ATTACHMENT B					
NAVFAC PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE (Form PPQ)					
CONTRACT INFORMATION (Contractor to complete Blocks 1-4)					
1. Contractor Information:					
Firm Name: Noric Engineering Corp. Address: 337 Brightseat Road, Suite 200, Landover, MD 20785 Phone Number: 301-499-2202					
Point of Contact: Dragon Stojonovic Contact Phone Number: 301-499-2202					
2. Work Performed as: Prime Contractor Sub Contractor Joint Venture Other (Explain) Percent of project work performed: 36%					
If subcontractor, who was prime (Name/Phone #): Grunley-Walsh US, LLC					
3. Contract Information					
Contract Number: N62477-04-D-0174 Delivery/Task Order Number (if applicable): 0004 Title: Modification to the Dahlgren Sewage Treatment Plant Location: Naval Support Facility, Dahlgren, VA					
Award Date (mm/dd/yy): 5/1/2008 Completion Date (mm/dd/yy): 3/1/2010					
Award Amount:\$6,639,525.00Final Price:\$6,994,554.00					
 Project Description: Modify existing sewage treatmwnt plant while maintaining operations. Demolish old equipment and buildings and replace with new facilities. 					
CLIENT INFORMATION (Client to complete Blocks 5-8)					
5. Client Information					
Name: Bill Rees Title: Project Manager (NAVFA) Phone Number: 540-284-1063 Email Address: william.rees@navy.mil					
6. Describe the client's role in the project:					
7. Date Questionnaire was completed:					
8. Client's Signature:					

NOTE: NAVFAC REQUESTS THAT THE CLIENT COMPLETES THIS QUESTIONNAIRE AND SUBMITS DIRECTLY BACK TO THE OFFEROR. THE OFFEROR WILL SUBMIT THE COMPLETED QUESTIONNAIRE TO NAVFAC WITH THEIR PROPOSAL, AND MAY DUPLICATE THIS QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FUTURE SUBMISSION ON NAVFAC SOLICITATIONS. CLIENTS ARE HIGHLY ENCOURAGED TO SUBMIT QUESTIONNAIRES DIRECTLY TO THE OFFEROR. HOWEVER, QUESTIONNAIRES MAY BE SUBMITTED DIRECTLY TO NAVFAC. PLEASE CONTACT THE OFFEROR FOR NAVFAC POC INFORMATION. THE GOVERNMENT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO VERIFY ANY AND ALL INFORMATION ON THIS FORM.

TO BE COMPLETED BY CLIENT

ADJECTIVE RATINGS AND DEFINITIONS TO BE USED TO BEST REFLECT YOUR EVALUATION OF THE CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE

E (EXCELLENT) – Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds the Client's/Government's expectations. The contractual performance of the element being assessed was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were highly effective.

V (VERY GOOD) – Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some of the Client's/Government's expectations. The contractual performance of the element being assessed was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were effective.

S (SATISFACTORY) – Performance meets contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element contains some minor problems for which corrective action taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory.

M (MARGINAL) –Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element being assessed reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The contractor's proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented.

U (UNSATISFACTORY) – Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and/or recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element contains serious problem(s) for which the contractor's corrective actions appear or were ineffective.

N (NOT APPLICABLE) – No past performance record is identifiable or the element is not applicable to this project.

1. Ability to meet quality standards specified for technical performance.	E	V	S	М	U	N
2. Compliance with contractual terms and conditions.	Е	V	S	М	U	Ν
3. Compliance with contract delivery/completion schedules including any significant intermediate milestones.	Е	V	S	М	U	N
4. Quality/integrity of technical data/report preparation efforts.	Е	V	S	М	U	Ν
5. Adequacy/effectiveness of quality control program and adherence to contract quality assurance requirements.	Е	V	S	М	U	Ν
6. Effectiveness of overall contract management (including ability to effectively lead, manage and control the program).	Е	V	S	М	U	Ν
7. Effectiveness of on-site management, including management of subcontractors?	Е	V	S	М	U	Ν
8. Contractor was reasonable and cooperative in dealing with your staff (including the ability to successfully resolve disagreements/disputes; responsiveness to administrative reports).	Е	V	S	М	U	N
9. Timeliness/effectiveness of contract problem resolution without extensive customer guidance.	Е	V	S	М	U	Ν
10. Ability to successfully respond to emergency and/or surge situations.	Е	V	S	М	U	N
11. Effectiveness of material management.	Е	V	S	М	U	Ν
12. Effectiveness of acquisition management.	Е	V	S	М	U	Ν

PLEASE CIRCLE THE ADJECTIVE RATING WHICH BEST REFLECTS YOUR EVALUATION OF THE CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE.

13. Contractor proposed innovative alternative methods/processes that reduced cost, improved maintainability or other factors that benefited the client.	Е	V	S	М	U	Ν
14. Contractor's management of their safety program.	E	V	S	М	U	Ν
15. Responsiveness regarding safety issues.	E	V	S	М	U	Ν
16. If this was a cost type contract, compliance with established budgets and avoidance of significant and/or unexplained variances (underruns or overruns).	Е	v	S	М	U	Ν
17. If this is/was a Government cost type contract, the Contractor's timeliness in submitting monthly invoices with appropriate back-up documentation and monthly status reports/budget variance reports.	E	V	S	М	U	Ν
18. If this is/was a Government cost type contract, the Contractor's accuracy regarding monthly invoices with appropriate back-up documentation and monthly status reports/budget variance reports.	E	V	S	М	U	Ν
19. Ability to hire/apply a qualified workforce to this effort.	Е	V	S	М	U	Ν
20. Ability to retain a qualified workforce on this effort	Е	V	S	М	U	Ν
21. If this is/was a Government contract, has/was this contract been partially or completely terminated for default or convenience or are there any pending terminations? Indicate if show cause or cure notices were issued, or any default action in comment section below.	Yes				No	
22. Have there been any indications that the contractor has had any financial problem? If yes, please explain below.		Yes No				
23. In summary, provide an overall rating for the work performed by this contractor.	Е	V	S	М	U	Ν

Any additional comments related to the contractor's performance: