

**Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)** 

# Annual EEO Program Status Report

Fiscal Year

2012

### **EEOC Forms and Documents Included in this Report**

• EEOC (Form /15-01 Part A-D)	Tab 1
• FAA Executive Summary (Form 715-01 Part E)	Tab 2
<ul> <li>FAA Statement of Establishment of Continuing EEO Programs (Form 715-01 Part F)</li> </ul>	Tab 3
FAA Policy Statements	Tab 4
<ul> <li>FAA Annual Self-Assessment Checklist of Essential Elements (Form 715-01 Part G)</li> </ul>	Tab 5
<ul> <li>FAA EEO Plan to obtain the Essential Elements of a Model EEO Program (Form 715-01 Part H)</li> </ul>	Tab 6
<ul> <li>FAA EEO Plan to Eliminate Identified Barrier (Form 715-01 Part I)</li> </ul>	Tab 7
<ul> <li>FAA Special Program Plan for Recruitment, Hiring, and Advancement of Individuals with Targeted Disabilities for Agencies with 1000 or more Employees (Form 715-01 Part J)</li> </ul>	Tab 8
FAA Workforce Data Tables ("A" Tables)	Tab 9
FAA Disability Workforce Data Tables ("B" Tables)	Tab 10
• FAA 462 Report	Tab 11
FAA UFAS/Section 504 Rehabilitation Act Assessment	Tab 12
FAA Organization Chart	Tab 13

## **TAB 1**

Parts A-D

Agency Information

### **Department of Transportation**

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

### MD715 - 2012

### **Parts**

### PARTS A Through E

Enter your Agency or Component data for PARTs A through E below.

In PART E, the Executive Summary should be as short and concise as possible. Extraneous information, such as a complete iteration of the agency's strategic plan, should not be included in the Executive Summary. Remember that the Executive Summary is intended to be an introductory summary which catches the attention of the agency's top managers and supervisors. This is to ensure their understanding of the agency's overall EEO program direction and of their expected contributions necessary for the agency to become a Model Employer.

### PART A - Department or Agency Identifying Information

Agency	Second Level Component	Address	City	State	Zip Code (xxxxx- xxxx)	CPDF Code (xxxx)	FIPS Code
Department of Transportation	Federal Aviation Administration	800 Independence Avenue SW	Washington	DC	20591		

### **PART B - Total Employment**

Total	Permanent	Temporary	Non-Appropriated	Total
Employment	Workforce	Workforce	Workforce	Workforce
Number of Employees	46959	780	0	47739

### PART C.1 - Head of Agency and Head of Agency Designee

Agency Leadership	Name	Title
Head of Agency	Michael P. Huerta	Administrator
Head of Agency Designee	Mamie W. Mallory	Assistant Administrator, Office of Civil Rights

PART C.2 - Agency Official(s) Responsible For Oversight of EEO Program(s)

EEO Program Staff	Name	Title	Occupatio nal Series (xxxx)cv	Pay Plan and Grade (xx-xx)	Phone Number (xxx- xxx- xxxx)	Email Address
Principal EEO Director/Official	Mamie W. Mallory	Assistant Administrator, Office of Civil Rights		EV/SES 01	202-267- 8087	Mamie.Mallory@faa.gov
Title VII Affirmative EEO Program Official	Myrna Rivera	Acting Director, Model EEO Program	0260	K band	202-267- 3271	Myrna.Rivera@faa.gov
Section 501 Affirmative Action Program Official	Miriam Vega	Director, Outreach Program for Diversity and Inclusion	0260	K band	202-385- 8440	Miriam.Vega@faa.gov
Complaint Processing Program Manager	Cheryl Wilkes	Director, EEO Complaint Services	0260	K band	609-485- 6676	Cheryl.Wilkes@faa.gov
Hispanic Program Manager (SEPM)	Carlos Manduley	Manager, Hispanic Employment Program (HEP)	0260	J band	202-385- 8130	Carlos.Manduley@faa.gov
Women's Program Manager (SEPM)	Deena Collier	Manager, Federal Women's Program (FWP)	0260	J band	202-385- 8128	Deena.Collier@faa.gov
Disability Program Manager (SEPM)	Michael Looney	Manager, People with Disability Program (PWD)	0260	J band	202-385- 8127	Michael.Looney@faa.gov
ADR Program Manager	Harnetta Williams	Director, EEO Policy and ADR	0260	K band	202-267- 5794	Harnetta.Williams@faa.gov
Compliance Manager	Cheryl Wilkes	Director, EEO Complaint Services	0260	K band	609-485- 6676	Cheryl.Wilkes@faa.gov
Principal MD-715 Preparer	Yvette Aine	Principal MD-715 Preparer	0343	J band	202-267- 9928	Yvette.Aine@faa.gov

### **PART D- Forms/Documents Included with This Report**

Is the following Form or Document Uploaded?	(Please respond "Yes" or "No")	Comments
PART F - Statement of Establishment of Continuing EEO Programs	Yes	
EEO Policy Statement Issued During Reporting Period	Yes	
Facility Accessibility Survey Results Necessary to Support EEO Action Plan for Building Renovation Projects	Yes	
Organizational Chart	Yes	
FEORP Report	No	FAA is not covered by Title V, Section 7201 or its implementing regulations that require the FEORP.
Anti-Harassment Policy and Procedures	Yes	
Diversity Policy Statement	Yes	
Strategic Plan (excerpts of EEO goal only)	Yes	
Human Capital Strategic Plan	Yes	
EEO Strategic Plan	Yes	
Federal Employee Viewpoint Survey or Annual Employee Survey	Yes	

## **TAB 2**

Part E

**Executive Summary** 

### **PART 1 - Executive Summary: Mission**

The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) is a component of the U. S. Department of Transportation (DOT). Its continuing mission is to provide the safest, most efficient aerospace system in the world. What sets us apart is the size and complexity of our infrastructure, the diversity of our user groups, our commitment to safety and excellence, and our history of innovation and leadership in the world's aviation community. Our long-term vision is a transformation of the Nation's aviation system in which air traffic will move safely, swiftly, efficiently, and seamlessly around the globe. Flights will take off and land on time, every time, without delay and there will be no fatal accidents. Air travel will be routine and uneventful for everyone involved: passengers, crews, ground support, and communities. Costs will be contained for both operators and passengers, and there will be no negative impact to the environment. Manned and unmanned flights will each achieve safe flight, as will commercial launches to space.

### Results of the Agency's Annual Self-Assessment

The agency conducted its annual self-assessment against Management Directive (MD) 715 "Essential Elements." FAA has met almost all of the measures successfully. The following highlights the agency's FY 2012 Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) self-assessment results.

#### **Essential Element A: Demonstrated Commitment from Agency Leadership**

- In May 2012, Administrator Huerta affirmed his commitment to EEO and diversity at the FAA by issuing his policy statements and reinforcing with a video in support of EEO, diversity, and a workplace free of discriminatory harassment. Additionally, the FAA Administrator issued an EEO Awareness Day Proclamation and video.
- Annually, FAA issues a reminder to all management regarding their responsibilities to communicate their commitment and to post EEO materials throughout their organization. FAA websites also contain all pertinent legally required information on EEO Programs.
- EEO critical elements are included in all executives, managers and supervisors' performance plans. Additionally, FAA has a Table of Penalties that includes disciplinary actions to specifically address EEO violations. FAA also conducted extensive training to ensure that managers and supervisors are aware of their responsibilities to provide employees reasonable accommodations and report requests in a timely manner. FAA established a Reasonable Accommodation Team (ReAcT) as an advisory and consultant body to assist managers with complex reasonable accommodation requests. Additionally, FAA established an efficiency measure that 90% of reasonable accommodation requests be processed within 25 business days which was successfully met in FY 2012.

### Essential Element B: Integration of EEO into the Agency's Strategic Mission

• The Assistant Administrator for Civil Rights (ACR-1) is under the direct supervision of the Agency Head. FAA also has 12 field EEO Directors that report directly to ACR-1.

- ACR-1 attends weekly meetings to inform the Agency Head and other top management officials of the effectiveness, efficiency, and legal compliance of the agency's EEO program.
- Destination 2025, the FAA's strategic plan, creates and fosters a workplace of choice marked by integrity, fairness, diversity, and accountability.
- The strategic plan includes effective recruitment and talent management strategies to attract, retain, and develop a highly skilled and diverse pool of employees and management.
- In May 2012, FAA issued an updated Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) Program Order 1400.8A which affirms the FAA's commitment to preventing and eliminating discrimination.
- FAA identified a deficiency on examining at regular intervals hidden impediments to EEO. In FY 2012, FAA created an action plan and schedule to examine policies, procedures and practices to identify any hidden impediments and to ensure EEO compliance in all programs.
- FAA's Office of Civil Rights (ACR) has limited staffing and funding. However, in collaboration with other FAA lines of business, ACR secured funding to conduct barrier analyses for certain job series. Within existing resources, FAA met its EEO legal obligations as indicated in the measures.
- FAA has three full-time Special Emphasis Program Managers. The Office of Human Resources (AHR) manages other special emphasis programs as part of their Minority Serving Institute Program initiatives.
- A deficiency was identified in the agency's ability to fund major renovation projects to ensure Uniform Federal Accessibility Standards (UFAS) compliance. The plan contains several actions and strategies to address this matter.

### **Essential Element C: Management and Program Accountability**

- ACR-1 chairs a bi-monthly EEO Action Committee meeting, whose primary purpose is to monitor EEO programs and develop short and long-term goals on how to meet EEO and diversity and inclusion requirements. This committee includes an executive representative from each line of business and staff office (LOB/SO).
- ACR-1 conducts monthly meetings with all the heads of each LOB to discuss EEO activity within their organization. Additionally, there are weekly meetings between the FAA's ACR, AHR and the Office of the General Counsel (AGC) to discuss EEO matters.
- In FY 2012, there were six findings of discrimination. The FAA's ACR, AHR, and AGC reviewed the decisions and case files for corrective actions.

### **Essential Element D: Proactive Prevention of Unlawful Discrimination**

• FAA continues to evaluate whether barriers to the realization of a Model EEO Program exist. In FY 2012, FAA procured a contractor to conduct a barrier analysis of the Air Traffic Control Specialist (2152 job series) hiring process. The 2152s represent the largest job series at the FAA. Agency officials from the Air Traffic Organization (ATO), AHR, and AGC were consulted throughout the process. The final report is expected in FY 2013.

- EEO data is tracked continuously to enable the FAA to conduct a thorough statistical analysis that looks at the impact of policies, practices, and procedures on EEO.
- FAA identified a deficiency in its ability to conduct trend analysis of personnel policies, practices and procedures. As indicated in Element B, the FAA has developed an action plan and schedule to remedy this deficiency.
- FAA has a very robust Alternate Dispute Resolution (ADR) program. Each LOB/SO has placed a goal in their business plan to ensure that 30% of all managers engage in mediation upon the employee request.

### **Essential Element E: Efficiency**

- FAA utilizes the iComplaint system that was selected by DOT as its complaint tracking system. FAA also utilizes the e-Complaint on-line system to provide employees 24/7 on-line access to file an EEO complaint. ACR prepares a quarterly report (documenting activity and complaint bases) for distribution to the heads of each LOB/SO.
- ACR established an efficiency measure to ensure that EEO counseling is completed within 30 days unless the aggrieved employee agrees in writing to an extension. The efficiency measure was to ensure that 75% of all EEO Pre-Complaints were processed without an extension. FAA met the goal by processing 88% of complaints without extension.
- FAA has full-time dedicated EEO Counselors and a small cadre of collateral duty Counselors. EEO Counselors and Mediators receive annual training to ensure their skills and knowledge is current and relevant.
- The FAA has an established ADR Program. ADR is immediately offered to all employees that initiate an EEO complaint. FAA managers receive information on the cost/benefits of early resolution through the ADR Program. Officials involved in the mediation process are required to identify settlement authorities in advance of mediation. Any settlements reached (in principle) are coordinated with AHR and AGC to ensure legal compliance. ADR usage increased over the last couple of years: from 27.7% in FY 2010 to 39.03% in FY 2012.
- FAA reestablished a review process (Matrix Team) to support management in taking a proactive look at EEO complaint activity and determining how to resolve the issue at the lowest possible level.
- FAA complies with EEO complaint data collection requirements and conducts quarterly data analysis of EEO complaints to identify trends.

### **Essential Element F: Responsiveness and Legal Compliance**

- FAA posted statistical complaint data on the website in compliance with the No FEAR Act requirements.
- FAA's ACR also conducts on-site facility assessments to ensure a Model EEO Program. In FY 2012, ACR conducted six facility assessments.
- The FAA timely implements necessary corrective actions such as facility postings, trainings, and reviews disciplinary actions as appropriate.

### **Executive Summary: Workforce Analyses**

In FY 2012 FAA had a total workforce of 47,739 compared to the 48,346 employees in FY 2011.

**Total Workforce by RNO - FAA** 

	Participatio 2	2000 CLF	
	#	%	
Males	36,017	75.45%	53.23%
Females	11,722	24.55%	46.77%
Hispanic or Latino Males	2,417	5.06%	6.17%
Hispanic or Latino Females	795	1.67%	4.52%
White Males	28,719	60.16%	39.03%
White Females	8,010	16.78%	33.74%
Black or African	2,648	5.55%	4.84%
American Males			
Black or African	2,104	4.41%	5.66%
American Females			
Asian Males	1,378	2.89%	1.92%
Asian Females	505	1.06%	1.71%
NHOPI Males	105	0.22%	0.06%
NHOPI Females	36	0.08%	0.05%
AIAN Males	446	0.93%	0.34%
AIAN Females	117	0.37%	0.32%
Two or More Races Males	304	0.64%	0.88%
Two or More Races Females	95	0.20%	0.76%
Individuals with Targeted Disabilities	278	0.58%	CLF Not Available

<sup>\*</sup>Numbers in red represent participation rates lower than the CLF

In the beginning of FY 2012, the FAA employed 48,364 workers compared with 47,739 workers at the end of FY 2012. Therefore, during the course of FY 2012, the agency experienced a net loss of 625 employees or a net rate change of -1.29%.

During FY 2012, the number of FAA permanent and temporary employees reporting targeted disabilities reflects a negative change of -6 employees (FAA On-Board: 0.58% versus the Federal High: 2.95% resulting in a net change -2.11%).

### **Summary of Fiscal Year 2012 Accomplishments**

While the FAA has made significant progress in FY 2012, all of the focus areas in Part I of this report will carry over into FY 2013. The focus is on the recruitment and retention of women and minorities in the Air Traffic Control Specialist (2152), Aviation Safety Inspector (1825), and Airway Transportation Systems Specialist (2101) occupations. The FAA began a barrier analysis of the 2152 series hiring procedures in FY 2012 with a scheduled completion in FY 2013. In FY 2013, the agency intends to initiate a barrier analysis of the 2152 series Phase 2 from training to Certified Professional Controller, the 1825 series hiring process, to be followed by the 2101 series.

During FY 2012, FAA implemented a number of corporate-level initiatives to continue support for the Agency's Model EEO program required by MD 715, including:

- Collaborating with FAA AHR, Departmental Offices of Civil Rights and Human Resources by developing a strategic plan to support Executive Order 13548: Increasing Federal Employment of People with Disabilities. DOT's plan was submitted to the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) for approval on April 11, 2012. The plan included specific hiring goals for people with disabilities (PWD) and people with targeted disabilities (PWTD) and plans to create a training module for all AHR specialists on the subject of on-the-spot hiring authority.
- Setting up and meeting an efficiency measure to process 90% of its reasonable accommodation requests within the 25 day timeframe, as set forth in the agency reasonable accommodation procedures.

In FY 2012, the FAA trained 9,972 managers and employees on several EEO training topics. Additionally, 100% or 1,166 new Air Traffic Controllers and Airway Transportation System Specialist students (two of our largest job series) hired received training in EEO principles as part of the No FEAR requirements.

FAA identified several deficiencies in its Self-Assessment. Objectives-Action Plans were developed (Part H) to address these deficiencies. FAA developed a process in accordance with the requirements of MD 715 to conduct additional trend and barrier analyses of the agency's mission critical occupations by race, national origin, sex and disability based upon the data gathered in the refined data tracking system. FAA will continue to monitor its compliance with the UFAS at its facilities. Additionally, the National People with Disabilities Program Manager updated the "Hiring and Accommodating People with Disabilities" training module.

Several objectives were identified in Part I, focusing on recruitment, retention and selection of Aviation Safety Inspectors (1825), Air Traffic Control Specialist (2152), and Transportation Specialists (2101) to examine existing policies, procedures, and for any potential barriers. Additionally, goals have been set using Part J, regarding PWTD in an effort to meet or exceed the 3% hiring goal. Finally, the FAA plans to conduct several additional barrier analyses within the next few years.

## **TAB 3**

Part F

Certification

**EEOC FORM** 715-01 PART F

#### U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission FEDERAL AGENCY ANNUAL EEO PROGRAM STATUS REPORT

#### CERTIFICATION of ESTABLISHMENT of CONTINUING EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY PROGRAMS

Mamie W. Mallory, Assistant Administrator for Civil Rights, EV/340/SES

am the

Principal EEO Director/Official for Federal Aviation Administration

The agency has conducted an annual self-assessment of Section 717 and Section 501 programs against the essential elements as prescribed by EEO MD-715. If an essential element was not fully compliant with the standards of EEO MD-715, a further evaluation was conducted and, as appropriate, EEO Plans for Attaining the Essential Elements of a Model EEO Program, are included with this Federal Agency Annual EEO Program Status Report.

The agency has also analyzed its work force profiles and conducted barrier analyses aimed at detecting whether any management or personnel policy, procedure or practice is operating to disadvantage any group based on race, national origin, gender or disability. EEO Plans to Eliminate Identified Barriers, as appropriate, are included with this Federal Agency Annual EEO Program Status Report.

I certify that proper documentation of this assessment is in place and is being maintained for EEOC review upon request.

Signature of Principal EEO Director Official

Certifies that this Federal Agency Annual EEO Program Status Report is in compliance with EEO

MD-715

MAY 3 0 2013


Signature of Agency Head or Agency Head Designee

Date

Date

## **TAB 4**

**Policy Statements** 



# Administrator's Policy Statement on...

### NON-DISCRIMINATION

The Federal Aviation Administration is committed to compliance with all antidiscrimination laws, regulations and policies. We have zero tolerance for discrimination in the workplace on the basis of race, color, religion, sex (including pregnancy and gender identity), genetic information, national origin, age, disability (mental or physical), sexual orientation or reprisal for participating in protected Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) activity.

One of the goals in our agency strategic plan is to achieve organizational excellence. Ensuring that everyone has an equal opportunity to participate, contribute, and advance is necessary to achieve this goal. To be a model EEO employer capable of attracting, developing and retaining a top caliber workforce, the FAA must strive to identify and eliminate any barriers that may impede EEO.

Discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, sex (including pregnancy and gender identity), genetic information, national origin, age, disability (mental or physical), or sexual orientation is prohibited. Retaliation against any employee who files an EEO complaint or participates in the EEO process is also prohibited. Any discriminatory or retaliatory conduct is unlawful and violates FAA policy.


I expect all FAA employees to monitor their own conduct and behavior in the workplace and to act in conformance with applicable law and agency policy. I also expect any executive or manager who becomes aware of inappropriate or unlawful behavior or conduct to take immediate and appropriate action to stop the conduct and to prevent it from reoccurring. Employees who have engaged in or condoned unacceptable or unlawful EEO behavior or conduct will be subject to appropriate disciplinary action under the agency's Conduct and Discipline policy. Together, we must vigorously eliminate behavior that is discriminatory, harassing, or otherwise inappropriate to the workplace.

For additional information, please contact your local Civil Rights Office or visit: http://www.faa.gov/about/office\_org/headquarters\_offices/acr/

Michael P. Huerta

Feb 2012





# ADMINISTRATOR'S POLICY STATEMENT ON...

# THE PREVENTION OF HARASSMENT

The Federal Aviation Administration is committed to being a model Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) employer. Harassment based on race, color, religion, sex (including pregnancy and gender identity), genetic information, national origin, age, disability (mental or physical), sexual orientation or reprisal for participating in protected EEO activity will not be tolerated. To achieve our strategic goal to have a model EEO workplace, the FAA must strive to eliminate any discriminatory harassment within our organization.

Harassment includes unwelcome verbal or physical conduct based on race, color, religion, sex (including pregnancy and gender identity), genetic information, national origin, age, disability (mental or physical), sexual orientation or reprisal for participating in protected EEO activity. Harassment becomes unlawful, where 1) enduring the conduct becomes a condition of continued employment, or 2) the conduct is severe or pervasive enough to create a hostile work environment. Harassment includes, but is not limited to: sexual advances or sexual favors; labels, epithets, slurs or negative stereotyping; threatening, intimidating or hostile acts; and jokes or other written or graphic materials (including electronic media) displayed or circulated in the workplace that degrades a group.

Sexual harassment is also unlawful. Sexual harassment involves unwanted or unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, and other verbal or physical harassment of a sexual nature. Offensive remarks about a person's sex, or any other type of harassment that is based on a person's sex, is also considered sexual harassment.

I expect all FAA employees to monitor their own conduct in the workplace and to act in conformance with applicable law and agency policy. I also expect employees to report any harassment they may witness to the Administrator's Hotline, the Office of Civil Rights, the Office of Security and Hazardous Materials, or the FAA Accountability Board. In addition, any executive or manager who becomes aware of harassing conduct must take immediate and appropriate action to stop the conduct and to prevent it from reoccurring. Any employee who has engaged in unlawful harassment will be subject to appropriate disciplinary action under the agency's Conduct and Discipline policy.

Please do your part to prevent and eliminate discrimination and harassment in the FAA. Communicate this policy to others and demonstrate your support of the policy by modeling professional behavior in the workplace.

For additional information, please contact your local Civil Rights Office or visit: http://www.faa.gov/about/office\_org/headquarters\_offices/acr/

Feb 2012

Michael P. Huerta

Acting Administrator



## **TAB 5**

Part G

**Self Assessment** 

### PART G - Essential Element A, Demonstrated Commitment from Agency Leadership

Element A, requires the agency head to issue written policy statements ensuring a workplace free of discriminatory harassment and a commitment to equal employment opportunity.

PART G, Essential Element A, Section 1 - Issuance of EEO Policy Statement Indicator

Measures	Measure Met?	Comments
A.1.a. Was EEO policy statement issued within 6 - 9 months of installation of Agency Head? (Please list date of agency head installation and date of issuance in the comments column.)	Yes	Acting Administrator installed December 2011, policy issued February 2012.
A.1.b. During current Agency Head's tenure, has EEO policy statement been re-issued annually?	N/A	Re-issuance not required until 2013.
A.1.c. Are new employees provided a copy of the EEO policy statement during orientation?	Yes	
A.1.d. When an employee is promoted into the supervisory ranks, is s/he provided a copy of the EEO policy statement?	Yes	

PART G, Essential Element A, Section 2 - Communication of EEO Policy Statements Indicator

Measures	Measure Met?	Comments
A.2.a. Have the Heads of subordinate reporting components communicated support of all agency EEO policies through the ranks?	Yes	Annual reminder sent via email.
A.2.b. Has the agency made written materials available to all employees and applicants, informing them of the variety of EEO programs and administrative and judicial remedial procedures available to them?	Yes	FAA websites (internet and intranet)
A.2.c. Has the agency prominently posted such written materials in all personnel and EEO offices, and on the agency's internal website? [29 CFR 1614.102(b)(5)]	Yes	FAA websites (internet and intranet) and sent to all facilities.

## PART G, Essential Element A, Section 3 - Evaluation of Managers and Supervisors on Their Commitment to EEO Principles Indicator

Measures	Measure Met?	Comments
A.3.a. Are managers and supervisors evaluated on their commitment to agency EEO policies and principles?	Yes	Model EEO program included in managers, supervisors, and executive performance standards. (Applies to A.3.a. thru A.3.i.)
A.3.b. Are managers and supervisors evaluated on their commitment to resolve problems/disagreements and other conflicts in their respective work environments as they arise?	Yes	
A.3.c. Are managers and supervisors evaluated on their commitment to address concerns, whether perceived or real, raised by employees and following up with appropriate action to correct or eliminate tension in the workplace?	Yes	
A.3.d. Are managers and supervisors evaluated on their commitment to support the agency's EEO program through allocation of mission personnel to participate in community outreach and recruitment programs with private employers, public schools and universities?	Yes	FAA EEO Program Order 1400.8A includes this language.
A.3.e. Are managers and supervisors evaluated on their commitment to ensure full cooperation of employees under his/her supervision with EEO office officials such as EEO counselors, EEO investigators, etc.?	Yes	
A.3.f. Are managers and supervisors evaluated on their commitment to ensure a workplace that is free from all forms of discrimination, harassment and retaliation?	Yes	
A.3.g. Are managers and supervisors evaluated on their commitment to ensure that subordinate supervisors have effective managerial communication and interpersonal skills in order to supervise most effectively in a workplace with diverse employees and avoid disputes arising from ineffective communications?	Yes	

Measures	Measure Met?	Comments
A.3.h. Are managers and supervisors evaluated on their commitment to ensure the provision of requested religious accommodations when such accommodations do not cause an undue hardship?	Yes	
A.3.i. Are managers and supervisors evaluated on their commitment to ensure the provision of requested disability accommodations to qualified individuals with disabilities when such accommodations do not cause an undue hardship?	Yes	Procedures for Processing Reasonable Accommodation Requests by DOT Job Applicants and Employees with Disabilities (DOT Order 1011.1) and Processing Accommodation Request for People with Disabilities (FAA Order 1400.12)
A.3.j. Have all employees been informed about what behaviors are inappropriate in the workplace and that this behavior may result in disciplinary actions? If yes, describe what means were utilized by the agency to inform its workforce about penalties for unacceptable behavior in the comments column.	Yes	New employee orientation, Frontline Managers Course (FMC)-1, AHR Training and the posting of the FAA Table of Penalties on the FAA websites. (Applies for A.3.j. thru A.3.l.)
A.3.k. Have the procedures for reasonable accommodation for individuals with disabilities been made readily available/accessible to all employees by disseminating such procedures during orientation of new employees and by making such procedure available on the World Wide Web or Internet?	Yes	
A.3.1. Have managers and supervisors been trained on their responsibilities under the procedures for reasonable accommodation?	Yes	

PART G - Essential Element B, Integration of EEO into the Agency's Strategic Mission

Element B requires that the agency's EEO programs be organized and structured to maintain a workplace that is free from discrimination in any of the agency's policies, procedures or practices and supports the agency's strategic mission.

PART G, Essential Element B, Section 1 - Reporting Structure for EEO Program Indicator

Measures	Measure Met?	Comments
B.1.a. Is the EEO Director under the direct supervision of the Agency Head? [See 29 CFR 1614.102(b)(4)]	Yes	
B.1.b. For subordinate level reporting components, is the EEO Director/Officer under the immediate supervision of the lower level component's head official? (For example, does the Regional EEO Officer report to the Regional Administrator?)	Yes	
B.1.c. Are the duties and responsibilities of the EEO officials clearly defined?	Yes	
B.1.d. Do the EEO officials have the knowledge, skills, and abilities to carry out the duties and responsibilities of their positions?	Yes	
B.1.e. If the agency has 2nd level reporting components, are there organizational charts that clearly define the reporting structure for EEO programs? (If yes, attach them to this report.)	N/A	
B.1.f. If the agency has 2nd level reporting components, does the agency-wide EEO Director have authority for EEO programs within the subordinate reporting components? (If no, please describe how EEO program authority is delegated to subordinate reporting components, in Part H.)	N/A	

**PART G, Essential Element B, Section 2 - EEO Communication with Senior Leaders Indicator** 

Measures	Measure Met?	Comments
B.2.a. Does the EEO Director/Officer have a regular, effective means of informing the Agency Head and other top management of the effectiveness, efficiency, and legal compliance of the agency's EEO program?	Yes	Weekly EEO program meetings are held with senior management and the Administrator, Monthly one-on-one with LOB/SO heads, and BI-monthly with EEO Action Committee.
B.2.b. After submission of the previous Form 715, did the EEO Director/Officer present a "State of the Agency" briefing to the Agency Head and other senior officials, including a performance assessment in each	Yes	February 21, 2012

Measures	Measure Met?	Comments
of the 6 elements of the Model EEO program, and report agency progress in completing its barrier analysis - including barriers identified, eliminated, or impact reduced?		
B.2.c. Are EEO officials present during agency pre-decisional deliberations regarding recruitment strategies, vacancy projections, succession planning, selections for training/career development opportunities, and other workforce changes?	Yes	ACR participates in recruitment strategies and receives funds to conduct outreach and targeted recruitment. ACR received vacancy projections collected by AHR as part of the diversity and inclusion discussions and was intricately involved in discussions regarding Air Traffic Controller hiring procedures and sources (succession planning).
B.2.d. Does the agency consider whether any group of employees or applicants might be negatively impacted prior to making human resource decisions such as re-organizations and re-alignments?	Yes	FAA EEO Program Order 1400.8A has language to include EEO officials in pre-decisional deliberations in re-organizations and realignments.
B.2.e. Are management/personnel policies, procedures and practices examined at regular intervals to assess whether there are any hidden impediments to the realization of equality of opportunity for any group(s) of employees or applicants? [See 29 C.F.R. 1614.102(b)(3)]	Yes	
B.2.f. Is the EEO Director included in the agency's strategic planning, especially the agency's human capital plan, regarding succession planning, training, etc., to ensure that EEO concerns are integrated into the agency's strategic mission?	Yes	

## PART G, Essential Element B, Section 3 - Sufficient EEO Program Staffing Indicator

Measures	Measure Met?	Comments
----------	-----------------	----------

Measures	Measure Met?	Comments
B.3.a. Does the EEO Director have the authority and funding to ensure implementation of agency EEO action plans to improve EEO program efficiency and/or eliminate identified barriers to the realization of equality of opportunity?	Yes	The AHR and the ATO provided funding and will collaborate with ACR on the implementation of action plans identified in the barrier analysis process.
B.3.b. Are sufficient personnel resources allocated to the EEO Program to ensure that agency self-assessments and self-analyses prescribed by EEO MD-715 are conducted annually and to maintain an effective complaint processing system?	Yes	
B.3.c. Are statutory/regulatory EEO related Special Emphasis Programs sufficiently staffed?	Yes	
B.3.d. Is the Federal Women's Program sufficiently staffed - 5 U.S.C. 7201; 38 U.S.C. 4214; Title 5 CFR, Subpart B, 720.204?	Yes	
B.3.e. Is the Hispanic Employment Program sufficiently staffed - Title 5 CFR, Subpart B, 720.204?	Yes	
B.3.f. Is the People With Disabilities Program Manager; Selective Placement Program for Individuals With Disabilities sufficiently staffed - Section 501 of the Rehabilitation Act; Title 5 U.S.C. Subpart B, Chapter 31, Subchapter I-3102: 5 CFR 213.3102(u); 5 CFR 315.709?	Yes	
B.3.g. Are other agency Special Emphasis Programs monitored by the EEO Office for coordination and compliance with EEO guidelines and principles, such as: FEORP - 5 CFR 720; Veterans Employment Programs; Black/African American; American Indian/Alaska Native; Asian; and Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander Programs?	Yes	FAA has a Veterans Program and a Minority Serving Institute Program which includes special emphasis on Tribal and Asian education initiatives. FAA is not covered by Title V, Section 7201 or its implementing regulations that require the FEORP.

PART G, Essential Element B, Section 4 - Sufficient EEO Program Funding Indicator

Measures	Measure Met?	Comments
B.4.a. Are there sufficient resources to enable the agency to conduct a thorough barrier analysis of its workforce, including the provision of adequate data collection and tracking systems?	Yes	In FY 2012, the Agency allocated funds for barrier analysis for Air Traffic Controllers, 2152 (Both phases 1 and 2); Aviation Safety Inspectors, 1825; and Airway Transportation System Specialist, 2101.
B.4.b. Is there sufficient budget allocated to all employees to utilize, when desired, all EEO programs, including the complaint processing program and ADR, and to make a request for reasonable accommodation? (Including subordinate level reporting components?)	Yes	
B.4.c. Has funding been secured for publication and distribution of EEO materials (e.g. harassment policies, EEO posters, reasonable accommodations procedures, etc.)?	Yes	
B.4.d. Is there a central funding or other mechanism for funding supplies, equipment and services necessary to provide disability accommodations?	Yes	DOT Disability Resource Center
B.4.e. Does the agency fund major renovation projects to ensure timely compliance with Uniform Federal Accessibility Standards?	Yes	See Part H-1 and UFAS Report
B.4.f. Is the EEO Program allocated sufficient resources to train all employees on EEO Programs, including administrative and judicial remedial procedures available to employees?	Yes	
B.4.g. Is there sufficient funding to ensure the prominent posting of written materials in all personnel and EEO offices? [See 29 CFR 1614.102(b)(5)]	Yes	
B.4.h. Is there sufficient funding to ensure that all employees have access to the training and information identified in B.4.f?	Yes	Training is delivered via VTC, eLMS, Instructor led, Adobe Connect, and ATN Broadcast. (Applies to B.4.h. thru B.4.m.)

Measures	Measure Met?	Comments
B.4.i. Is there sufficient funding to provide all managers/supervisors with training and periodic up-dates on their EEO responsibilities for ensuring a workplace that is free from all forms of discrimination, including harassment and retaliation?	Yes	
B.4.j. Is there sufficient funding to provide all managers/supervisors with training and periodic up-dates on their EEO responsibilities to provide religious accommodations?	Yes	
B.4.k. Is there sufficient funding to provide all managers/supervisors with training and periodic up-dates on their EEO responsibilities to provide disability accommodations in accordance with the agency's written procedures?	Yes	
B.4.l. Is there sufficient funding to provide all managers/supervisors with training and periodic up-dates on their EEO responsibilities in the EEO discrimination complaint process?	Yes	
B.4.m. Is there sufficient funding to provide all managers/supervisors with training and periodic up-dates on their EEO responsibilities to participate in ADR?	Yes	

### PART G - Essential Element C, Management and Program Accountability

Element C requires the Agency Head to hold all managers, supervisors, and EEO Officials responsible for the effective implementation of the agency's EEO Program and Plan.

PART G, Essential Element C, Section 1 - EEO Communication with Managers and Supervisors Indicator

Measures	Measure Met?	Comments
C.1.a. Are regular (monthly/quarterly/semi-annually) EEO updates provided to management/supervisory officials by EEO program officials?	Yes	
C.1.b. Do EEO program officials coordinate the	Yes	Monthly with AGC and

Measures	Measure Met?	Comments
development and implementation of EEO Plans with all appropriate agency managers to include Agency Counsel, Human Resource Officials, Finance, and the Chief Information Officer?		CIO, ongoing with AHR, bi-monthly with EEO Action Committee, and adhoc meetings with the Office of Budget and Finance (ABA)

## PART G, Essential Element C, Section 2 - EEO & Human Resources Collaboration & Coordination Indicator

Measures	Measure Met?	Comments
C.2.a. Have time-tables or schedules been established for the agency to review its Merit Promotion Program Policy and Procedures for systemic barriers that may be impeding full participation in promotion opportunities by all groups?	Yes	
C.2.b. Have time-tables or schedules been established for the agency to review its Employee Recognition Awards Program and Procedures for systemic barriers that may be impeding full participation in promotion opportunities by all groups?	Yes	
C.2.c. Have time-tables or schedules been established for the agency to review its Employee Development/Training Programs for systemic barriers that may be impeding full participation in training opportunities by all groups?	Yes	

### PART G, Essential Element C, Section 3 - Disciplinary Action Taken Indicator

Measures	Measures Met?	Comments
C.3.a. Does the agency have a disciplinary policy and/or a table of penalties that covers employees found to have committed discrimination?	Yes	
C.3.b. Have all employees, supervisors, and managers been informed as to the penalties for being found to perpetrate	Yes	

Measures	Measures Met?	Comments
discriminatory behavior or for taking personnel actions based upon a prohibited basis?		
C.3.c. Has the agency, when appropriate, disciplined or sanctioned managers/supervisors or employees found to have discriminated over the past two years? If yes, in the "Comments" column, cite the number found to have discriminated and list penalty/disciplinary action for each type violation.	Yes	There were six findings of discrimination in FY 2012, one finding is pending review, the RMO has retired in one finding, no disciplinary action was taken in one finding, one finding resulted in a letter of reprimand for two managers, and two findings are under appeal. There were eight findings of discrimination in FY 2011, three findings are under review, the RMO had retired in three findings, no disciplinary action was taken in one finding, and discipline was issued for one finding.
C.3.d. Does the agency promptly (within the established time frame) comply with EEOC, Merit Systems Protection Board, Federal Labor Relations Authority, labor arbitrators, and District Court orders?	Yes	
C.3.e. Does the agency review disability accommodation decisions/actions to ensure compliance with its written procedures and analyze the information tracked for trends, problem, etc.?	Yes	

### PART G - Essential Element D, Proactive Prevention of Unlawful Discrimination

Element D requires that the Agency Head makes early efforts to prevent discriminatory actions and eliminate barriers to Equal Employment Opportunity in the workplace.

PART G, Essential Element D, Section 1 - Barrier Analysis Process Indicator

Measures	Measure Met?	Comments
D.1.a. Do senior managers meet with and assist the EEO Director and/or other EEO Program Officials in the identification of	Yes	Currently addressing FAA mission critical occupations (MCO) through a collaborative effort with all key

Measures	Measure Met?	Comments
barriers that may be impeding the realization of equal employment opportunity?		LOB/SOs officials to address strategies for implementing corrective actions.
D.1.b. When barriers are identified, do senior managers develop and implement, with the assistance of the agency EEO office, agency EEO Action Plans to eliminate said barriers?	Yes	In FY 2012, FAA identified several barriers in response to the 2152 centralized hiring process (Phase 1). Subsequently FAA identified corrective action measures to mitigate systemic barriers.
D.1.c. Do senior managers successfully implement EEO Action Plans and incorporate the EEO Action Plan Objectives into agency strategic plans?	Yes	
D.1.d. Are trend analyses of workforce profiles conducted by race, national origin, sex, and disability?	Yes	See data tables 1 A and B.
D.1.e. Are trend analyses of the workforce's major occupations conducted by race, national origin, sex, and disability?	Yes	
D.1.f. Are trend analyses of the workforce's grade level distribution conducted by race, national origin, sex, and disability?	Yes	
D.1.g. Are trend analyses of the workforce's compensation and reward system conducted by race, national origin, sex, and disability?	Yes	
D.1.h. Are trend analyses of the effects of management/personnel policies, procedures and practices conducted by race, national origin, sex, and disability?	Yes	Trend analyses for Air Traffic Control Specialist, was conducted in FY 2012.

## PART G, Essential Element D, Section 2 - Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) is Encouraged Indicator

Measures	Measures Met?	Comments
D.2.a. Are all employees encouraged to use ADR?	Yes	

Measures	Measures Met?	Comments
D.2.b. Is the participation of supervisors and managers in the ADR process required?	No	Equal Employment Opportunity Mediation Program, Order 1400.10, encourages participation.

### PART G - Essential Element E, Efficiency

Element E requires that the Agency Head ensure that there are effective systems in place for evaluating the impact and effectiveness of the agency's EEO programs as well as an efficient and fair dispute resolution process.

## PART G, Essential Element E, Section 1 - Sufficient Resources to Evaluation EEO Program Indicator

Measures	Measure Met?	Comments
E.1.a. Does the EEO office employ personnel with adequate training and experience to conduct the analyses required by MD-715 and these instructions?	Yes	
E.1.b. Has the agency implemented an adequate data collection and analysis systems that permit tracking of the information required by MD-715 and these instructions?	Yes	See Part H-2.
E.1.c. Have sufficient resources been provided to conduct effective audits of field facilities' efforts to achieve a model EEO program and eliminate discrimination under Title VII and the Rehabilitation Act?	Yes	
E.1.d. Is there a designated agency official or other mechanism in place to coordinate or assist with processing requests for disability accommodations in all major components of the agency?	Yes	The FAA has an established (ReACT) process which is a best practice.
E.1.e. Are 90% of accommodation requests processed within the time frame set forth in the agency's procedures for reasonable accommodation?	Yes	

PART G, Essential Element E, Section 2 - Effective Complaint Tracking and Monitoring System Indicator

Measures	Measure Met?	Comments
E.2.a. Does the agency use a complaint tracking and monitoring system that allows identification of the location, and status of complaints and length of time elapsed at each stage of the agency's complaint resolution process?	Yes	
E.2.b. Does the agency's tracking system identify the issues and bases of the complaints, the aggrieved individuals/complainants, the involved management officials and other information to analyze complaint activity and trends?	Yes	
E.2.c. Does the agency hold contractors accountable for delay in counseling and investigation processing times? If yes, in the comments column, briefly describe how.	Yes	FAA performs the counseling function, and the Departmental Office of Civil Rights (DOCR) has authority for the formal phase.
E.2.d. Does the agency monitor and ensure that new investigators, counselors, including contract and collateral duty investigators, receive the 32 hours of training required in accordance with EEO Management Directive MD-110?	Yes	FAA performs the counseling function, and the DOCR has the authority for the formal phase.
E.2.e. Does the agency monitor and ensure that experienced counselors, investigators, including contract and collateral duty investigators, receive the 8 hours of refresher training required on an annual basis in accordance with EEO Management Directive MD-110?	Yes	FAA annually conducts Refresher Training for counselors, and DOCR has the responsibility to meet the requirement for investigator training.

## PART G, Essential Element E, Section 3 - Timeliness in EEO Complaint Process Indicator

Measures	Measure Met?	Comments
E.3.a. Are benchmarks in place that compare the agency's discrimination complaint processes with 29 CFR Part 1614?	Yes	

Measures	Measure Met?	Comments
E.3.b. Does the agency provide timely EEO counseling within 30 days of the initial request or within an agreed upon extension in writing, up to 60 days?	Yes	Eighty-eight percent are processed within 30 days without an extension.
E.3.c. Does the agency provide an aggrieved person with written notification of his/her rights and responsibilities in the EEO process in a timely fashion?	Yes	iComplaints tracks the dates.
E.3.d. Does the agency complete the investigations within the applicable prescribed time frame?	Yes	Verified through the DOT FY 2012 MD 715 report submission.
E.3.e. When a complainant requests a final agency decision, does the agency issue the decision within 60 days of the request?	No	DOCR is meeting this requirement in 85% of the cases, which represents a 57 % improvement from FY 2011. See DOT FY 2012 MD 715 report submission.
E.3.f. When a complainant requests a hearing, does the agency immediately upon receipt of the request from the EEOC AJ forward the investigative file to the EEOC Hearing Office?	Yes	See DOT FY 2012 MD 715 report submission. (Applies to E.3.f. thru E.3.h.)
E.3.g. When a settlement agreement is entered into, does the agency timely complete any obligations provided for in such agreements?	Yes	There will be a working group established to ensure that proper tracking of settlements is completed.
E.3.h. Does the agency ensure timely compliance with EEOC AJ decisions which are not the subject of an appeal by the agency?	Yes	

## **PART G, Essential Element E, Section 4 - Efficient and Fair ADR Process Indicator**

Measures	Measure Met?	Comments
E.4.a. In accordance with 29 CFR 1614.102(b), has the agency established an ADR Program during the precomplaint and formal complaint stages of the EEO process?	Yes	

Measures	Measure Met?	Comments
E.4.b. Does the agency require all managers and supervisors to receive ADR training in accordance with EEOC (29 CFR Part 1614) regulations, with emphasis on the federal government's interest in encouraging mutual resolution of disputes and the benefits associated with utilizing ADR?	N/A	
E.4.c. Does the responsible management official directly involved in the dispute have settlement authority?	Yes	

## PART G, Essential Element E, Section 5 - Effectiveness of EEO Data Collection Systems Indicator

Measures	Measure Met?	Comments
E.5.a. Does the agency have a system of management controls in place to ensure the timely, accurate, complete and consistent reporting of EEO complaint data to the EEOC?	Yes	The iComplaints system.
E.5.b. Does the agency provide reasonable resources for the EEO complaint process to ensure efficient and successful operation in accordance with 29 CFR 1614.102(a)(1)?	Yes	Annually review iComplaints system for software updates.
E.5.c. Does the agency EEO office have management controls in place to monitor and ensure that the data received from Human Resources is accurate, timely received, and contains all of the required data elements for submitting annual reports to the EEOC?	Yes	
E.5.d. Do the agency's EEO programs address all of the laws enforced by the EEOC?	Yes	The FAA's policy (FAA Order 1400.8A) is to maintain full compliance with all federal EEO laws, regulations and policies and adherence to its strategic plan and organizational goals to be a model EEO employer as defined by the EEOC.
E.5.e. Does the agency identify and monitor significant trends in complaint	Yes	The FAA, in addition to the EEOC 462 report, conducts quarterly trend analysis

Measures	Measure Met?	Comments
processing to determine whether the agency is meeting its obligations under Title VII and the Rehabilitation Act?		on EEO complaint activity. Subsequent briefings are conducted with senior management teams to ascertain the significance of variable trends and determinations for resource allocations to address EEO variances.
E.5.f. Does the agency track recruitment efforts and analyze efforts to identify potential barriers in accordance with MD-715 standards?	Yes	Review of recruitment efforts for the Air Traffic Control Specialist was conducted in FY 2012.
E.5.g. Does the agency consult with other agencies of similar size on the effectiveness of their EEO programs to identify best practices and share ideas?	Yes	FAA attends an annual forum and quarterly CR directors meetings that is inclusive of DOT OAs.

PART G, Essential Element E, Section 6 - Elimination of Conflict of Interest with Legal Defense Function Indicator

Measures	Measure Met?	Comments
E.6.a. Are legal sufficiency reviews of EEO matters handled by a functional unit that is separate and apart from the unit which handles agency representation in EEO complaints?	Yes	The FAA Civil Rights Office has independent authority and responsibility to conduct legal sufficiency reviews off all EEO matters. The FAA recently revised its Standard Operating Procedures to address the protocols regarding legal sufficiency reviews.
E.6.b. Does the agency discrimination complaint process ensure a neutral adjudication function?	Yes	The DOCR maintains authority for the adjudication of all agency civil rights matters. DOT, in this capacity, operates independent of the FAA for adjudicatory purposes. FAA, however, maintains close relationships with DOT throughout the entire EEO process, and is often consulted on that basis.
E.6.c. If applicable, are processing time frames incorporated for the legal counsel's sufficiency review for timely processing of complaints?	N/A	The FAA's Office of Chief Counsel does not conduct legal sufficiency reviews for purposes of compliance with EEO policy. Legal sufficiency reviews for complaint processing is the responsibility of the FAA's Office of Civil

Measures	Measure Met?	Comments	
	Rights. Processing timeframes, generally, ar strictly adhered to within the FAA.		

