🖾 5 - Day Review	<b>60 - Day Review</b>	
SECTION I Regional Office staff or QIO may complete.		
Complaint Control Number:	Patient ID:	
Name of Patient:	DOB:	
Name of Alleged Violating Facility and/or Physician:	NPI Number:	
	tte: CMS Certification Number: (formerly the Medicare Provider Number)	
	es:	
	NPI Number:	
City: Sta Date and Time of Admission Receiving Facility (if ap	tte: CMS Certification Number: (formerly the Medicare Provider Number) oplicable):	
Manner of Transport:		
Location and Distance from Sending Facility (if know	vn):	

#### SECTION II

Note to Physician Reviewer: Please complete the following questions to address issues related to EMTALA. Please be sure to include your clinical rationale for your determinations, and make any summary comments and comments on other aspects of the case in the summary section on the last page of this document. Please keep in mind that the purpose of your comments is to provide your clinical perspective on the care rendered, for the CMS 5-day review or for the OIG 60-day review. Therefore, please refrain from making ANY statements about whether or not a violation of EMTALA has occurred, as that decision is the responsibility of CMS and the OIG only. (Violations of EMTALA may also constitute negligence under state malpractice law.)

#### **MEDICAL SCREENING EXAMINATION**

**Note to Physician Reviewer:** Depending upon an individual's presenting symptoms, an appropriate medical screening examination can range from a simple process involving only a brief history and physical examination to a complex process that also involves performing ancillary studies and procedures such as (but not limited to) lumbar puncture, clinical laboratory tests, CT scans and other diagnostic tests and procedures.

A facility must provide appropriate screening and treatment services within the full capabilities of its staff and facilities, including access to specialists who are on call.

# 1 a. Did the hospital provide a medical screening examination that was *appropriate* to the individual's medical complaint(s) and symptoms?

	<b>YES</b>			
Please explain	n your clinical ratio	nale:		
consultations	s by on–call specia dence, <i>sufficien</i> t (	alist physicians) a me	dical screening examin	y services routinely available and nation that was, within reasonable NCY MEDICAL CONDITION (as
	<b>YES</b>			
Please explain	n your clinical ratio	nale:		

**Note:** An **Emergency Medical Condition** is defined by statute as **EITHER**: (1) a medical condition manifesting itself by acute symptoms of sufficient severity (including severe pain, psychiatric disturbances and/or symptoms of substance abuse) such that the absence of immediate medical attention could reasonably be expected to result in: placing the individual's health (or with respect to a pregnant woman, the health of the woman or her unborn child) in serious jeopardy; serious impairment to bodily functions; or serious dysfunction of any bodily organ or part; <u>OR</u> (2) with respect to a pregnant woman who is having contractions, that there is inadequate time to effect a safe transfer to another facility before delivery, or that the transfer may pose a threat to the health or safety of the woman or the unborn child.

#### **EMERGENCY MEDICAL CONDITION**

2. Did this individual have an EMERGENCY MEDICAL CONDITION as defined by Part (1) of the statutory definition noted above? (Individual conditions meeting the definition in Part 2 above are addressed in subsequent questions.)

<b>YES</b>	
------------	--

Please explain your clinical rationale:

### 3. Was this individual a pregnant woman who was having contractions?

explain your clinical rat	ionale:		
I J I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I			
could it be deter		ansferred/discharged, at the time of tra nedical certainty that there would be a fore delivery?	
	_		
<b>YES</b>		∐ N/A	
Please explain your cli	nical rationale:		
transfer/discharg	e could it be determ	ctions was transferred/discharged, a ined, with reasonable medical cert t to the health or safety of the individua	ainty, th
child?		<b>N/A</b>	
child?			
child?	_		
child?	_		

#### STABILIZING TREATMENT

**Note to Physician Reviewer:** Terms relating to "stabilization" are specifically defined under EMTALA. These terms DO NOT REFLECT the common usage in the medical profession, but instead focus on the medical risks associated with a particular transfer/discharge. Thus, when answering questions related to "stability" for EMTALA, please be very careful to refer to the definition provided in parentheses in question 4 below. In addition, the clinical outcome of an individual's condition is not a proper basis for determining whether a person transferred was stabilized. However, the individual's outcome may be a "red flag" indicating that a more thorough evaluation of the individual's condition at the time of transfer was needed.

4. If an emergency medical condition (EMC) existed, at the time of transfer/discharge, was the individual's EMC "stabilized" (meaning that no material deterioration of the condition was likely, within reasonable medical probability, to result from or occur during the transfer/discharge of the individual from the facility, or in the case of a pregnant woman in labor, that the pregnant woman had delivered the child and placenta)?

