GOVERNING BOARD MEETING OREGON DEPARTMENT OF GEOLOGY & MINERAL INDUSTRIES

Monday, March 10, 2014 Portland, Oregon

- <u>Call to Order:</u> (Larry Givens, Board Chair) Chair Givens called the meeting to order at 8:30 am.
- 2) Introductions: (Larry Givens, Board Chair and staff) Board Chair Larry Givens, Vice Chair Douglas MacDougal and Board Members Lisa Phipps, and Dennis Luke were present. Charles Vars is no longer attending meetings, though he has not yet been replaced by the Governor's Office.

DOGAMI Staff in attendance: Vicki McConnell, State Geologist Gary Lynch, Assistant Director, MLRR Andree Pollock, Assistant Director, GS&S Rachel Smith, Project Operations Manager Larry Knudsen, Assistant Attorney General, DOJ Carol DuVernois, Executive Assistant

Others: Larry Tuttle, Center for Environmental Equity Randy Jones, Dept of Environmental Quality

- 1 Prior to agenda item 3, there was a motion to amend the agenda to add Agenda Item
- 5e: Summary of Legislation, and to add a Public Comment item prior to Agenda Item
 7a, which is an action item.
- 4
- 5 <u>Motion:</u> Luke moved to approve the agenda as amended. MacDougal seconded the 6 motion. Motion carried.
- 7
- 8 3) Approval of Minutes of November 25, 2013 Portland Meeting: (Board) Action Item
 9 <u>Motion:</u> Luke moved to approve minutes as distributed. Phipps seconded. Motion
 10 carried.
- 11
- McConnell reviewed the action items from the minutes. HB2202 draft rules are not
 yet ready for distribution. DEQ Director Pedersen will be invited to meet with the
 Board at the next meeting.
- 15

16 4) Board Business:

17

a. Update on Board nominations: Information Item

18 Chair Givens noted for the record that the Director's Evaluation has been completed19 and sent to DAS.

20

23

The agency has sent recommendations to the Governor's Office for Vars' seat on the
Board. The Governor's Office has not yet made a recommendation to the Senate.

MacDougal's seat on the Board has expired and he will not serve a second term, but
will stay for one or two more meetings while we look for a replacement.

- 26
- 27 28

b. Discussion of letter from Center for Environmental Equity: Information Item

29 Chair Givens asked Larry Tuttle, the Director for the Center of Environmental Equity 30 for comments, which he submitted in written form and are part of the public record. 31 Tuttle disagrees with the Board's decision that Mr. Jones stays on the Technical 32 Review Team, but accepts the decision. He believes there is a dangerous precedent 33 being set that will blur the political offices of the state with the permitting process. 34 He believes that the roles of independent agencies will erode and the Governor's 35 Office policy of inserting themselves into the decision making processes of agencies 36 is a dangerous precedent. The dual role of Mr. Jones is part of that dangerous 37 precedent, and he doesn't believe the state should be making these types of 38 decisions based on the personalities that happen to be involved at the particular 39 time. If Mr. Jones continues on in the Grassy Mountain application process, Tuttle 40 will continue to point out where he believes there are conflicts. He believes there 41 are a lot of conflicts, and if the staff and Board decide differently he will accept that. 42 He believes Mr. Jones' presentation to the legislature was clearly as an advocate for 43 the project rather than an advocate for the process.

44

Luke noted that many people who are involved in both sides of the decisions have a
better perspective. He understands Mr. Tuttle's point, but doesn't see it as a
conflict. Chair Givens noted that as a current County Commissioner, he is often in a
dual role of regulation and advocacy.

- Tuttle responded that the difference here is that a technical evaluation should be
 free of political influence and development interest.
- 52

53 Chair Givens then asked Randy Jones, from the Oregon Department of

54 Environmental Quality, and acting as the department's liaison for the Northeast and 55 Greater Eastern Regional Solutions Center in La Grande, for comment. He believes 56 that Mr. Tuttle's raising of this issue is timely and an important consideration for all 57 the members of the TRT and the Project Coordinating Committee in the context of 58 chemical mining rules in Oregon. It is always a consideration as an agency person in 59 a permitting role to ensure that there is clear objectivity within committees. In 50 regard to DEO's role he people to make absolutely sure that the respective rules for

- 60 regard to DEQ's role he needs to make absolutely sure that the respective rules for
- 61 chemical mining under DOGAMI or DEQ are enforced.

- Phipps noted that it is not uncommon to have people sitting on advisory committees that wear multiple hats, and clarified with Jones that his role as co-chair is primarily as a facilitator and his vote holds no more weight than any others. Jones also stated that he has no pecuniary interest in the company. Chair Givens said that the notion of the Oregon Solutions Teams is to solve regional problems, no matter what they are, not just for economic development.
- 68

72

MacDougal wanted to know why Tuttle believes Jones has a conflict of interest
merely by virtue of his position in DEQ, without any economic or financial
involvement.

Tuttle responded that there are no public members on the TRT. He sees the
Regional Solutions Centers staff as extensions of the Governor's office, and that is
where he sees the conflict.

