 P.2d 194 (1992), the court found that three factors must be met for a statement to qualify as an excited utterance:

First, a startling event or condition must have occurred. Second, the statement must

have been made while the declarant was under the stress of excitement caused by the event or condition. Third, the statement must relate to the startling event or condition. The first factor focuses upon the reaction of the declarant in determining if an event is startling. It is irrelevant that others may not find the same event startling. *Chapin*, 118 Wn.2d at 687. In *State v*. *Chapin, supra*, the court examined an Alzheimer patient's agitated demeanor and statement about being raped whenever he saw a nurse's aide. The court found that both the alleged rape and seeing

the aide constituted a startling event.

The second factor necessary to constitute an excited utterance is that the statement occur while the declarant is under the stress of excitement caused by the startling event. "The key to the second element is spontaneity. Ideally, the utterance should be made contemporaneously with or soon after the startling event giving rise to it." *Chapin*, 118 Wn.2d at 688. However, a lapse of time between the event and the statement will not preclude the existence of an excited utterance. *State v. Flett*, 40 Wn. App. 277, 288, 699 P.2d 774 (1997) (A statement of an attempted rape victim 7 hours after the event was properly admitted due to the "continuing stress."); *State v. Strauss*, 119 Wn.2d 401, 416, 832 P.2d 78 (1992) (A victim's statement 3.5 hours after a sexual assault was made while under the influence of the event as evidenced by the fact that the victim was distraught, very red in the face, crying and appeared to be in shock.); *State v. Sims*, 77 Wn. App. 236, 238, 890 P.2d 521 (1995) (A victim's hesitancy in making a statement does not negate its spontaneity.) In addition, responses to questions may be admissible under ER 803(a)(2). *See, e.g., State v. Griffith*, 45 Wn. App. 728, 737-38, 727 P.2d 247 (1986); *State v. Robinson*, 44 Wn. App. 611, 615-16, 722 P.2d 1379, *review denied*, 107 Wn.2d 1009 (1986); *State v. Hubbard*, 37 Wn. App. 137, 146, 679 P.2d 391 (1984), *reversed on other grounds*, 103 Wn.2d 570, 693 P.2d 718 (1985).

The third factor requires that the utterance "relate to" the startling event. In *Chapin*, 118 Wn.2d at 688, the court stated that "[a]ny utterance that may reasonably be viewed as having been about, connected with, or elicited by the startling event meets this requirement."

Courts have also recognized that excited utterances may arise when the declarant calls 911. In *State v. Guizzotti*, 60 Wn. App. 289, 803 P.2d 808, *review denied*, 116 Wn.2d 1026 (1991), the court found that a rape victim's statements on a 911 tape was admissible as an excited utterance.

In this case, 's statements on the 911 tape constitute excited utterances. First, the statement concerns , which the declarant perceived as a startling event. Second, the

declarant's (crying/agitated demeanor/etc.) indicates that s/he was under the "stress of excitement" of the startling event. In addition, since the phone call was placed shortly after the event, little opportunity for fabrication existed. Lastly, based upon the content of the statement, it is clear that it "related to" the startling event. Since the three factors necessary to continue an excited utterance under ER 803(a)(2) are present, plaintiff urges the court to find the statements admissible.

III. CONCLUSION

Since the 911 call in this case is admissible as a business record pursuant to RCW 5.45.020 and the caller's statements meet the requirements of a present sense impression under ER 803(a)(1), as well as an excited utterance under ER 803(a)(2), plaintiff respectfully requests this court to admit the tape and the statements therein into evidence.

Dated this day of

Respectfully submitted,

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

WSBA #

 ADMISSION OF A 911 TAPE - DIRECT EXAMINATION OF A TECHNICIAN WHO COPIED THE TAPE Please state your name and occupation. How long have you been so employed? What is your position and duties? 	
 Please state your name and occupation. How long have you been so employed? 	
4How long have you been so employed?	
5 Vitat is your position and duties:	
4. Have you received training on the 911 system?	
5. Are you authorized to make 911 tapes from ?	
6. [Show the tape to the defense.] I'm handing you what has been marked as State/	City's
exhibit number . Do you recognize this?	
7. What is it? A 911 tape. Did you record this tape? Yes	
8. How can you tell you recorded the tape? My voice is at the end of the transmiss	ion.
9. How did you make this tape?	
10. Was it recorded from the Master Tape kept at ? How is the Master 13	Tape
and the copy preserved?	
11. Does this recording, marked as State/City's exhibit number , contain an au	ıthentic
and correct recording of the 911 call made on (Date) from 16	
(Address)?	
12. Have any changes, deletions or additions been made?	
Your honor, at this time the State/City moves for the admission of the tape into evidence 19	e.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
18	

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR

COUNTY

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

VS.

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

NO.

STATE'S MOTION TO VOID NUNC PRO TUNC TERMINATION OF ORDER OF PROTECTION

A. FACTS RELEVANT TO MOTION

The defendant is charged with Felony Violation of a No contact Order in Count I, and Unlawful Imprisonment in Count II, based on an incident which occurred on March 16, 1996 (see attached Information and Certification for Determination of Probable Cause). As reflected in the Certification, the victim, Dawn, the defendant's wife, requested and was granted a temporary Order of Protection in District Court on March 7, 1996. (See attached certified copy of Petition, Notice and Order). The defendant was served with the order on March 8, 1996. The case was transferred to Family Court for the hearing for permanent entry of the order because the defendant and Dawn have a child in common. That hearing was set for March 21, 1996.

On March 16, 1886, the defendant violated the order, which led to his arrest and these charges. The defendant bailed out before arraignment. At arraignment on these charges March 21, 1996, the defendant was granted a temporary release to transfer bond from District to Superior Court, and was ordered to have no contact with Dawn.

Immediately after the arraignment, the defendant, his attorney and Dawn went to family court. While it is not clear exactly what was represented to the court orally, or whether the court was informed that the defendant had just been arraigned on two felony charges, one arising from the violation of the temporary order of protection, the court signed an order, composed by the defendant's lawyer in the criminal matter and signed by Dawn and the defendant, terminating the temporary order of protection issued by the district court nunc pro tunc to March 7, 1996, the day it was issued (see copy attached).

These events were brought to the attention of the state approximately one month later when the defendant's lawyer presented a copy of the order nunc pro tunc to the prosecutor, and asked that count I be dismissed, arguing that there was no valid order in effect at the time of the offense.

B. ARGUMENT

The defendant takes the position that the nunc pro tunc order terminating the protection order to the date it was issued prevents the state from going forward on the felony violation of the no contact order. This claim is without merit because, under Washington law, orders nunc pro tunc are only valid to make the record reflect what actually happened, that is, to correct "ministerial or clerical errors," and cannot be used to modify a previous order or failure to act. Therefore, the Superior Court order terminating the order of protection is invalid, the order of protection was still in effect on the date of this offense, and the state's charge must stand.

The purpose of orders nunc pro tunc was recently addressed in *State v. Luvene*, 127 Wn.2d 690, 903 P. 2d 960 (1995). In *Luvene*, the court entered an order extending the time for filing the death penalty notice nunc pro tunc for good cause to a date when no hearing was actually held. The Supreme Court held the extension invalid, and stated:

A nunc pro tunc order is appropriate only to record some act of the court done at an earlier time but which was not made part of the record. *State v. Smissaert*, 103 Wn.2d 636, 640, 694 P.2d 654 (1985). It cannot be used to remedy the failure to take an action at that earlier time. *State v. Mehlhorn*, 195 Wash. 690, 692-93, 82 P.2d 158 (1938). There was no judicial action taken on August 12. The order signed "nunc pro tunc August 12, 1992", therefore, cannot be a valid extension of the statutory period.

State v. Luvene, 127 Wn. 2d at 715-16.

Similarly, in *State v. Smisseart*, 103 Wn.2d 636, 694 P.2d 654 (1985), the defendant was erroneously sentenced to a maximum term of 20 years, when the statutory maximum was life in prison.

The court entered an amended judgement sentencing the defendant to life in prison, nunc pro tunc, to the original sentencing date. On review, the Supreme Court stated:

[a] retroactive entry is proper only to rectify the record as to acts which did occur, not as to acts which should have occurred. Thus resentencing should date from entry of the amended judgement.

State v. Smisseart, 103 Wn.2d at 641.

Application of the relevant law to the facts of this case indicates the Family court's use of an order nunc pro tunc to terminate the protection order to the date of its issuance was improper and had no effect. The court granted the order of protection on March 7, 1996. There is no indication in the record, or any other evidence, that the ruling was otherwise not recorded properly. thus, the subsequent order nunc pro tunc was not used to rectify a clerical error and correct the record as to what the court actually intended and order on March 7, 1996, but was instead improperly used to terminate an order that was properly in effect on and after March 7. At best, as in *Smisseart*, the court's termination of the protection order is valid only from the date of entry. This court should therefore find that the order for protection was properly in place on the date of this offense and the state should be allowed to proceed with the court I as charged. For the court to rule otherwise is contrary to the settled law on orders nunc pro tunc, and would allow defendants to manipulate the system to avoid proper charges when an element of the offense is a previously entered valid order of a court.

C. <u>Conclusion</u>

The state respectfully request this court find the protection order valid as to the date of this offense, which will allow the state to proceed on Count I as charged.

Respectfully submitted this 18th day of July, 1996 R. Fox WSBA #12345 Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

DISTRICT/MUNICIPAL COURT COUNTY, STATE OF WASHINGTON

FOR

IN THE

Plaintiff,

-VS-

Defendant.

NO.

PLAINTIFF'S MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF THE ADMISSION OF A BATTERED WOMAN SYNDROME EXPERT WITNESS

I. STATEMENT OF FACTS

The City/State will offer the testimony of a Domestic Violence Legal Advocate to explain the Battered Woman Syndrome. The testimony will include the cycle of violence, the characteristics of a battered woman, and an explanation as to why a battered woman will not leave an abusive relationship or inform police or friends of the abuse. The testimony is designed to promote the jury's understanding of the victim's perceptions and behavior.

II. ARGUMENT A. THE BATTERED WOMAN SYNDROME IS GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY

The first factor which must exist in order to admit an expert's testimony on the battered woman syndrome is that "the opinion is based upon an explanatory theory generally accepted in the scientific community." *State v. Ciskie*, 110 Wn.2d 263, 271, 751 P.2d 1165 (1988). In *State v. Allery*, 101 Wn.2d 591, 596, 682 P.2d 312 (1984), the court found that "scientific understanding of the battered woman syndrome is sufficiently developed so that expert testimony on the syndrome is admissible." The court stated that:

the battered woman syndrome is a recognized phenomenon in the psychiatric profession and is defined as a technical term of art in professional diagnostic textbooks. The syndrome is comprised of three distinct phases. In the first phase, tension mounts between the woman and her partner and minor abuse occurs. More serious violence follows and the woman experiences a sense of powerlessness to do anything to stop her husband. Psychologists describe a phenomenon known as "learned helplessness,' a condition in which the woman is psychologically locked into her situation due to economic dependence on the man, an abiding attachment to him, and the failure of the legal system to adequately respond to the problem. Finally, there is a temporary lull in the physical abuse inflicted on the battered woman, and she forgives her assailant, hoping that the abuse will not reoccur.

Allery, 101 Wn.2d at 596-97. The prosecution's witness in this case will testify about this cycle of violence which was recognized by the court in *State v. Allery, supra*, as an accepted theory in the scientific community.

B. THE BATTERED WOMAN SYNDROME EVIDENCE WOULD BE HELPFUL TO THE JURY

The second factor which must be established for the admission of expert testimony about

the battered woman syndrome is that the expert testimony would be helpful to the trier of fact. This question has also been answered by our Supreme Court in both spousal situations and nonmarital/intimate relationships. In *State v. Allery*, 101 Wn.2d at 597, the court stated that:

We find that expert testimony explaining why a person suffering from the battered woman syndrome would not leave her mate, would not inform police or friends, and would fear increased aggression against herself would be helpful to a jury in understanding a phenomenon not within the competence of an ordinary lay person.

Similarly, in *State v. Ciskie*, 110 Wn.2d at 274, the court found that the expert's testimony about the syndrome as it pertains to persons in intimate relationships would be useful to the trier of fact. The court stated that:

The average juror's intuitive response could well be to assume that someone in such circumstances could simply leave her mate, and that failure to do so signals exaggeration of the violent nature of the incidents and consensual participation.

It is the prosecution's position in this case that the jurors will not understand why the victim did not (leave the relationship/report the incident/tell friends) and that the syndrome testimony is necessary to promote an understanding of the victim's perceptions and behaviors as recognized in *State v. Allery, supra*, and *State v. Ciskie, supra*.

C. THE PROSECUTION'S WITNESS IS A QUALIFIED EXPERT REGARDING THE BATTERED WOMAN SYNDROME

Finally, the proposed witness qualifies as an expert based upon her training and experience. In *State v. Simon*, 64 Wn. App. 948, 963, 831 P.2d 139 (1991), *aff'd in part, rev'd in part*, 120 Wn.2d 196, 840 P.2d 172 (1992), the court found that a detective who investigated street prostitution for over 6 years, investigated over 400 prostitution related crimes and over 50 promoting prostitution cases, as well as talked with prostitutes about their relationships with pimps, qualified as an expert on the pimp/prostitute relationship and could testify as to the relationship's nature. Although the expert had "no formal course work in the area, a witness need not possess the academic credentials of an expert; practical experience in a given area can qualify a witness as an expert. *State v. Smith*, 88 Wn.2d 639, 647, 564 P.2d 1154 (1977), *rev'd on other grounds, State v. Jones*, 99 Wash.2d 735, 664 P.2d 1216 (1983). *See also, State v. Ortiz*, 119 Wn.2d 294, 310 (1992) (Officer qualities as an expert on tracking defendants based upon experience). Similarly, in *People v. Day*, 2 Cal. App. 4th 405, 413 (1992), the court approved of an advocate working at a battered women's shelter as an expert witness based upon her experience.

In this case, has been a domestic violence advocate for years and has assisted over abused women. Her duties as an advocate include: . Her training in this area consists of attendance at the following training sessions/seminars: . Ms. 's formal education consists of a degree in . A copy of her resume is attached. [insert facts which establish expertise]

The City/State's witness is clearly an expert witness under ER 702 on the battered woman syndrome on the basis of her practical experience and training.

D. THE WITNESS WILL NOT GIVE OPINION TESTIMONY AS TO WHETHER THE ALLEGED CRIME OCCURRED

In *State v. Ciskie, supra*, the court noted that the testimony of an expert can be limited by ER 403 to exclude evidence if there is a danger of unfair prejudice. The expert was not allowed to give her testimony about the victim's credibility as to whether a rape occurred. The court stated that this was analogous to testimony of a diagnosis of the "rape trauma syndrome," found inadmissible for the purpose of allowing an expert's opinion that a victim had been raped in *State v. Black*, 109 Wn.2d 336, 348, 745 P.2d 12 (1987). Such testimony was excluded because it lacked scientific reliability and unfairly prejudices the defendant. In addition, "such testimony amounts to a comment on the credibility of a witness." 110 Wn.2d at 280. Testimony about a defendant's guilt or whether the victim meets a profile or syndrome is also not appropriate. *State v. Stevens*, 58 Wn. App. 478, 497, 794 P.2d 38, *review denied*, 115 Wn.2d 1025, 802 P.2d 128 (1990).

In this case, the prosecution will not be asking the expert witness whether the alleged crime occurred or whether the victim is a credible battered woman. The expert will also not be commenting upon the defendant's guilt or innocence.

III. CONCLUSION

Based upon the established case law discussed herein, the City/State believes that admission of the prosecution's expert witness testimony concerning the battered woman syndrome is necessary so that the jury can accurately weigh the victim's credibility after putting here perceptions and behaviors in the context of the Battered Woman Syndrome.

Dated this day of , 1997. Respectfully submitted,

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney WSBA #

JURY INSTRUCTIONS AND SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE: FAMILY OR HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS

INSTRUCTION NO.

Family or household members means spouses, former spouses, persons who have a child in common regardless of whether they have been married or have lived together at any time, adult persons related by blood or marriage, adult persons who are presently residing together or who have resided together in the past, persons sixteen years of age or older who are presently residing together or who have resided together in the past and who have or have had a dating relationship, persons sixteen years of age or older with whom a person sixteen years of age or older has or has had a dating relationship, and persons who have a biological or legal parent-child relationship, including stepparents and stepchildren and grandparents and grandchildren.

RCW 10.99.020(1)

INSTRUCTION NO.

Upon retiring to the jury room for your deliberation of this case, your first duty is to select a presiding juror. It is his or her duty to see that discussion is carried on in a sensible and orderly fashion, that the issues submitted for your decision are fully and fairly discussed, and that every juror has an opportunity to be heard and to participate in the deliberations upon each question before the jury.

You will be furnished with all of the exhibits admitted in evidence, if any, these instructions and a verdict form.

You must fill in the blank provided in each verdict form the words "not guilty" or the word "guilty", according to the decision you reach.

You will also be furnished with a special verdict form. If you find the defendant not guilty, do not use the special verdict form. If you find the defendant guilty, you will then use the special verdict form. In order to answer a question on the special verdict form "yes", you must unanimously be satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that "yes" is the correct answer. If you have a reasonable doubt as to the question, you must answer "no". If you do not unanimously agree then answer "no unanimous agreement". When you have arrived at the answer, fill in the special verdict form to express your decision.

Since this is a criminal case, each of you must agree for you to return a verdict. When all of you have so agreed, fill in the verdict forms to express your decision. The presiding juror will sign it and notify the bailiff, who will conduct you into court to declare your verdict.

1	IN THE	O	F THE STATE OF WA	SHINGTON	
2	IN THE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF				
3					
4	STATE OF WASHINGTON	J.	I		
5		.,			
6		Plaintiff,	NO.		
7					
8	-VS-				
9			SPECIAL VERD	ICT FORM	
10					
11					
12 13					
13		Defendant.	J		
15					
16	We, the jury return a s Did the defendant con household member?	pecial verdict by ans nmit the crime of	wering as follows —	against a family or	
17	_				
18	$\Box Yes \\ \Box No$				
19	D No Unanimous Ag	greement			
20					
21			Presiding Juror		
22					
23					
24 25					
25 26					
20 27					
28	RCW 10.99.020(1)				

I

IN THE DISTRICT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF _____, WASHINGTON

NO.

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Plaintiff,

-VS-

PRE-TRIAL DIVERSION AGREEMENT

Defendant.

Comes Now the Plaintiff, State of Washington, by and through ______, Deputy Prosecuting Attorney, and the Defendant, by and through his/her attorney ______, and hereby enter the following pretrial diversion agreement (hereafter Agreement) -

Defendant's Agreement

1. Waiver of Speedy Trial (CrRLJ 3.3(j)). The Defendant understands that he/she has the right to be tried within 90 days following his/her arraignment date. He/she further understands that if he/she does not receive a trial within this time period that this case may be dismissed with prejudice unless he/she waives this right. The Defendant hereby waives his/her right to speedy trial to ______.

2. Waiver of Jury Trial (CrRLJ 6.1.1(a)). The Defendant understands that he/she has the right to trial by jury unless he/she waives the right to a jury trial. The Defendant hereby waives his/her jury trial right and requests that his/her guilt or innocence be decided by a judge.

3. Stipulation to Admissibility of Reports (CrRLJ 6.1.2(b)). The Defendant wishes to submit the case on the record. He/she understands that this means that if a judge finds that the Defendant is in breach of this Agreement, the judge will read the police reports and other materials submitted by the prosecuting authority and, based solely upon that evidence, the judge will decide if the Defendant is guilty or not guilty of the crime(s) charged herein. The Defendant understands that it is very likely the judge will find the Defendant guilty since the only evidence the judge will consider are the reports and other materials submitted by the prosecuting authority.

The Defendant understands that, by this process, he/she is giving up the constitutional right to a jury trial, the right to hear and question witnesses, the right to call witnesses in his/her own behalf, and the right to testify or not to testify.

The Defendant understands that the maximum sentence for the crime(s) charged of

______is/are _____days in jail and/or a \$______fine, ______is/are ______days in jail and/or a \$______fine, plus costs and assessments, and that the judge can impose any sentence up to the maximum, no matter what the prosecution or the defense recommends.

No one has made any threats or promises to get the Defendant to submit this case on the record other than the Plaintiff's promises made in this Agreement.

4. Waiver of Defendant's Right to be Present in Court. The Defendant understands and agrees that he/she shall be present in court at all future court hearings herein. The Defendant understand that he/she has the right to be present in court on any motion to revoke this Agreement, and/or at a subsequent trial to determine the Defendant's guilt if this Agreement is found by the Court to have been violated by the Defendant. If the notice requirements as discussed in the following paragraphs are satisfied, the Defendant hereby waives his/her right to be present in court (1) on any motion to revoke this Agreement and/or (2) at a subsequent trial to determine the Defendant's guilt.

The Defendant further understands and agrees that the Court may proceed without the Defendant being present in court if the Plaintiff files a motion to revoke this Agreement and the Defendant fails to appear at the hearing on the motion so long as a notice of the hearing date is sent to the Defendant's attorney or the Defendant's last known address if the Defendant is not represented by an attorney.

The Defendant further understands and agrees that the Court may proceed to determine the Defendant's guilt or innocence on the criminal charge(s) herein, and enter judgment and sentence against the Defendant if he/she is found guilty, all without the Defendant being present in court [trial in absentia] if the Court revokes this Agreement after the Plaintiff files a motion to revoke, and the Defendant fails to appear at any hearing on the motion as discussed in the previous paragraphs.