	<b>YES</b>		<b>N/A</b>	
Please explain	n your clinical ratior	nale:		
"stabilize" (a likely <u>to resu</u>	ssure within reaso It from or occur du	nable medical prot <u>tring the transfer</u> of	ipped with such staff, services, or equipmen ability, that no material deterioration of the f the individual from a facility, or a pregnau gency medical condition?	e condition is
	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	N/A	
Please explain	n your clinical ratior	nale:		
transfer/disc		information availa	ne individual and the individual was not sta ble to indicate WHY the emergency medica	
	<b>YES</b>		<b>N/A</b>	
Please explain	n your clinical ration	nale:		

#### APPROPRIATE TRANSFERS

6 a. If the individual was transferred to another facility, is there evidence that the sending facility lacked the capabilities and facilities to provide further medical examination and treatment to stabilize the individual's medical condition? **YES** If yes, what were the specialized capabilities that were required for the individual's emergency medical condition that the sending hospital lacked? Please explain your clinical rationale: 6 b. If the individual was transferred to another facility, did the transferring facility provide further examination and stabilizing treatment, within its capabilities (including ancillary services routinely available to it) to minimize the risks of transfer to the individual's health and, where relevant, the health of the unborn child? **YES** Please explain your clinical rationale: 7. If the individual was transferred to another facility, to minimize the risks of transfer, did the transfer of the individual require the use of qualified personnel and transportation equipment, including life support measures if medically appropriate? **YES** N/A Please explain your clinical rationale: \_\_\_\_\_

8. If the individual was transferred to another facility, were the transportation equipment and personnel provided appropriate to the transferred individual's needs?

	] YES	<b>NO</b>	<b>N/A</b>
Please explain you	r clinical rationale: _		
medical person (in writing that, base available at the ti	n consultation with ed upon the reason me of transfer, the <b>p</b>	a physician, wh able risks and medical benefits	physician was not physically present, another qualified to subsequently countersigned the certification) certify in benefits to the individual, and based upon information s reasonably expected from medical treatment at another from effecting the transfer?
	] YES	<b>NO</b>	□ N/A
Please explain:			
and based upon in	nformation availab	le at the time of	upon the reasonable risks and benefits to the individual transfer, the medical benefits reasonably expected from increased risk to the individual being transferred?
	] YES	<b>NO</b>	□ N/A
Please explain you	r clinical rationale:		
			acting on the individual's behalf) requested the transfer ations and of the medical risks of transfer?
Г	YES		

Please explain:			
10. Did the transferrin provide appropriate m		greement of the receiving fa	ncility to accept the transfer and to
<b>YES</b>		<b>N/A</b>	
Please explain, including	g, if "yes," how this was	documented:	
		ransferring facility sent to t to the emergency medical co	he receiving facility all available andition?
<b>YES</b>	□ NO	<b>N/A</b>	
Please explain:			
there evidence that the	facility first offered th	e individual the further mee	nt or to an appropriate transfer, is dical examination and treatment or obtained the individual's informed
YES		<b>N/A</b>	
Please explain:			

#### <u>RESPONSIBILITY OF HOSPITALS WITH SPECIALIZED DIAGNOSTIC OR TREATMENT</u> <u>CAPABILITIES OR FACILITIES</u>

**Note to physician reviewer:** While "specialized capabilities or facilities" include such facilities as burn units, shock-trauma units, neonatal intensive care units or regional referral centers, it also includes much more. Most simply, if an individual with an emergency medical condition needs services to stabilize that condition that cannot be made available in a clinically appropriate timeframe at the hospital where the individual presented, but are available at another hospital, the hospital with these capabilities/services must accept a request for transfer if it has the capacity to provide the needed capabilities/services.

# 13. Is there any evidence that a Medicare-participating hospital that refused a transfer request has specialized capabilities or services (not available at the transferring hospital) that an individual required?

<b>YE</b>	S 🗌 NO	<b>N/A</b>	
Please explain:			

#### **DELAY IN TREATMENT**

14. Is there any evidence that the facility under review delayed for an inappropriate length of time the provision of an appropriate medical screening examination or further medical examination and treatment?

□ YES □ NO

Please explain:

#### **QUALITY**

15. Do you have any specific concerns about the quality of care rendered to the individual that have not already been addressed fully above?

YES	
-----	--

Please explain your clinical rationale:

#### **<u>SUMMARY OF FINDINGS</u>**:

16. Please summarize the key facts of the case below and any concerns or clarifications to your answers above with regard to this case. *Remember, do <u>not</u> state an opinion regarding whether EMTALA was violated.* 

General, as necessary, to pro Office of Inspector General,	lvice to the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services and/or the Office of Inspector perly adjudicate any issues and to testify as an expert witness on behalf of the if necessary.
Physician Reviewer Name	printed):
Physician Reviewer Signat	re:
	Date: