## **Question 1** — Document-Based Question

## Analyze the debates over Italian national identity and unification in the period circa 1830–1870.

## BASIC CORE: 1 point each to a total of 6 points

- 1. Provides an appropriate, explicitly stated thesis that directly addresses at least two aspects of the question. The thesis must not simply restate the question.
- 2. **Discusses at least seven documents even if some of them are used incorrectly.** <u>Note</u>: Documents may not be bundled together to get credit for this point (e.g., "Docs 3, 7 and 9 all argue ...").
- 3. **May not misinterpret more than one document.** A student cannot earn this point if credit was not awarded for point 2 (discusses a majority of the documents).
- 4. **Documents support the thesis.** A student cannot earn this point if credit was not awarded for point 1 (appropriate thesis). A student cannot earn this point if credit was not awarded for point 2 (discusses a majority of the documents).
- 5. **Analyzes point of view or bias in at least three of the documents.** The student must make a reasonable effort to explain why a particular source expresses the stated view by
  - relating authorial point of view to author's place in society (motive, position, status, etc.); OR
  - evaluating the reliability of the source; OR
  - recognizing that different kinds of documents serve different purposes; OR
  - analyzing the tone of the documents; must be well-developed.
- 6. **Analyzes documents by organizing them into at least THREE groups.** A group must have at least TWO documents. <u>Note</u>: If one document out of a group of two is incorrect, there no longer is a group.

#### <u>GROUPS</u>

- Republicanism and anti-monarchy: 1, 6, 9, 12
- Regionalism: 2, 3, 6, 7, 11
- Historical divisions: 2, 6
- Role of the pope: 3, 5, 8, 10
- Class divisions: 4, 12
- Democratic spirit: 4, 9, 12
- Monarchy: 4, 5, 8, 9, 12
- Foreign influence: 7, 8, 9, 10, 11
- Pro-unity: 1, 3, 4, 8, 9, 10, 12

## Question 1 — Document-Based Question (continued)

#### EXPANDED CORE: 0-3 points to a total of 9 points

Expands beyond the basic core of 1–6. The basic score of 6 must be achieved before a student can earn expanded core points. Credit awarded in the expanded core should be based on <u>holistic assessment</u> of the essay. Factors to consider in holistic assessment may include:

- Presents a clear, analytical and comprehensive thesis.
- Uses all or almost all of the documents (10–11 documents).
- Uses the documents persuasively as evidence.
- Shows understanding of nuances of the documents.
- Analyzes point of view or bias in at least four documents cited in the essay.
- Analyzes the documents in additional ways (e.g., develops more groupings).
- Recognizes and develops change over time.
- Brings in relevant outside information.

## Question 1 — Document Summary

## Document 1: Giuseppe Mazzini, attorney from Genoa, exiled from Piedmont in 1831, manifesto, 1831

Young Italy stands for the republic and unity. Italy should be a republic because it really has no basis for existing as a monarchy (pro-republic, anti-monarchy).

#### Document 2: Carlo Cattaneo, philosopher and political activist, Lombardy, 1836

Whoever ignores this love of the individual regions of Italy will always build on sand (pro-region, antiunity).

## Document 3: Vincenzo Gioberti, priest from Piedmont, *On the Moral and Civil Primacy of the Italians*, published in exile, 1843

That the pope is naturally, and should be effectively, the civil head of Italy is a truth forecast in the nature of things and confirmed by many centuries of history. Would increase the strength of the various princes (pro-pope, pro-unity).

## Document 4: Count Camillo Benso di Cavour, politician from Piedmont-Sardinia, journal article, 1846

All true friends of the country must recognize that they cannot truly help their fatherland except by gathering in support of legitimate monarchs who have their roots in the national soil (anti-unity, pro-monarchy, pro-region).

## Document 5: Pope Pius IX, published statement, 1848

The Italian people should abide in close attachment to their respective sovereigns, of whose goodwill they have already had experience, so as never to let themselves be torn away from the obedience they owe them (pro-monarchy, pro-region, anti-unity).

#### Document 6: Daniele Manin, politician from Venice, letter to a friend, 1848

Peoples who have different origins and customs should not be forced together, because otherwise civil war will follow the war of independence (pro-region, anti-unity, anti-independence).

## Document 7: Marquis Massimo d'Azeglio, politician from Piedmont, secretly printed pamphlet, 1856

But ask any Italian, north or south, whether or not it is useful for Italy to free itself from foreign domination and influence, and no one, thank God, will reply other than in the affirmative, no one will refuse to give their minds or their hands to this end (pro-independence).

## Document 8: Count Camillo Benso di Cavour, prime minister of Piedmont-Sardinia, letter to Victor Emmanuel, king of Piedmont-Sardinia, 1858

The Emperor [Napoleon III, emperor of the French] readily agreed that it was necessary to drive the Austrians out of Italy once and all. But how was Italy to be organized after that? (pro-independence, pro-region).

## Question 1 — Document Summary (continued)

# Document 9: Jessie White Mario, English journalist, letter to the editor, *The New York Times*, 1858

Every inch of ground won by Italians for Italy in 1848 and 1849 was won by republicans, and at the close of that year Italy would have been free from foreigners, would have been free, independent and united, had not monarchy stepped in and substituted the petty longings of dynastic ambition for the great national aim (pro-independence, pro-republican, anti-monarchy).

## Document 10: Peace of Villafranca, treaty between France and Austria, 1859

The Emperors of Austria and France will favor the creation of an Italian Confederation and the honorary presidency of the pope (pro-pope, pro-region).

## Document 11: Emperor Napoleon III of France, letter to an Italian friend, 1859

I do not wish to see Italy united. I want only independence (anti-unity, pro-independence).

## Document 12: Giuseppe Garibaldi, representing Caprera in the Italian parliament, 1868

Although old republicans in principles and deeds, I and my friends accepted the monarchy in good faith, and asked of it nothing other than that it improve the conditions of our poor people (pro-monarchy).

## A closer look at DBQ thesis and point-of-view statements

Examples of viable theses:

- For many Italians the debate was one not of national unification, but rather of national liberation.
- Underlying it all would be the debate of unification, whether Italy should emerge into the 20th century as a single unified nation, or simply as a confederation of individual constituents bound only by geographical destiny and religious conformity.
- National liberation and national identity were far more important to most Italians than national unification.

Examples of what would <u>not</u> count as a thesis:

- Italy was divided politically and culturally well into the 19th century.
- The pope was generally opposed to Italian unification.

Examples of attribution:

- Giuseppe Mazzini was an attorney from Genoa exiled from Piedmont in 1831.
- Napoleon III was the emperor of France.
- Vincenzo Gioberti was a priest from Piedmont who wrote *On the Moral and Civil Primacy of the Italians* in 1836.

Examples of point of view:

- As the context is in a letter to the *New York Times* appealing to American readers, such an audience might support such emotions of national unity and independence. Because of this, the letter was written probably more in an attempt to garnish audience support than in an effort to be politically accurate (Doc. 9).
- The fact that Mazzini penned these words when he was in exile shows that the unification of Italy was something he really believed in, making the document a reliable source in its intent (Doc. 1).

Question 1 — Document Summary (continued)

•



DBQ

he 19th entry in Europe is charectanced 8 patrol In by the use of ond Naporalism inshkihars socia 1 Lollowing Era, The Napleonic horres of afterngh of the 1)sesalism and verbleens of the Hench Kerdihon Juch Sceminaly 490 by Metlemichia (mert and provale inedia ineffectioness indutions to olihay (Cha one again in the Italian trinsdoms. Ther since Maniles ed. the City-states of the Rengissance the end of Theyly from the scene of international promenence, reduced to nothing more The chess bound of polihal hegemony then pywas in 0 1et 95 more centalized powers. arger and 9 KANYICANS as well to confort h'mes was S MOR harde hlosophy Concol of. TI. been inetheraces Øf the organgheism of cent pro 9 gutorit on -91 oflast Solution to the question of Ifally's home in the form 0 Style under & size mongrey. as all elements for chonge be Igh Milled 1 noull WIM'N Creaton hist would 01 int Cimensions. sh. No modern con Italy. There was it be those That 5-nortel centralized the hopping of monachs and a dead More a THOL 9 rule. Others would rally of for republiques Lepicsentation 95 9 form of governent 17 911 いった 75 be the debate of vniheation, whether Italy should energe

> © 2010 The College Board. Visit the College Board on the Web: www.collegeboard.com.

# 1-A2

JOM into m entry as ٩ Single Unifiel nation ы asterghin of indust. constituts 8 deshuy and religious confe scoraphical ٩ Often credited as the 40 taker of avor was an achert State 10 the philosophers of neg1-politime and supported The growth of rghunglism 4 success Vanes+ he nevered that Sinole Monarch. As denountic revolution 51000 be parprovade anublica (Legis of prime demonstration n 9 of polihal Drawysticisa M demonstrukes his support of th øl 000 1991 e. demaker in of Viedmont Victor gmanel 500 PSLICES are Nice to France indirates his Shrewdows Savayme 9 squelle his fact Such ~~~>> Conhol of ne Securia me gool in pennsula und Viecmont. lin APANS -190 Arcan-Some 50augones o Mar 05 4.0 <del>\$0</del> > Mashe briotte lery hym 0 Fone locinen 021 19-1126 near unh 810 Nollhcal đ MINUS Shows ne his 1Hards Var R missih 0 guar and Ь Such sentiments gre most vnder Victor Emanel.

- A <

document generales 9 cuptonce greath Givseppe Bkc baribaldi 91 e of the monorchy 0 Rod Starch republican (aphalale takin over n Kindon bu 01 gile lana Vielows J and Logher with pour epublicais never die nor Alboush There were density Kut did some Or No Venns, In dichtes the Man lesho Vocument О Yarg 99423 mich in favor of orer masporn very Trah Poundert 6. The same serbury 15 54 Penduc be able to elect a real Should blich that Styles Should they so wish. boens pre show governal politician adjuction such a selection Volhcia ନ 15 no. denvashall ihelf has to be on arl Verice cike state historially sligred with more Demourate fons O altaciss more vehent 0 Vociment "( station high if not for Sta an Sidrons 1 AISHC fee and independen 25 yae been would he New York Times Supparing letter of 15 in 9 po merco R al Such an audiace might support such enshins Thenseles where Then 000 for incerne and units The s N 9 for acknowlesment and MIGHT GDARGI more 9giel the audicia names has ash to political obscussion.

## 1- A4

Finelly Unh he & argiching Issue of ussis independence erch Vocuert -7 quike paince debake. the Sim Skike Makes Uniboution Downer. his impossible. stahin gn ο 149 19 pope sould disasterns are instead under the sc Vail - capin Should fulle-They repective overnests ch r Russe Signing 15 Der hilt 4 SERIAL as しいこう argument is the love himself copie G Malin 2 Ren S. いろ he clams of Vocuest 3 Vn 199 9 home Con would be immuse - clearly has parcotten me h lon Kome in which he PoseE Saclanes of Valaa no Supl on he other defen ·+ Vocument None Sore sort, So which was a septiment righon of Vn alle. Sh borg pepublicus and Marchists only he there where in 2100 Leligious and eisa intraces would All derminate Vn TIL of marce ghurch Selmin Sunnah 10 () of very 1 24 Cecem 12 shan wor Vie 15 conebul Not wart C1 N hs 1k' 2001, As with the face (ase 94 would be 4 It was OSALIALLY griet In Kusts (L ocher

1- A5

1870 No Unilacetian of T M 07 presenced harly Italia identity all G govererce would om  $\mathcal{O}_{k}$ n. 30 hingly alminshing in the rise of (on' m rgie zimel wuk would change not only AZ Insa Gas world 45 well. 51 .9L Sut n 01

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering on this page as it is designated in the exam.

From the 1830s, when movements for Italian Unification first emerged, musice with 1870 waden and politicians both in Italy and appead were caught in a smell of debatel over the issue of Italian national identity and unification. Those aiming for Italian Unification debated whether Italy was to be a republic or a monarchy and whether the pope or a monarch were to when and then there were individuals completely against the unification of Italy, hoping for it to stay divided among its various kingdoms and territories. A major debate that continued from 1830 - 1870 in Italy was whether unification would mean the establishment of one a republic or italy under the we of a monarchy or single uader. Giuseppe Mazzini, a lading figure who contributed antimenting to Italian unification, sometimer deemed the "soul of unification", which founded young Italy, a "brother hood of Italians" when stood for "the republic and unity! Mazzini, in his manifetto for this brother hood, wholeheartedly assured declared that "Italy should be a republic because it wally has no basis for existing as a monarchy" (Doc 1). Jesse White Mario, a journalist who write to The New York Timer, a newspaper in the established republic of America, wrote in agreement with Mazzini's claims. Mano assorted that Italy could have been "free, independent, and united" after the republicans won in 1848-1849; and he denounced the monarchy for stepping in, criticizing m it as having "petty longing, of dynastic ambition " (poc. 9).

I - R

On the other side of this debate, many argued against establishing an Italian republic, placing their faith instead in a monarchy. Carour, another extremely significant leader in Italian Unification, proclaimed that

 $|-R\rangle$ 

" a domocratic revolution has no chance of rucers in Italy" the prairie " regitimate monarchs to me with deep national more and worked all aatmer to-report behind ther monarchs. Though some, especially religion figures pnest like Finberti, supported unification of Italy under the pope (DOC. 3), the Pope Pius IX, the leader of the Roman catholic Church based in the vatican during this time, scrency criticited this "treachenous advice" and apported carour in asting the people of Italy to support the monarche of the time (DOL 5). Pius IX perpite these efforts by the poper to avoid uniting Italy under his leadership, Canour succeeded in Establishing an agreement with Napouon II of France the and king victor Emmanuel of Pledmont - Sandinia to organize Italy into four states, forming a confederation "given to the pope" Adhering with his support for a monarchy, cavour established victor who would Emmanuel as "legal sovercian" - in practice dominate the whole peninsula" (DOC. 8). The In the peace of Villafrance in 1859, the Empener of Austria ? France doclared their favor in the creation of this Italian confederation under the pope ( poc. 10). Thus, gradually, the Unification of Italy was

realized, putting an end to the debater over Italian national

identity and unification.

and many debated against the unification was not met without opposition and many debated against the unification of the peninsula. Cattaneo believed the unification of in contracts and customany hights bared on ancient and modern laws" and also the "love of two individual regions of staly", effectively opposing unification. the argued that people "cannot easily be detailed from their natural centers", upon pervaps reflecting ~ the trends of nationalism that began to build in the 1800s. CDOC 2

1-B3
Write in the box the number of the question you are answering on this page as it is designated in the exam. this trend of nationalism <u>Manin also reflected upon the possibility of "and war" in Italy if</u> were to pe wification to pe
should not be forced together" CPOC. 6). Lastly, despite agreements with
Cavour and in the peace of Villagrance, opposition was pade mut by Emperor
Napoleon I of France, who the prospect of
having a strong, united nation to the south of un his nation's border.
The debates over Italian national identity and unification
regarding republic vs. monarch us. pope and whether or not unification
was even desirable raged on from 1870 - 1870. The In 1870
these debates were Finally put to rest as political Unification of Italy was
realized.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1-01

Write in the box the number of the question you are answering on this page as it is designated in the exam.

Etaly was a collection of states In the early nineteenth century by monarchy and republic governments. The nineteenth century ruled 1830 and 1870, Italy was Italians were in a dispute period between about whether they wanted the Kingdom of Piedmont and Sarclinia fate over, the or the pope and even by the Austrian Empire, or Prusia. The main focus on taking over Italy was by the Kingdom of Piedmont-Sadinia led by King Victor Emmanuel and EF Prime Minister Camillo Carour. and the other country focusing on Italy nos Prusia with Kaiser Wilhelm I with its as president and Otto von Bisman Kas Chief Minister. Throughout Ital y some wanted a republic government, some a monarchy and some for the peope to rule them. The only big players in this greene were the Prusians and King Victor. Both had the same aim, to increase the size of their country to achieve greatness The opposing opinions and desires of people e.g. the desire to have ruled

11/12. apposing apprions and desires of people e.g. The desire to have ruled by a republic govt. is show in Dowment 1. The author's point of view is "Xwarg Italystock for the republicion and unity. Italy should be a republic because it has no basis for more rejublicion and unity. Italy should be a republic because it has no basis for more rejublicion and unity. Italy should be a republic because it has no basis for more rejublicion and unity. Italy should be a republic because it has no basis for more rejublicion and unity. Italy should be a republic because it has no basis for more rejublicion and unity. Italy should be a republic because if has no basis for more rejublicion and unity. Italy should be a republic because if has no basis for more rejublicion and unity. Italy should be a republic because if has no basis for more rejublicion and unity. Italy should be a republic because in the head reditioned way where as in Dowment 3, a priest from Piedmont wanto to pope to act as head, but because the author of this downent is a priest, this is biased. In Downent, the mean ofter Italy, writes how Italy has deep roots of a more republic is also biased as Carour himself supports and belonge to amonorchistic rule. In Power Downent 6 suggests the states of Italy to have the choice of the implementing a system, to as

to avoid revolution.

the difference in people The big problem mos about how they wanted to be ruled and the neighbouring countries; Manting to sule Italian states had their own vested self interests. quotes." I do not wish to see Italy united .... Unity would bring danger to me and France." Napoleon III clearly tells about his Vulnerability if it is united, so he would do his best not to let that nanpen. unbiased source, Doument 5 suggesting the Pope says it would be better it the Idalian were to be left to their sovereign schres and not to be medalled and that putting the popeon the throne would be a mistake. Document shows another unbiascel and differing view. Author, Piedmont Politician, suggests it we the Italians do not mish to be ruled by foreign powers at all All these opposing views make it diffull to answer what is the best solution. We know by the end of 1871, Victor Emmanuel was wound King of Italy after having Bismarck tight three successive battles with Austria in 1866 over Lombardy and Venetia and tinally with Denmort in 1863 Jeret France in 1870 adetting rid of all possible opponents and making their may to the throne. By 1871, Italy was unified with Germany Pressia Htheresh there were revolutions but the administration were successful in having a tight grip on the public these.

In Conclusion, it is still very difficult to suggest or inclement the right systems and that is why we have to choose the best possible of Italy then was being ruled by a "seem to be democratic" empire under Bismarch and King Victor.

## AP<sup>®</sup> EUROPEAN HISTORY 2010 SCORING COMMENTARY (Form B)

## **Question 1**

#### Sample: 1A Score: 9

The thesis of this essay is clearly stated in the final sentence of the introductory paragraph. The essay uses all 12 documents and there are no major errors, and it uses the documents to support the thesis. The essay analyzes point of view 10 times, and the point-of-view analysis is sophisticated, insightful and accurate. It also describes three major groups. In addition to meeting all six criteria for the basic core points, it demonstrates superior analytical ability.

#### Sample: 1B Score: 5

The thesis of this essay is clearly stated in the last sentence of the introductory paragraph. The essay uses eight documents, there are no major errors, and it uses the documents to support the thesis. While the essay provides attribution for documents, it does not analyze point of view for any of the documents. For this reason, it did not earn the fifth point in the basic core for point of view from the scoring guidelines. Four major groups are indicated: republic, monarchy, foreign involvement, and anti-unification.

## Sample: 1C Score: 1

There is a major error in the thesis of this essay that makes the thesis impossible to prove. The essay earned 1 point for the use of seven documents. It makes major errors in interpreting the documents. Because of the erroneous thesis, it is impossible for the documents to support it. The essay does not analyze point of view for any of the documents, nor does it attempt to group the documents.