Prosecution's Agreement

The Defendant shall have no criminal law violations; and

The Defendant shall pay court costs of $[\Box $500] [\Box $];$ and 1 2 The Defendant shall pay any bench warrant costs imposed herein; and 3 Restitution. The Defendant agrees to pay the following restitution to the Court Clerk, who shall disseminate the moneys collected as follows-4 5 \$ Amount 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 rules. 17 18 community service. 19 20 21 22 recommendations. 23 24 25 26 27 28

Ignition Interlock Device. The Defendant shall agree to entry of an Order Prohibiting Defendant From Operating Any Vehicle That Is Not Equipped with a Functioning Ignition Interlock Alcohol Device in accordance with RCW 46.20.720.

Drinking and Driving. The Defendant shall not drive or be in actual physical control of a motor vehicle while having an alcohol concentration of 0.04 or more within two hours after driving or being in physical control. The Defendant shall not refuse to submit to a test of his/her breath or blood to determine alcohol and/or drug concentration upon request of a law enforcement officer who has reasonable grounds

Name Address, City, Zip Where Restitution is to be sent The Defendant shall pay the total financial obligation agreed to herein at $[\Box \$75]$ $[\Box \$]$ per month by the 5th of each month beginning on . Payments shall be made to _____ Court, _____, WA ____. Any check should include the

Defendant's full name and case number.

Probation Supervision. The Defendant agrees that compliance with this Agreement shall be monitored by the probation department of the court. The Defendant agrees to contact probation within one (1) day of the signing of this Agreement, make all appointments with probation, and abide by all probation

Community Service. Within days, the Defendant shall successfully perform hours of

DUI Victim's Panel. Within ninety (90) days, the Defendant shall attend a DUI victim's panel.

Alcohol/Drug Treatment. Within ninety (90) days, the Defendant shall obtain an alcohol/drug evaluation from a state-certified agency, and thereafter successfully comply with all treatment

28

to believe that the Defendant was driving or in actual physical control of a motor vehicle while under the influence of an intoxicating liquor and/or drugs.

Liquor Prohibited. The Defendant shall not possess or consume liquor as defined in RCW 66.04.010 as now or hereafter amended, or be in a business establishment where liquor is served.

DV Perpetrator's Program. Within ninety (90) days, the Defendant shall obtain a domestic violence perpetrator's treatment evaluation from a state-certified agency, and thereafter successfully comply with all treatment recommendations.

DV Parenting Class. The Defendant shall attend and successfully complete a parenting class/ counseling for a minimum 20 hours that includes discussion concerning the effects of domestic violence on

No Contact. The Defendant shall not make any attempts (including but not limited to directly or indirectly, in person, in writing, by telephone, or through other persons) to contact the following person(s)

Anger Management Course. The Defendant shall attend and successfully complete an anger management course.

Psycho-sexual Evaluation. The Defendant obtain a psycho-sexual evaluation from a state-certified agency, and thereafter successfully comply with all treatment recommendations.

Contribution. Within ninety (90) days, the Defendant agrees to make a \$100 contribution to the following agency -

□ Mothers Against Drunk Driving

[Local Domestic Violence Shelter]

Payments shall be made to MADE or [the local domestic violence shelter], and paid through the _____ County Prosecutor's Office. Any check should include the Defendant's full name and case number.

2 Procedure on Defendant's Compliance with Agreement. Upon the Defendant's compliance with this Agreement and entry of a guilty plea to the amended charge(s) as discussed above, the Plaintiff will make the following recommendation to the judge -

days in jail with suspend	led for $[\Box$ five years] $[\Box$ two years] $[\Box$ one year]
\$ fine with \$ susp	pended
The defendant shall have no violation of an	ny criminal laws
Probation shall be $[\Box \text{ supervised}]$ $[\Box \text{ unsup}]$	pervised]
3. Procedure on Defendant's Breach	of Agreement. The Plaintiff reserves the right to prosecute the
Defendant upon any breach of the terms or c	conditions of this Agreement in accordance with the procedures
in State v. Marino, 100 Wn.2d 719, 674 P.2	2d 171 (1984) and State v. Kessler, 75 Wn. App. 634, 879 P.2d
333 (1994).	
Dated this day of	,
	Defendant
	I have read and discussed this document with the defendant
	and believe that the defendant is competent and fully understands the Agreement.
	C C
WSBA NO. Deputy Prosecuting Attorney	WSBA NO. Attorney for Defendant
Order of Continuance	
This Matter having come on regular	ly before the undersigned Judge of the above-entitled Court by
agreement of the parties for an Order of Cor	ntinuance; the Court having considered the motion and the files
and records herein, and being fully advised	in the premises; now, therefore, it is hereby
Ordered that the above-entitled matt	ter shall be continued to a date set by separate order. It is further
Ordered that the Defendant shall ap	pear at the next scheduled hearing.
Done in Open Court this	day of
	JUDGE
Presented by:	
WSBA No Deputy Prosecuting Attorney	
Approved for Entry:	Copy Received:
32	

WSBA No. _____ Attorney for Defendant Defendant

IN THE DISTRICT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF _____, WASHINGTON

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

NO.

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO REVOKE PRE-TRIAL DIVERSION AGREEMENT

Defendant.

ISSUE PRESENTED

Whether a violation of the terms of the pre-trial diversion agreement ("PDA") entered into between the Kitsap County Prosecuting Attorney's Office and ______ should be revoked based upon ______'s violation of the terms of the PDA?

FACTS RELEVANT TO THIS MOTION

[Insert facts]

LEGAL ANALYSIS

A revocation of a pretrial diversion is a three step process. First, the Court must determine whether a violation of the agreement has been established by a preponderance of the evidence. Second, the Court must determine whether the prosecutor's decision to revoke the agreement was reasonable. Finally, the Court must determine whether the prosecutor has established beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of the crimes that were the subject of the PDA.

13. The Defendant Has Violated the Terms of the PDA.

a. General Process - Contract Law

A pretrial diversion agreement, like a plea bargain agreement, is a contract between the prosecution and defendant. *State v. Talley*, 134 Wn.2d 176, 182, 949 P.2d 358 (1998) (plea bargain agreement is a contract, with the defendant giving up constitutional rights in exchange for the prosecution's agreement to recommend a specific sentence); *State v. Wakefield*, 130 Wn.2d 464, 474, 925 P.2d 183 (1996).

In 1984, the Supreme Court was presented with the question of the proper role of the court when the prosecution sought to terminate a pretrial diversion agreement. *State v. Marino*, 100 Wn.2d 719, 674 P.2d 171 (1984). After examining the similar rights at stake in probation revocations, plea bargain agreements and pretrial diversion agreements, the Court concluded that a defendant is entitled under the Due Process Clause to have factual disputes concerning an alleged violation of the terms of a pretrial diversion agreement resolved by a neutral fact finder rather than the prosecuting authority. "This includes an independent determination that the deferred prosecution agreement was violated, by a preponderance of the evidence with the burden of proof on the State." *Marino*, 100 Wn.2d at 725. "...This requirement best safeguards the [defendant's] right to have the agreement administered equitably, with full protection of the constitutional rights relinquished in the bargain. The State is not unduly burdened as it has no interest in proceeding to prosecution in any case unless a violation has, in fact, occurred." *Id*.

"Preponderance of the evidence" means that sufficient evidence exists to be persuaded that a claim is more probably true than not true. *See, e.g.* WPIC 17.06.01 (2nd ed.).

b. Duty of Good Faith

Every contract has an implied duty of good faith and fair dealing. There is in every contract an implied duty of good faith and fair dealing. This duty obligates the parties to cooperate with each other so that each may obtain the full benefit of performance. *Badgett v. Security State Bank*, 116 Wn.2d 563, 569, 807 P.2d 356 (1991). (Citations omitted.)

c. Contract Interpretation - The Parties' Intent

The "touchstone" of a court's interpretation of a contract is the parties' intent. *Tanner Elec..Co-op. v. Puget Sound Power & Light Co.*, 128 Wn.2d 656, 911 P.2d 1301 (1996). "In Washington, the intent of the parties to a particular agreement may be discovered not only from the actual language of the agreement but also from 'viewing the contract as a whole, the subject matter and objective of the contract, all the circumstances surrounding the making of the contract, the subsequent acts and conduct of the parties to the contract, and the reasonableness of respective interpretations advocated by the parties." *Tanner Elec..Co-op. v. Puget Sound Power & Light Co., supra.* (Citations omitted.)

In order for a court to determine the parties' intent, courts traditionally "look through the form of the transaction and consider its substance." *Zachman v. Whirlpool Acceptance Corp.*, 120 Wn.2d 304, 314, 841 P.2d 27 (1992). (Citations omitted.)

d. Evidence Rules and Hearsay

ER 1101(c)(1) provides that the Evidence Rules (except with respect to privileges) do not apply in various circumstances, including preliminary determinations in criminal cases and sentencing or granting or revoking probation. As noted in *Marino*, a revocation of a pretrial diversion agreement involves similar rights at stake in probation revocation hearings and plea bargain agreements.

Washington case law has long held that a probationer's right of confrontation is limited and accordingly allows admission of hearsay evidence at a probation revocation hearing. *State v. Nelson*, 103 Wn.2d 760, 763-64, 697 P.2d 579 (1985). This holding is in accord with the minimal due process rights granted to a probationer or parolee. *Morrissey v. Brewer*, 408 U.S. 471, 92 S. Ct. 2593, 33 L. Ed. 2d 484 (1972); *Gagnon v. Scarpelli*, 411 U.S. 778, 93 S. Ct. 1756, 36 L. Ed.2d 656 (1973); *In re Boone*, 103 Wn.2d 224, 691 P.2d 964 (1984).

"...The current test is a balancing one in which the probationer's right to confront and cross-examine witnesses is balanced against any good cause for not allowing confrontation. Good cause has thus far been defined in terms of difficulty and expense of procuring witnesses in combination with 'demonstrably reliable' or 'clearly reliable' evidence." *Nelson*, 103 Wn.2d at 765.

Evidence which is "demonstrably reliable" has been found to constitute good cause for admitting hearsay evidence of a letter from a drug treatment program officer to a probation officer, letters of vocational instructors and caseworkers, official reports from program officials, victims's statements corroborated by other witnesses, a therapist's statements corroborated by others, admissions of the probationer, and evidence from court files and state probation reports. *Nelson*, 103 Wn.2d at 764-65.

Hearsay documents including urinalysis test results and a lab supervisor's letter were sufficiently reliable so as to be admissible in a community supervision violation hearing. *State v. Anderson*, 88 Wn. App. 541, 945 P.2d 1147 (Div. 2 1997) (expense factors weigh against requiring the prosecution to present live witnesses since reliability of a lab is clear given its independent and neutral role in testing samples and providing analysis).

e. Resolution of a New Criminal Law Violation is Not Required

The trial court's role in a hearing on the prosecution's motion to revoke a pretrial diversion agreement is to determine whether the prosecution has proven a violation of a pretrial diversion agreement by a preponderance of evidence.

Often, one of the prosecution's allegations for asserting a breach of a pretrial diversion agreement concerns a defendant's violation of the criminal law.

A defendant may assert that the new criminal law violation is merely an allegation of criminal conduct entitling the defendant to continue or delay the motion to revoke the pretrial diversion agreement until the new criminal law violation allegation is resolved. While the trial court has discretion to continue a prosecution's motion to revoke a pretrial diversion agreement under this situation, such a decision was not as contemplated by the parties nor specifically agreed to by the prosecution in the pretrial diversion agreement.

The issue is whether the prosecution has proven a subsequent violation of the criminal law by a preponderance of the evidence. A defendant's acquittal on or dismissal of the new charges will not prohibit the prosecution from going forward on the alleged breach of the pretrial diversion agreement nor prohibit the trial court from finding that a breach occurred, allowing termination of the agreement and bench trial as contemplated by the pretrial diversion agreement. *State v. Cyganowski*, 21 Wn. App. 119, 121, 584 P.2d 426 (Div. 2 1978) (no constitutional requirement that a trial be held prior to a revocation hearing on the same acts; even if revocation hearing delayed, an acquittal would not prevent a revocation of probation due to the differing standards of proof); *McGautha v. California*, 402 U.S. 183, 28 L. Ed.2d 711, 91 S. Ct. 1454 (1971); *Standlee v. Smith*, 83 Wn.2d 405, 518 P.2d 721 (1974); *State v. Kuhn*, 81 Wn.2d 648, 503 P.2d 1061 (1972).

Additionally, whether a defendant is ever "convicted" of the subsequent criminal law violation is inapposite since a pretrial diversion agreement does not require a defendant to "have no criminal law convictions." Since the form of the pretrial diversion agreement was patterned after Washington's deferred prosecution statute, RCW 10.05, it is instructive to examine when a defendant may be revoked from a deferred prosecution based upon a new criminal law violation.

RCW 10.05.100 specifically requires the trial court to remove a defendant from the deferred prosecution file and proceed to judgment pursuant to RCW 10.05.020 if a defendant is subsequently "convicted" of a similar offense while in a deferred prosecution program. A "conviction" is a judgment that the accused is guilty as charged. *State v. Kuhn*, 74 Wn. App. 787, 791-92, 875 P.2d 1225, *review denied*, 127 Wn.2d 1017 (Div. 2 1994) (trial court need not wait until subsequent conviction has been fully reviewed and upheld on appeal to revoke deferred prosecution based upon subsequent conviction; "To hold otherwise

would mean that a petitioner subsequently convicted of a similar offense could avoid revocation and, therefore, punishment, until the subsequent conviction had completed appellate review. Such an interpretation does little to protect the public from the risks presented by the deferred prosecution petitioner who continues to use intoxicants in violation of the petitioner's deferred prosecution conditions."; citing to *Black's Law Dictionary* for definition of conviction).

While the sole fact that a defendant is arrested for a subsequent criminal law violation is insufficient to prove a failure to maintain "good behavior," *Seattle v. Lea*, 56 Wn. App. 859, 786 P.2d 798 (Div. 1 1990) (if the only evidence of a criminal law violation was the fact of an arrest, the evidence is insufficient to support a probation violation; some underlying evidence concerning the basis of alleged criminal law violation is required), proof that a defendant was "convicted" is not required to show a criminal law "violation."

Unlike the word "conviction" which requires a judgment that an accused is guilty, "violation" means a breach of a right, duty or law. *Black's Law Dictionary* 1741 (4th ed. 1968).

The prosecution need not prove a "conviction" of the criminal law to successfully seek revocation of a pretrial diversion agreement by a defendant's failure to have "no criminal law violations." A violation is shown with proof by a preponderance of the evidence that a defendant "breached" the criminal law.

A continuance to allow a defendant to litigate his or her new criminal law violation serves no purpose as contemplated by the parties when they enter a pretrial diversion agreement.¹ A defendant promises to

¹ None of the defendant's constitutional rights are violated by going forward with defendant's PDA revocation hearing while charges are pending pertaining to same subject matter. See generally, United States v. Rilliet, 595 F.2d 1138, 1140 (9th Cir. 1979) (going forward with defendant's probation revocation hearing while charges were pending pertaining to same subject matter did not constitute a deprivation of any of the defendant's constitutional rights); Ryan v. State of Montana, 580 F.2d 988, 992-93 (9th Cir. 1978), cert. denied, 440 U.S. 977 (1979) (defendants can properly be required to make difficult strategic choices that necessarily result in relinquishing a constitutional right; a procedure which allows statements made by a defendant in an effort to mitigate his responsibility and reduce his punishment in a parole revocation hearing to be used against him as evidence of his guilt or innocence in a pending criminal proceeding that arises from the same act that forms the basis of the alleged probation violation is not a cruel choice which implicates the self-incrimination clause of the Fifth Amendment); United States v. Brugger, 549 F.2d 2, 4 (7th Cir.), cert. denied, 431 U.S. 919 (1977) (court need not grant a defendant a continuance of a probation revocation hearing until after disposition of the criminal charge on which the revocation is based, notwithstanding defendant's claim that he could not testify at the revocation hearing except at the sacrifice of his Fifth Amendment privileges upon which he had the right to rely in the subsequent trial on the new charge.)

abide by various conditions, and if he or she successfully does so, the prosecution promises to amend or dismiss the charge(s).

There could be no more clear evidence of the parties' intent to proceed to a relatively quick disposition of a prosecution's motion to revoke a pretrial diversion agreement than the provisions concerning a defendant's failure to appear at a subsequent revocation hearing or trial. A defendant, as part of any pretrial diversion agreement, waives his or her right to be present in court at a subsequent revocation or trial by his or her failure to appear. This provision of the agreement allows the prosecution to proceed to judgment by trial in absentia precisely because the parties contemplate minimal delay from the allegation of a breach of a pretrial diversion agreement through resolution of the alleged breach.

2. The Prosecution's Decision to Terminate the PDA was "Not Unreasonable"

Once the trial court has resolved the factual disputes concerning whether a violation of the pretrial diversion agreement occurred, the trial court should assess the reasonableness of the prosecution's decision to terminate the pretrial diversion agreement.

...Clearly, the court is not in a position to require that prosecution be recommended. Discretion to finally bring the case to trial still rests with the prosecutor. Other options may still be open in a particular case, such as reducing charges if a plea bargain is reached, offering a new diversion agreement, or dismissing charges where appropriate. We therefore hold that the court's review of a prosecutor's termination decision should consist of assessing its reasonableness in light of the facts the trial court determines at hearing.

Marino, 100 Wn.2d at 725-26.

The trial court's decision upon reviewing the reasonableness of the prosecution's decision to terminate a pretrial diversion agreement is "more like a legal conclusion, or a mixed question of fact and law, than an additional finding of fact." *State v. Kessler*, 75 Wn. App. 634, 639, 879 P.2d 333 (Div. 1 1994). While the trial court may not agree with the prosecution's decision to terminate the agreement, the trial court's function is to determine if the prosecutor's decision to terminate was "not unreasonable." *Id.*

A prosecutor's decision to terminate a pretrial diversion agreement for nonpayment of therapy bills will not be upheld as reasonable where the underlying problem is hardship and inability to pay, *United States v. Snead*, 822 F. Supp. 885, 888 (D. Conn. 1993). A willful non-payment, though, resulting from a defendant's choice to make this financial obligation a low priority will support the decision to terminate the agreement. *Kessler*, 75 Wn. App. at 640.

"...The determination as to whether termination is reasonable for these violations is analogous to the determination in a breach of contract case of whether a breach is material, thus warranting a remedy. It

depends on the circumstances of each particular case." Kessler, 75 Wn. App. at 640-41.

A violation of a pretrial diversion agreement need not be criminal in nature to justify termination. The issue for the trial court to determine is the materiality of the violations to the intent of the parties when the agreement was entered, which inherently depends on the particular provisions of the pretrial diversion agreement. *Kessler*, 75 Wn. App. At 641.

3.

The Prosecution has Established Beyond a Reasonable Doubt that the Defendant is Guilty of the Charges that were the Subject of the PDA

The Kitsap County Prosecutor's Office's pretrial diversion agreement clearly sets forth what will occur if the prosecution's decision to terminate or revoke the pretrial diversion agreement is approved by the trial court.

In exchange for the prosecution's agreement to amend or dismiss the charges upon the defendant's satisfying various conditions, PDA, Prosecution's Agreement, pp. 2-3, the defendant agrees to waive his or her speedy trial and jury trial rights, stipulate to the admissibility of the police reports and other materials submitted by the prosecution, and stipulate that the defendant "wishes to submit the case on the record and stipulates that sufficient facts exist for a finding of guilt." The defendant also waives his or her right to hear and question witnesses, the right to call witnesses in his or her own behalf, and the right to testify or not to testify. Pretrial Diversion Agreement, Defendant's Agreement, pp. 1-2. *See* substantially similar language of CrRLJ 6.1.2(b) (Statement of Defendant on Submittal or Stipulation to Facts) which is specifically referenced in the pretrial diversion agreement.

...[a] guilty plea...is functionally and qualitatively different from a stipulation. A guilty plea generally waives the right to appeal. A guilty plea has been said to be "itself a conviction; nothing remains but to give judgment and determine punishment."

A stipulation, on the other hand...is only an admission that if the State's witnesses were called, they would testify in accordance with the summary presented by the prosecutor. The trial court must make a determination of guilty or innocence. More importantly, a stipulation preserves legal issues for appeal and can operate to keep potentially prejudicial matters from the jury's consideration.

State v. Johnson, 104 Wn.2d 338, 341, 705 P.2d 773 (1985) (Citations omitted.); *See also State v. Smith*, 134 Wn.2d 849, 953 P.2d 810 (1998); *State v. Mierz*, 127 Wn.2d 460, 469, 901 P.2d 286 (1995); *State v. Halgren*, 87 Wn. App. 525, 531-32, 942 P.2d 1027 (Div. 1 1997), *reversed on other grounds*, 137 Wn.2d 340, 971 P.2d 512 (1999).

Once a trial court finds that a violation of the pretrial diversion agreement occurred by a

preponderance of the evidence, and that the prosecution's decision to terminate the agreement was "not unreasonable," the pretrial diversion agreement makes clear that the case proceeds to a bench trial based upon stipulated evidence and the defendant's admission that sufficient facts exist for a finding of guilt.

a. Waiver of the Defendant's Right to be Present -Motion to Revoke and/or Trial in Absentia

A criminal defendant's failure to appear for trial is not considered a valid waiver of his or her court rule right to be present. *Crosby v. United States*, 506 U.S. 255, 113 S. Ct. 748, 753, 122 L. Ed.2d 25 (1993) (Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 43. Court refuses to reach issue whether trial in absentia is prohibited by the Constitution); *United States v. Mezzanatto*, 513 U.S. 196, 115 S. Ct. 797, 802, 130 L. Ed.2d 697 (1995) (explaining that Crosby held that a defendant's failure to appear for trial cannot be considered a valid knowing and voluntary waiver of the court rule right to be present for trial); *State v. Hammond*, 121 Wn.2d 787, 790-91, 854 P.2d 637 (1993) (Crosby's textual analysis of FRCP 34 found persuasive, and adopted for interpretation of CrR 3.4; Court refuses to reach issue whether trial in absentia is prohibited by the Constitution).

A defendant's mid-trial flight, though, acts as a knowing and voluntary waiver of the right to be present, and the trial may proceed without the defendant's presence. *Crosby*, 113 S. Ct. at 751-53.

...Moreover, a rule that allows an ongoing trial to continue when a defendant disappears deprives the defendant of the option of gambling on an acquittal knowing that he can terminate the trial if it seems that the verdict will go against him-an option that might otherwise appear preferable to the costly, perhaps unnecessary, path of becoming a fugitive from the outset.

Crosby, 113 S. Ct. at 753.

Like the most fundamental constitutional protections afforded a criminal defendant, any court rule is subject to a defendant's knowing and voluntary waiver with court permission. *Mezzanatto*, 115 S. Ct. at 801-02 (string cite of cases showing a criminal defendant's ability to knowingly and voluntarily waive double jeopardy, privilege against compulsory self-incrimination, right to jury trial, right to confront one's accusers, and right to counsel).

The state and federal constitutional rights to be present at trial may be waived, provided the waiver is voluntary and knowing. *Johnson v. Zerbst*, 304 U.S. 458, 58 S. Ct. 1019, 1023, 82 L. Ed. 1461, 146 A.L.R. 357 (1938); *State v. Rice*, 110 Wn.2d 577, 619, 757 P.2d 889 (1988), cert. denied, 491 U.S. 910, 109 S. Ct. 3200, 105 L. Ed.2d 707 (1989); *State v. Thompson*, 123 Wn.2d 877, 880, 872 P.2d 1097 (1994) (trial court's decision to continue with trial when defendant took flight after the trial had begun is affirmed).

Section 4 of the pretrial diversion agreement makes clear that a defendant understands he or she shall be present in court at all future court hearings, and that the trial court may proceed with a motion to revoke the pretrial diversion agreement and trial if the defendant fails to appear as required.

By agreeing to a pretrial diversion agreement, a defendant knowingly and voluntarily waives his or her right to be present at a hearing on the prosecution's motion to revoke a pretrial diversion agreement or subsequent trial if the defendant fails to appear for those hearings after notice of the hearing date "is sent to the Defendant's attorney or the Defendant's last known address if the Defendant is not represented by an attorney." Pretrial Diversion Agreement, Section 4, Presence of the Defendant.

A trial court may proceed with a motion to revoke a pretrial diversion agreement and subsequent trial if a defendant fails to appear after notice of the motion is sent as required by the pretrial diversion agreement.

b. The Case at Bar

[insert analysis]

RESPECTFULLY submitted this ____ day of _____, ____.

WSBA No. Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

	IN THE FOR		RICT/MUNICIP/ Y, STATE OF W		
STATE OF -vs-	WASHINGTON, Plaintiff	?	NO.	OF INELIGIBILI'	τν το
	Defenda	ant.		FIREARM	
TO THE AB	OVE-NAMED DEFENDA	ANT:			
You a family or hou •	are hereby advised that you usehold member, RCW 10. assault in the fourth degr	.99.020(1), a	convicted of the for against another on	ollowing crime(s) or after July 1, 19	committed by a 93:
• coercion					
•	criminal trespass in the f reckless endangerment in	-	degree		
•	stalking	ii the second	lacgree		
•	violation of the provision or restraining the person 26.50.060, 26.50.070, 26	n from going	on to the grounds	ection order restra of or entering a r	ining the person residence (RCW
	YOU ARE ADVISED T CONTROL ANY FIR RESTORED BY A C FELONY UNDER RC SURRENDER ANY C YOUR NAME.	EARM UN COURT OF CW 9.41.04	TIL YOUR RIC RECORD. VI 0. YOU MUST	GHT HAS BÉEN OLATION IS A IMMEDIATELY	N A Z
This docume	ent has been read by the def	fendant and	is effective this	day of	1999.
Course Doctorio	- 1.	JUDG	E		
Copy Receiv	ed:				
DEFENDANT'S F	ULL NAME		_		
DEFENDANT'S D	ATE OF BIRTH		_		
DEFENDANT'S D	DRIVER'S LICENSE/ID#		_		

I

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON FOR _____ COUNTY

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Plaintiff,

-VS-

Defendant.

NO.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW FOR EXCEPTIONAL SENTENCE

THIS MATTER having come before the above-entitled Court as a sentencing hearing; the Plaintiff, State of Washington, appearing by and through its attorney of record, below named; and the defendant, ______, appearing in person and through his counsel of record, below named; and the Court having considered the testimony of the witnesses, the statements of counsel, and the record and file in this cause, now makes the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

I.

The defendant has been convicted of assault in violation of no contact order. The defendant's standard range is up to 12 months incarceration. The statutory maximum is five years' incarceration. From the foregoing Findings of Fact, the Court now makes and enters the following:

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I.

That the above-entitled Court has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this action.

II.

There are substantial and compelling reasons to impose an exceptional sentence of a Certified Domestic Violence Perpetrators Treatment Program and extended supervision long enough for defendant to complete the treatment program as set out in the judgment and sentence.

III.

The exceptional sentence is justified by the following:

- (a) This condition is stipulated to by the parties, in accordance with <u>State v. Hilyard</u>, 63 Wn. App. 413 (1991), *rev. denied*, 118 Wn.2d 1025 (1992).
- (b) The current offense was committed against a family or household member as defined in RCW 10.99.020, to wit: Jane Doe
- (c) The legislature has found that Domestic Violence Perpetrator Treatment as defined by RCW 26.50.150 and WAC 388-60 should be imposed as a crime-related prohibition to reduce the likelihood of additional domestic violence incidents.

	IV.
The grounds listed in the precedi	ng paragraph, taken together or considered individually,
constitute sufficient cause to impose the	exceptional sentence. This court would impose the exact same
sentence even if only one of the grounds	s listed in the preceding paragraph is valid.
DONE IN OPEN COURT this _	day of
	JUDGE
PRESENTED BY:	APPROVED FOR ENTRY:
FRESENTED DI.	AFFROVED FOR ENTRY.
WSBA Deputy Prosecuting Attorney	WSBA Attorney for Defendant
Deputy Prosecuting Attorney	Attorney for Defendant

II.

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

NO.

ORDER OF RELEASE PENDING APPEAL

Defendant.

