School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2010-11 School Year Published During 2011-12

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

EdData Partnership Web Site

EdData is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis Management and Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2011-12)

Tomas morniation (Tomas 101 12)					
	School	District			
School Name	Rancho San Joaquin Middle School	District Name	Irvine Unified School District		
Street	4861 Michelson Drive	Phone Number	(949) 936-5000		
City, State, Zip	Irvine, CA 92612	Web Site	www.iusd.org		
Phone Number	(949) 936-6500	Superintendent	Dr. Terry Walker		
Principal	Scott Bowman	E-mail Address	twalker@iusd.org		
E-mail Address	sbowman@iusd.org	CDS Code	30-73650-6071179		

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

Rancho San Joaquin is proud of being named a California Distinguished School twice and a National Blue Ribbon School of Excellence. This honor is reflective of our commitment to creating an educational environment that helps all children achieve success.

The school built a foundation for teaching and learning by focusing on analytical thinking, performance assessment, ethical values, and work habits. Our talented and dedicated staff is committed to helping students learn to use their minds well and to be life long learners. Through the positive behavior system (PBIS) Rancho R.U.L.E.S. implemented at Rancho, our students will be good citizens who are caring and ethical individuals in this ever-changing diverse world.

Rancho San Joaquin School — located in the village of University Park — had 873 7th and 8th students enrolled in the 2010-2011 school-year. Although English speaking students make up the majority of the student population, the student body includes a variety of ethnic backgrounds and languages and the school hosts the district's English Language Learner Program.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information on how parents can become involved in school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parent involvement.

The parents and community play a crucial part of the programs and success of Rancho Middle School. The parents provide support through numerous activities such as; volunteering in the office, chaperoning dances, participating in field trips, and helping supervise other activities.

The formal avenues for parents to demonstrate their support is through our PTA and School Site Council where their feedback and creative ideas help shape the instructional programs.

Our community has become a contributing member of Rancho through their kind donations for our Rancho R.U.L.E.S. (Positive Behavior Program) as they have helped provide rewards for students that are recognized for their appropriate behaviors.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2010-11)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 6	1
Grade 7	400
Grade 8	442
Total Enrollment	844

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2010-11)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	1.2	White	31.5
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.5	Two or More Races	1.7
Asian	53.2	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	10.2
Filipino	1.8	English Learners	17.8
Hispanic or Latino	4.9	Students with Disabilities	5.6
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.1		

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

2008-09			2009-10			2010-11						
Subject	Avg. Number of Classrooms		of Classrooms Avg. Number of Classrooms		Avg.	Numbe	er of Clas	srooms				
	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+
English	20.5	4	0	0	29	1	14	10	16.8	5	1	0
Mathematics	34.9	0	5	17	33.5	0	4	17	32.5	1	9	12
Science	35.3	0	3	20	33.4	0	6	17	34.6	0	1	11
Social Science	29	0	1	0	29	1	14	10	0	0	0	0

^{*} Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

Rancho San Joaquin Middle School is committed to creating an orderly, physically safe, and emotionally secure learning environment for every student. Our school safety mission is to help students develop sound judgment and ethical behavior, while promoting student self-esteem through positive work habits and attitudes.

The Safe School Plan for Rancho San Joaquin Middle School is evaluated yearly and amended, as needed by the school site council or school safety planning committee. Key elements of the plan include: 1. procedures for maintaining a safe and orderly environment; 2. student behavior data; 3. school crime data; 4. intervention programs; 5. school rules and discipline policies; 6. suspension and expulsion policies; 7. discrimination and harassment policies; 8. hate crime policies; 9. child abuse reporting procedures; 10. Ingress and egress procedures; and 11. A disaster response plan. Rancho continues to train its staff in CPR/First Aid, disaster preparedness, and search and rescue techniques.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Dete		School		District			
Rate	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	
Suspensions	0	4.52	4.98	2.84	3.52	2.95	
Expulsions	0.92	0	0.12	0.18	0.18	0.22	

^{*} The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- · Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- · Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Year and month in which data were collected:

The school, originally built in 1971, was modernized in 2001 and is surrounded by attractive grounds and landscaping. There are 32 classrooms, including four computer labs and two modules or portables. In addition to boys and girls locker rooms, students have access to a weight room and cardio lab. Other facilities include a moderately sized library with computer access, a multipurpose room, an outdoor quad, and extensive field and court space. All of these facilities are kept in good repair and our custodial staff and district support personnel take great pride in maintaining an attractive, clear, and safe school. The tennis and basketball courts were resurfaced in 2005, and the outside play equip was replaced in 2010.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- · Determination of repair status for systems listed
- · Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

System Inspected		Repair S	Status	Repair Needed and	
System inspected	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[X]	[]	[]	[]	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[X]	[]	[]	[]	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[X]	[]	[]	[]	
Electrical: Electrical	[X]	[]	[]	[]	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[X]	[]	[]	[]	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[X]	[]	[]	[]	

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and	
System inspected	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[X]	[]	[]	[]		
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[X]	[]	[]	[]		
Overall Rating	[X]	[]	[]	[]	As of the most recently completed school site inspection, the facility condition is considered to be exemplary.	

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

		School				
Teachers	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2010-11		
With Full Credential	32	57	28.6	1015		
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0		
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0			

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	3	
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	3	
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0	

^{* &}quot;Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2010-11)

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* webpage at: http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/

La contract Color	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by					
Location of Classes	NCLB Compliant Teachers	Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers				
This School	98.84	1.16				
All Schools in District	99.06	0.94				
High-Poverty Schools in District	0	0				
Low-Poverty Schools in District	99.03	0.97				

High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

^{** &}quot;Vacant Teacher Positions" refer to positions not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester.

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2010-11)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	2	425
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	1	
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	0	
Psychologist	.5	
Social Worker	0	
Nurse	.2	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.4	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	.5	
Other	0	

^{*} One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2011-12)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: September, 2011

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material. All textbooks purchased are state-adopted, and are standards aligned.	Yes	0%
Mathematics	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material. All textbooks purchased are state-adopted, and are standards aligned.	Yes	0%
Science	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material. All textbooks purchased are state-adopted, and are standards aligned.	Yes	0%
History-Social Science	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material. All textbooks purchased are state-adopted, and are standards aligned.	Yes	0%
Foreign Language	N/A	Yes	0%
Health	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material. All textbooks purchased are state-adopted, and are standards aligned.	Yes	0%
Visual and Performing Arts	All students are provided an individual textbook or instructional material.	Yes	0%

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental/ Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic/ Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$4,453	\$187	\$4,266	\$74,925
District			\$4,345	\$72,136
Percent Difference: School Site and District			-1.83%	3.87%
State			\$5,455	\$69,207
Percent Difference: School Site and State			-21.81%	8.26%

^{*} Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: http://www.ed-data.org.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assists students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

For 2010-11, Rancho San Joaquin Middle School received special funds in the amount of \$64,332. The majority of these funds were spent on personnel, materials and supplies to serve the unique educational needs in the following programs

\$34,092 - Economic Impact Aid /English Language Acquisition Program

\$30,240 - School Improvement

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)						
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category				
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$39,922	\$42,017				
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$71,298	\$67,294				
Highest Teacher Salary	\$92,163	\$86,776				
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$109,913	\$108,534				
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$114,353	\$112,893				
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$128,780	\$123,331				
Superintendent Salary	\$227,784	\$226,417				
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	41%	38%				
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5%	5%				

^{*} For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

^{**} Basic/Unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

IX. Student Performance

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- California Standards Tests (CSTs), which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science
 for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent
 them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at http://star.cde.ca.gov.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

	1								
Oublant		School		District		State			
Subject	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
English-Language Arts	84	86	83	80	81	82	49	52	54
Mathematics	86	85	83	78	79	79	46	48	50
Science	86	90	90	84	86	88	50	54	57
History-Social Science	80	82	81	71	74	76	41	44	48

^{*} Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by St	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced					
Group	English- Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science		
All Students in the LEA	82	79	88	76		
All Student at the School	83	83	90	81		
Male	80	84	91	83		
Female	87	82	89	79		
Black or African American	0	0	0	0		
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0		
Asian	86	91	92	86		
Filipino	81	81	0	0		
Hispanic or Latino	58	44	81	71		
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0		
White	84	77	92	78		
Two or More Races	83	79	78	75		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	57	55	73	58		
English Learners	21	52	47	14		
Students with Disabilities	56	37	83	59		
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services						

^{*} Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2010-11)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/.

l	Grade	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards					
Level		Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards			
	7	12.7	22.4	58			

^{*} Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2008	2009	2010
Statewide	10	10	10
Similar Schools	9	9	9

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - Three-Year Comparison

Group	Actual API Change				
Group	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11		
All Students at the School	18	3	-1		
Black or African American					
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian	16	-1	10		
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino					
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander					
White	21	-4	-16		
Two or More Races	N/D				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged					
English Learners		-17	17		
Students with Disabilities					

^{* &}quot;N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2011 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2011 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

	2011 Growth API						
Group	Sch	ool	LEA		State		
	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API	
All Students at the School	778	953	20,192	921	4,683,676	778	
Black or African American	10		438	809	317,856	696	
American Indian or Alaska Native	4		111	869	33,774	733	
Asian	405	997	8,594	962	398,869	898	
Filipino	15	960	582	920	123,245	859	
Hispanic or Latino	39	823	1,785	822	2,406,749	729	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	1		77	853	26,953	764	
White	246	916	7,160	907	1,258,831	845	
Two or More Races	13	803	419	915	76,766	836	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	77	798	2,213	815	2,731,843	726	
English Learners	102	860	3,621	880	1,521,844	707	
Students with Disabilities	51	742	1,964	761	521,815	595	

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2010-11)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	Yes	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	Yes	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	Yes	No
Met API Criteria	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	N/A	Yes

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2011-12)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		1
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		3

XI. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period. Questions that may be answered include:

- What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? For example, were student achievement data used to determined the need for professional development in reading instruction?
- What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?
- How are teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance data reporting, etc.)?

Professional development is targeted at our Continuous School Improvement goals of increasing the percentage of students who score at the proficient level or higher on the California Standards Test in English/Language Arts, Science and Mathematics. Specific areas of focus include the development of successful intervention strategies to assist low achieving students, the alignment of curriculum with content standards, the development of anchor assessments for writing,, math and science and increased departmental and cross curricular collaboration.

Over the past three years Rancho has utilized regularly scheduled late start days to provide staff members time to collaborate within departments, meet as an entire staff and provide individual teacher time to help improve the instructional program. During the 2010-2011 school-year Rancho developed a professional development calendar utilizing a 9:30 late start on each Wednesday. This allowed for 12 school-wide meetings, 10 department meetings, 9 individual teacher sessions, and 7 professional development days.

The major focus during the 2010-2011 school year was on the continued development of an Intervention Pathway that is consistently implemented for any struggling student. Teachers and other faculty members have been involved in Faculty Intervention Team (F.I.T.) meetings to plan and share successful startegies that work for these students. The emphasis is to provide numerous interventions before formal assessments need to be implemented. The Rancho staff strongly believes in "Doing What Is Best For Kids."