- Phipps asked if Jones was advocating for the project when he testified in front of the
 House Interim Committee on Agriculture and Natural Resources, on September 25,
 2013. Jones said that his testimony was on behalf of the TRT and explained the
 process, the status of the project, and its next steps. He noted that he is excited
 about his role for DEQ and in the context of regional solutions, and for the state of
 Oregon. However there are rules that guide the process and approvals that might be
 issued.
- 84

McConnell asked AAG Knudsen to clarify what staff's and agencies' responsibilities are when it comes to conflict of interest. Knudsen said that Oregon does have a very strong conflict of interest law that is financially based, that if a person or a person's family member has a financial interest in something then that can preclude making governmental decisions. That is not what we have at issue here; these are policy issues, not legal issues.

91

Luke noted that people in local government run into this all the time, and must beable to work within the framework of the rules.

94 95

96

5) <u>State of the Agency:</u> (State Geologist & Agency Staff)

- a. Update of Agency activity by State Geologist: Update Item
- 97 McConnell briefed the Board on the agency's recent activities including new and 98 completed projects, staffing changes, meetings and conferences attended by staff 99 and the recent staff engagement survey. The results of the engagement survey are 100 being digested by management at this time and the results will be brought to the 101 Board in the future.
- 102 103

104

- b. Financial Report for Agency activity through January 2014: Information Item
- 105 Pollock reported on the financial status of the agency for the last quarter.

106	
107	c. Review of ongoing projects: Update Item
108	McConnell introduced the list of projects and corresponding maps. Pollock pointed out
109	the lack of projects in eastern Oregon because we are not getting the investment from
110	the federal government or others. However, we continue to pursue lidar in order to get
111	data, so the eastern side of the state will have the same tools as the west side.
112	
113	d. Agency Strategic Plan Workgroup: Update Item
114	The workgroup met for a full day meeting to work out the draft language of the new
115	Strategic Plan. There are several subcommittees that have been assigned to work
116	on the Vision, Goals and Objectives. The workgroup will get together in mid-April
117	and bring a draft to the Board at the next meeting. We will then send it out to staff
118	and stakeholders for feedback.
119	
120	e. Summary of Legislation: Update Item
121	The legislative session ended on time. There were not many bills that had a direct
122	effect on the agency, other than statewide policy bills, and HB4015B that codifies
123	Regional Solutions into a program.
124	6) Break
125	7) <u>Regulatory Issues:</u> (Gary Lynch, Mineral Land Regulation and Reclamation
126	Program)
127	a. Agency is requesting the Board adopt draft administrative rules for
128	implementation of HB 2248; relating to chemical process mining
129	regulation: Action Item
130	Prior to discussion, the Board allowed for Public Comment. There was no Public
131	Comment.
132	
133	Lynch briefed the Board on rulemaking process and the comments received during
134	the public comment period held after the public hearing on December 5, 2013. The
135	Board was given a list of comments submitted and the agency's response to each
136	comment. AAG Knudsen noted that the draft rules had not changed appreciably
137	since the Board last saw them in September. There was only one minor change due
138	to public comment. McConnell reminded the Board that these rules do not affect
139	any mining done below the high water line on any waterway in the state; those are
140	regulated by the Department of State Lands. After brief discussion the Board moved
141	to adopt the rules.
142	
143	Motion: Luke moved to adopt amendments to Division 35 and Division 37 as sent
144	out in attachment (a) to the staff report. MacDougal seconded. Motion carried.
145	
146	McConnell briefly outlined the status of draft rules for HB 2202, which involved
147	aggregate mining on high value farm land, making sure they mine deeply enough to
148	mine the area out, rather than take only the easily removed aggregate and move on
149	to disturb more surface area.

150	
151	Motion: Phipps moved to have staff move forward with preparing draft rules to
152	implement HB 2202 (2013). Luke seconded the motion. Motion carried.
153	
154	b. Summary of operational and enforcement activities for surface
155	mining and oil and gas and geothermal regulatory programs: Update
156	Item
157	Mining Enforcement:
158	MLRR issued a Notice of Violation (NOV) to the operator of the Harrington Quarry
159	near Sodaville (DOGAMI permit ID 22-0100). The operator has been cooperative,
160	and concerned citizens are working with the agency. The permit violation deals with
161	possible off-site impacts as a result of blasting. MacDougal asked if we should
162	regulate blasting somehow. Knudsen explained that our mission is based on the
163	concept of reclamation rather than environmental well-being. However,
164	reclamation is defined broadly to include offsite impacts, so if rocks are falling
165	outside the permit boundary, that is an offsite impact. The tool for reclamation is
166	bonding, but is not a very good regulatory tool for preventing damage from blasting.
167	
168	Mining Update:
169	Permit numbers are holding around the 900 mark, and we are making solid progress
170	on reaching our key performance measure.
171	The low water year has produced minimal stormwater issues.
172	The DOGAMI MLRR awards for 2013 are moving along with the Award Selection
173	meeting toward the end of May.
174	We continue to participate on the State Sage Grouse Conservation plan; a draft
175	version is expected around June. Currently the BLM is developing their Resource
176	Management Plan. Ultimately, and hopefully, the two policy plans will be melded
177	into a well-coordinated Sage Grouse Policy for the State.
178	
179	Geothermal or Oil & Gas Enforcement:
180	There are no enforcement actions related to the Oil and Gas or Geothermal
181	Programs at this time.
182	
183	<u>Geothermal Update</u> :
184	Since the last update to the Board, the department has received one Geothermal
185	Well application (Olene Gap, Klamath County) and one Geothermal Well
186	Modification application (Paisley), and has issued one Geothermal Well application
187	(Olene Gap, Klamath County). Olene Gap is moving forward with a 10 megawatt
188	plant, so there may be a unitization request in the near future. The current number
189	of geothermal permits is 29; there are 6 Geothermal Prospect permits. To date, 25
190	Geothermal Well applications and 3 Geothermal Prospect Well applications remain
191	under review.
192	

193	Over the previous three years, Surprise Valley Electric (SVE) has drilled three
194	production wells near the town of Paisley in central Oregon. SVE has submitted a
195	modification application to convert one of their production wells to allow for the
196	reinjection of geothermal resource back to the production zone. If approved, this
197	permitting action would allow produced geothermal waters to be circulated back to
198	the production zone, replenishing the source to balance the geothermal hydrologic
199	system.
200	
201	<u>Oil & Gas Program Update:</u>
202	Since the last update to the Board, the department has received one Oil and Gas
203	Seismic Program permit application (Mist Gas Field), and closed one gas well after it
204	was properly decommissioned. The total number of active Oil and Gas permits
205	remains 105, with one Oil and Gas Seismic program permit. Currently, 4 Oil and Gas
206	well applications, and one Seismic Program application remain under review.
207	
208	c. Calico/Grassy Mountain Gold prospect permitting activity: Update
209	Item
210	There is not much happening with Grassy Mountain. There was one subcommittee
211	meeting of the Technical Review Team. There was an attempt by Rock Star mining
212	to buy Calico and it does not seem to have gone through, however we do not track
213	those issues.
214	
215	8) Review of Policy Option Package concepts for 2015-17 budget (Vicki McConnell,
216	State Geologist)
217	a. Overview of policy initiative concepts the agency have developed in
218	preparation for drafting the 2015-17 Agency Request Budget: Action
219	Item
220	We will be developing an Agency Request Budget through a process similar to this
221	biennium with the same budget outcomes as defined by the Governor's 10 Year
222	Plan. We know there have been some revisions to the process to streamline and
223	better align with the existing state budget system.
224	
225	In preparation for development of the DOGAMI Agency Request Budget, McConnell
226	briefed the Board on five Policy Option Package concepts. These concepts are to
227	continue ongoing programs such as the Lidar Data Acquisition Program and to ask
228	for investment by the state into our geologic hazards programs: flood, landslide, and
229	earthquake seismic hazards. McConnell also brought forth the possibility about
230	asking for a POP for mineral resource and geothermal assessments. We have shown
231	over the last decade that our cooperative project business plan is workable but with
232	no direct investment by the state we are unable to focus on state priorities or fully
233	leverage federal and other funds.
234	

235	Motion: Phipps moved to authorize staff to move forward with Policy Option
236	Packages outlined and to develop the additional POP for mineral resources and
237	geothermal assessments. MacDougal seconded. Motion carried.
238	
239	9) Set Time and Date for next meeting: (Board)
240	The next meeting will be held Monday, June 16, 2014 in Portland.
241	
242	10) Additional Public Comment: (three minutes limit per person unless otherwise
243	specified at the meeting by the Chair)
244	Larry Tuttle discussed Calico Resources. The pending sale fell through because the
245	buyer did not come up with the second down payment, but they are actively
246	looking for another buyer. The pace of whatever they are doing will slow down
247	considerably and he would be surprised if they invest very much in data collection
248	and he doubts that Calico will spend much if they are marketing the asset.
249	
250	Quartz Mountain, in southern Oregon, has had a lot of interest over the years, but
251	does not give up its gold very easily. It is in a mercury overlay zone, so there are
252	some issues there. Last year, a group called Orsa Ventures started a process of
253	exploration permit with the Forest Service. Since then it has been sold to Alamos
254	Gold, which has indicated an interest in proceeding. It appears that the forest end
255	of the exploration permit has been approved, which means that the state permit
256	can be processed. So it may be that the file a Notice of Intent in 2015.
257	11) <u>Adjourn</u>
258	The meeting was adjourned at 11:57 am.
259	
260	Action Items:
261	
262	1. Invite Dick Pedersen to present at the next meeting.
263	
264	2. Figure out a good place to have the fall meeting (Hood River, Baker City, ?)
265	
266	

APPROVED:

Larry Givens, Chair

Douglas MacDougal, Vice Chair

Lisa Phipps

Dennis Luke