THE Court having found by a preponderance of the evidence, as required by RCW 9.95.062, that: (1) the defendant is not likely to flee or to pose a danger to the safety of any other person or the community if the judgment is stayed; (2) the delay resulting from the stay will not unduly diminish the deterrent effect of the punishment; (3) a stay of the judgment will not cause unreasonable trauma to the victims of the crime or their families; and (4) the defendant has undertaken to the extent of the defendant's financial ability to pay the financial obligations under the judgment or has posted an adequate performance bond to assure payment:

ORDERS that execution of the judgment is stayed pursuant to RAP 7.2(f) pending appeal with the following conditions and exceptions:

- [] 1. The defendant shall post cash bail or a surety bond in the amount of \$_____. This bail shall secure the defendant's appearance and, if condition 2 is not in effect, shall also secure payment of financial obligations. The defendant shall remain in custody until bail is posted.
- [] 2. The defendant shall make payments towards court-ordered legal financial obligations imposed in the judgment and sentence of not less than \$______ a month, beginning on the ______ day of ______, ____. All payments do made are to be held by the clerk of the court until further order of this court.
- [] 3. The provisions of the Judgment and Sentence that prohibit contact with specified persons shall remain in effect. Violation of this order is a criminal offense under chapter 10.99 RCW and will subject a violator to arrest.
- [] 4. The defendant shall report to the Department of Corrections, remain under the supervision of a community corrections officer, and follow the instructions, rules and regulations of the Department and the following:
 - [] All conditions of the community supervision or community placement set out in the Judgment and Sentence are incorporated as conditions of release;
 - [] Defendant is not to leave:______ without prior written approval of this Court;
 - [] Defendant shall during the period of release live at:

Such address shall not be changed without the written permission of the Court or the Department of Corrections.

- [] Defendant shall not possess any dangerous weapons.
- [] Defendant shall not drink or possess intoxicating liquors and shall not go to any establishment wherein alcoholic beverages are the chief item of sale.
- [] Defendant shall not use or possess any drugs except those prescribed by a

[] [] []	vehicle that is specifications immediately r Licensing (ve 5. The defendant shall h 6. The defendant shall d 7. The defendant shall r	iver's license no. not equipped with an ignitio contained in the supplement reported by the Kitsap County hicular assault or vehicular h ave no arrests or criminal lav liligently prosecute the appea eport to jail to serve any sent he issuance of a mandate by the es the appeal.	n interlock system set to the al order. This condition sha clerk's Office to the Depa omicide cases). v violations. l. ence of incarceration pendir	e all be rtment o ng appea
[]8				
DAT	ED:			
DITT		JUDGE		
	DEFE	NDANT'S ACKNOWLEDG	MENT	
1.	I have read the above conditi attached;	ons of release and any other	conditions of release that ma	ay be
2.	I agree to follow the above conditions and understand that any violation may lead to the forfeiture of any bond posted and/or to the immediate imposition of my sentence and/or to the issuance of a warrant for my immediate arrest, and that I may be charged with a separate crime;			
3.	I understand that a law enfor- leave this state or that I have immediately before the Cour	cement officer having probab violated a condition of my re t;	le cause to believe that I an lease, may arrest me and br	n about t ring me
4.	I understand that a failure to appear when required by this Court is a crime;			
5.	I understand that a failure to appear when required by this Court or a failure to report to my community corrections officer can result in the dismissal of my appeal by the court of appeals			
6.	I have received a copy of this			
DAT	ED:	DEFENDANT'S SI	GNATURE	
	ENDANT'S ATTORNEY A NO.	DEFENDANT'S ST	REET ADDRESS	
PROSECUTING ATTORNEY WSBA NO.		CITY	STATE ZIP	

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

N	О.	

ORDER OF RELEASE PENDING APPEAL

Defendant.

THE Court having found by a preponderance of the evidence, as required by RCW 9.95.062, that: (1) the defendant is not likely to flee or to pose a danger to the safety of any other person or the community if the judgment is stayed; (2) the delay resulting from the stay will not unduly diminish the deterrent effect of the punishment; (3) a stay of the judgment will not cause unreasonable trauma to the victims of the crime or their families; and (4) the defendant has undertaken to the extent of the defendant's financial ability to pay the financial obligations under the judgment or has posted an adequate performance bond to assure payment:

ORDERS that execution of the judgment is stayed pursuant to RALJ 4.3(b) pending appeal with the following conditions and exceptions:

- [] 1. The defendant shall post cash bail or a surety bond in the amount of \$_______. This bail shall secure the defendant's appearance and, if condition 2 is not in effect, shall also secure payment of financial obligations. The defendant shall remain in custody until bail is posted.
- [] 2. The defendant shall make payments towards court-ordered legal financial obligations imposed in the judgment and sentence of not less than \$______ a month, beginning on the ______ day of ______, . All payments do made are to be held by the clerk of the court

, _____. All payments do made are to be held by the clerk of the cou until further order of this court.

- [] 3. The provisions of the Judgment and Sentence that prohibit contact with specified persons shall remain in effect. Violation of this order is a criminal offense under chapter 10.99 RCW and will subject a violator to arrest.
- [] 4. The defendant shall report to the Probation Department, remain under the supervision of a probation officer, and follow the instructions, rules and regulations of the Probation Department and the following:
 - [] Defendant is not to leave: _______ without prior written approval of this Court;
 - [] Defendant shall during the period of release live at:

Such address shall not be changed without the written permission of the Court or the Probation Department.

[] Defendant shall not possess any dangerous weapons.

		[]	Defendant s establishme	shall not drink or po ent wherein alcohol	ossess intoxicating lique	ors and shall not go to any ef item of sale.
		[]	Defendant s physician.	shall not use or poss	sess any drugs except th	nose prescribed by a
		[]	vehicle that specification	driver's license no. t is not equipped wi ns contained in the y reported by the C	, sh th an ignition interlock supplemental order. T lerk's Office to the Dep	all not operate a motor system set to the his condition shall be artment of Licensing.
[]	5.	The defendant shall have no arrests.				
[]	6.	The de	The defendant shall diligently prosecute the appeal.			
[]	7.	The defendant shall report to jail to serve any sentence of incarceration pending appeal within days of the issuance of a ruling or opinion by the appellate court that affirms the conviction or dismisses the appeal.				
[]	8.					
			_			
DAT	ED:			JUDGE		
			DEF	FENDANT'S ACKI	NOWLEDGMENT	
1.	I have attach		e above cond	litions of release an	d any other conditions of	of release that may be
2.	forfei	ture of a	ny bond post	e conditions and und ted and to the issuar a separate crime;	lerstand that any violating of a warrant for my	ion may lead to the immediate arrest, and
3.	leave	this stat	hat a law enf e or that I hav before the Co	ve violated a condit	ving probable cause to ion of my release, may	believe that I am about to arrest me and bring me
4.	I unde	erstand t	hat a failure t	to appear when requ	uired by this Court is a	crime;
5.	I unde Proba	erstand t tion Off	hat a failure t icer can resul	to appear when requ lt in the dismissal o	uired by this Court or a f my appeal;	failure to report to my
6.		have received a copy of this order.				
DAT	ED:					
				DEFENDANT'	S SIGNATURE	
	ENDAN A NO.	T'S AT	TORNEY	DEFENDANT'	S STREET ADDRESS	
			CITY	STATE		
			TORNEY		SIAIE	ZIP