### PART G - Essential Element F, Responsiveness and Legal Compliance

Element F requires that federal Agencies are in full compliance with EEO statutes and EEOC regulations, policy guidance, and other written instructions.

## PART G, Essential Element F, Section 1 - Timely Compliance With Administrative Judge Orders Indicator

Measure	Measure Met?	Comments
F.1.a. Does the agency have a system of management control to ensure that the agency officials timely comply with any orders or directives issued by EEOC Administrative Judges?	Yes	

## PART G, Essential Element F, Section 2 - Timely Completion of Ordered Corrective Action Indicator

Measures	Measure Met?	Comments
F.2.a. Does the agency have control over the payroll processing function of the agency? If yes, answer the two questions below.	Yes	
F.2.b. Are there steps in place to guarantee responsive, timely, and predictable processing of ordered monetary relief?	Yes	
F.2.c. Are procedures in place to promptly process other forms of ordered relief?	Yes	

## PART G, Essential Element F, Section 3 - Staff Accountability for Legal Compliance Indicator

Measures	Measure Met?	Comments
F.3.a. Is compliance with EEOC orders encompassed in the performance standards of any agency employees? If yes, please	Yes	Assistant Administrator for the Office of Civil Rights: Four Headquarters EEO Managers and

Measures	Measure Met?	Comments
identify the employees by title in the comments column, and state how performance is measured.		eight Field Civil Rights Managers. Performance is measured annually through performance appraisals and included in every manager's performance standard.
F.3.b. Is the unit charged with the responsibility for compliance with EEOC orders located in the EEO office? If no, please identify the unit in which it is located in the comments column.	Yes	
F.3.c. Have the involved employees received any formal training in EEO compliance?	Yes	FAA has annual training requirement for EEO Counselors and DOCR ensures investigators training is conducted.
F.3.d. Does the agency promptly provide the EEOC Attorney Fee documentation for completing compliance, such as a copy of the check issued for attorney fees and/or a narrative statement by an appropriate agency official, or agency payment order dating the dollar amount of attorney fees paid?	Yes	Documentation to EEOC is provided via DOCR. (Applies to F.3.d thru F.3.o.)
F.3.e. Does the agency promptly provide the EEOC awards documentation for completing compliance, such as a narrative statement by an appropriate agency official stating the dollar amount and the criteria used to calculate the award?	Yes	
F.3.f. Does the agency promptly provide the EEOC documentation of back pay and interest for completing compliance, such as computer print-outs or payroll documents outlining gross back pay and interest, copy of any checks issued, or narrative statement by an appropriate agency official of total monies paid?	Yes	
F.3.g. Does the agency promptly provide the EEOC documentation regarding compensatory damages for completing compliance, such as the final agency	Yes	

Measures	Measure Met?	Comments
decision and evidence of payment, if made?		
F.3.h. Does the agency promptly provide the EEOC training documentation for completing compliance, such as the attendance roster at training session(s), or a narrative statement by an appropriate agency official confirming that specific persons or groups of persons attended training on a certain date?	Yes	
F.3.i. Does the agency promptly provide the EEOC personnel action documentation for completing compliance (e.g., reinstatement, promotion, hiring, reassignment), such as copies of SF-50s?	Yes	
F.3.j. Does the agency promptly provide the EEOC documentation of the posting of Notice of Violation for completing compliance, such as the original, signed and dated notice, reflecting the dates of posting? (A copy will suffice if original is not available.)	Yes	
F.3.k. Does the agency promptly provide the EEOC documentation of supplemental investigation, such as: (1) a copy of the letter to complainant acknowledging receipt from EEOC of remanded case; (2) a copy of the letter to complainant transmitting the Report of Investigation (not the ROI itself, unless specified); and (3) a copy of the request for a hearing (complainant's request or agency's transmittal letter)?	Yes	
F.3.1. Does the agency promptly provide the EEOC the Final Agency Decision (FAD), such as the FAD or a copy of the complainant's request for a hearing?	Yes	
F.3.m. Does the agency promptly provide the EEOC documentation of restoration of leave, such as a print-out or statement identifying the amount of leave restored, if applicable? If no, provide an explanation or	Yes	

Measures	Measure Met?	Comments
statement in the comments column.		
F.3.n. Does the agency promptly provide the EEOC documentation of civil actions, such as a complete copy of the civil action complaint demonstrating same issues raised as in compliance matter?	Yes	
F.3.o. Does the agency promptly provide the EEOC settlement agreements, such as the signed and dated agreement with specific dollar amounts, and appropriate documentation of relief provided?	Yes	

# **TAB 6**

Part H

Plan to Obtain Essential Elements of EEO Program

PART H. - Agency EEO Plan to Attain the Essential Elements of a Model EEO Program

Statement of Model Program Essential Element Deficiency

<b>Essential Element</b>	Type of Program Deficiency	Brief Description of Program Deficiency
H.1 Element B - Integration of EEO into Agency's Strategic Plan	B.4.e. Uniform Federal Accessibility Standards	B.4.e.: The FAA funds major renovation projects to ensure compliance with UFAS and the Architectural Barriers Act Accessibility Standard (ABAAS) but there are still some facilities that are not in compliance.

#### Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan

Objective	Date Objective Initiated (mm/dd/yyyy)	Target Date for Completion of Objective (mm/dd/yyyy)	Date Objective Completed (mm/dd/yyyy)
Fund renovation projects to ensure timely compliance with Architectural Barriers Act Accessibility Standards (ABAAS).	11/30/2005	09/30/2026	

#### **Responsible Official(s)**

Title	Name
Acting, Fire Protection/Life Safety, ABA Compliance	Ryan Cummings

#### **Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective**

Planned Activities	Target Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Completed?	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
Prepare a training module that will be included in the supervisory training package.	09/30/2013	No	
Facility Accessibility Program Office (FAPO) and Regional Accessibility focal points will coordinate UFAS/Section 504	09/30/2016 Surveys are ongoing	No	

Planned Activities	Target Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Completed?	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
Facility Surveys at all staff facilities. Survey results will be distributed locally and maintained in a national database.			

#### Report of Accomplishments and Modifications to Objective

"Target Date for Completion" has been extended based on En Route and Oceanic Services estimates for the completion of the "Administrative Wing Renovation and Expansion" project.

UFAS / Section 504 Facility Accessibility Surveys and ABAAS Facility Accessibility Surveys continue to be conducted by FAPO. Suggested remediations for items or areas of non-compliance noted during the surveys are made in accordance with ABAAS.

The Program Office conducted two ABAAS training classes for the FAA Real Estate Contracting Officers on "ABAAS Requirements for Parking and Restroom Specific Issues." Training will continue until all responsible parties have received training on accessibility requirements for federal facilities.

To date the Facility Accessibility Program Office and the Regional Accessibility Focal Points have conducted 271 facility surveys with a total staffing of 29,646. In addition, the Terminal Facilities Group has included UFAS compliance in 103 Government Transition Evaluations and 181 Condition Assessments. A total of 555 FAA staffed facilities have been surveyed for UFAS/ABAAS compliance.

### **Statement of Model Program Essential Element Deficiency**

Essential Element	Type of Program Deficiency	Brief Description of Program Deficiency
H-2 Element E - Efficiency	E.1.b. Other (Please Describe)	Although the Agency has completed the implementation of hiring, promotions, selections and awards data systems, it must establish a centralized system to track training and education data.

### Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan

Objective	Date Objective Initiated (mm/dd/yyyy)	Target Date for Completion of Objective (mm/dd/yyyy)	Date Objective Completed (mm/dd/yyyy)
To identify adequate data collection, monitoring and tracking systems through a collaborative and inclusive process involving AHR, AGC, and ACR, in accordance with EEOC, OPM, and DOT instructions and to secure resources to implement data collection, monitoring and tracking systems in accordance to MD-715.	11/30/2004	09/30/2015	

#### **Responsible Official(s)**

Title	Name
Assistant Administrator for Civil Rights (ACR)	Mamie W. Mallory
Assistant Administrator for Human Resource Management (AHR)	Carrolyn Bostick

## **Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective**

Planned Activities	Target Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Completed?	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
Establish a system to track training and education data on one centralized system.	09/30/2015	No	

### Report of Accomplishments and Modifications to Objective

There have been several meetings to discuss this initiative, and the Agency is currently working to establish a centralized tracking system for obtaining training and education data. Also, FAA is working to track internal vacancy announcements.

# **TAB 7**

Part I

**Barrier Analysis** 

PART I.1 - Agency EEO Plan to Eliminate Identified Barrier

#### **Statement of Condition That Was a Trigger for a Potential Barrier:**

Source of the Trigger	Specific Workforce Data Table (if applicable)	Row within Identified Workforce Data Table (if applicable)	Narrative Description of Trigger
Workforce Data Tables (See tables below)	Tables A6/B6	Aviation Safety Inspector (1825) mission critical occupation	Lower than expected participation rate in several categories

### **EEO** Group(s) Affected by Trigger

EEO Group	Affected By Trigger?
All Men	No
All Women	No
Hispanic or Latino Males	Yes
Hispanic or Latino Females	Yes
White Males	No
White Females	Yes
Black or African American Males	Yes
Black or African American Females	Yes
Asian Males	Yes
Asian Females	Yes
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Males	No
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Females	No
American Indian or Alaska Native Males	No
American Indian or Alaska Native Females	Yes
Two or More Races Males	Yes
Two or More Races Females	Yes
Individuals with Targeted Disabilities	Yes

### **Barrier Analysis Process**

Sources of Data	Has Source Been Reviewed?	Identify Information Collected
Workforce Data Tables Reviewed	Yes	
Complaint Data (i.e., Trends, Findings of Discrimination, etc.)	Yes	
Grievance Data	No	
Climate Assessment Survey	Yes	
Exit Interview Data	No	
Interviews	No	
Applicable Policies and Procedures	No	
Reports (OIG, EEOC, MSPB, GAO, etc.)	No	
Other (Please Describe)	No	

### **Status of Barrier Analysis Process**

	<b>Barrier Analysis Process Completed?</b>	Barrier(s) Identified?
No		No

### **Statement of Identified Barrier(s)**

Types of Barrier	Description of Policy, Procedure, or Practice
Other	Barrier analysis process is not completed.

### Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan

Objective	Date Objective Initiated (mm/dd/yyyy)	Target Date for Completion of Objective (mm/dd/yyyy)	Date Objective Completed (mm/dd/yyyy)
Conduct a barrier analysis on this mission critical occupation	11/01/2006	09/30/2014	

#### **Responsible Official(s)**

Title	Name
Associate Administrator for Aviation Safety	Margaret Gilligan, Associate Administrator for Aviation Safety (AVS-1)

#### **Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective**

Planned Activities	Target Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Modified Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Completed?	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
Conduct a barrier analysis on the mission critical occupation.	09/30/2010	09/30/2014	No	
If any barriers are identified, create an action plan to address and eliminate any identified barriers if possible.	09/30/2010	09/30/2015	No	

### Report of Accomplishments and Modifications to Objective

A contractor has been selected to perform a barrier analysis on this mission critical occupation with a completion in FY 2014.

PART I.2 - Agency EEO Plan to Eliminate Identified Barrier

#### Statement of Condition That Was a Trigger for a Potential Barrier:

Source of the Trigger	Specific Workforce Data Table (if applicable)	Row within Identified Workforce Data Table (if applicable)	Narrative Description of Trigger
Workforce Data Tables (See tables below)	Tables A6/B6	Air Traffic Control Specialist (2152) mission critical occupation	Lower than expected participation rate in several categories

## **EEO Group(s) Affected by Trigger**

EEO Group	Affected By Trigger?
All Men	No
All Women	No
Hispanic or Latino Males	No
Hispanic or Latino Females	No
White Males	No
White Females	Yes
Black or African American Males	Yes
Black or African American Females	Yes
Asian Males	No
Asian Females	Yes
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Males	No
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Females	No
American Indian or Alaska Native Males	No
American Indian or Alaska Native Females	No
Two or More Races Males	Yes
Two or More Races Females	Yes
Individuals with Targeted Disabilities	Yes

## **Barrier Analysis Process**

Sources of Data	Has Source Been Reviewed?	Identify Information Collected
Workforce Data Tables Reviewed	Yes	
Complaint Data (i.e., Trends, Findings of Discrimination, etc.)	Yes	
Grievance Data	No	
Climate Assessment Survey	Yes	
Exit Interview Data	Yes	

Sources of Data	Has Source Been Reviewed?	Identify Information Collected
Interviews	Yes	
Applicable Policies and Procedures	Yes	
Reports (OIG, EEOC, MSPB, GAO, etc.)	No	
Other (Please Describe)	Yes	Medical, Security, and Interview data

### **Status of Barrier Analysis Process**

Barrier Analysis Process Completed?	Barrier(s) Identified?
No	No

### **Statement of Identified Barrier(s)**

Types of Barrier	Description of Policy, Procedure, or Practice
None	Barrier analysis process has not been completed.

## Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan

Objective	Date Objective Initiated (mm/dd/yyyy)	Target Date for Completion of Objective (mm/dd/yyyy)	Date Objective Completed (mm/dd/yyyy)
Conduct barrier analysis on this mission critical occupation	11/30/2007	09/30/2014	

### **Responsible Official(s)**

Title	Name
Vice President Management Services, ATO	Michael J. McCormick

#### **Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective**

Planned Activities	Target Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Modified Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Completed?	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
Conduct barrier analysis on this mission critical occupation.	09/30/2013	09/30/2014	No	
If any barriers are identified, create an action plan to address and eliminate any identified barriers if possible.	09/30/2013	09/30/2015	No	

#### Report of Accomplishments and Modifications to Objective

A barrier analysis of the Air Traffic Control Specialist hiring process is currently underway with a completion of FY 2013.

#### **Statement of Condition That Was a Trigger for a Potential Barrier:**

Source of the Trigger	Specific Workforce Data Table (if applicable)	Row within Identified Workforce Data Table (if applicable)	Narrative Description of Trigger
Workforce Data Tables (See tables below)	Tables A6/B6	Airway Transportation System Specialist (2101) mission critical occupation	Lower than expected participation rate for females in this occupation.

#### **EEO Group(s) Affected by Trigger**

EEO Group	Affected By Trigger?
All Men	No
All Women	Yes
Hispanic or Latino Males	No
Hispanic or Latino Females	Yes
White Males	No

EEO Group	Affected By Trigger?
White Females	Yes
Black or African American Males	No
Black or African American Females	Yes
Asian Males	No
Asian Females	Yes
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Males	No
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Females	Yes
American Indian or Alaska Native Males	No
American Indian or Alaska Native Females	Yes
Two or More Races Males	No
Two or More Races Females	Yes
Individuals with Targeted Disabilities	

## **Barrier Analysis Process**

Sources of Data	Has Source Been Reviewed?	Identify Information Collected
Workforce Data Tables Reviewed	Yes	
Complaint Data (i.e., Trends, Findings of Discrimination, etc.)	Yes	
Grievance Data		
Climate Assessment Survey	Yes	
Exit Interview Data		
Interviews		
Applicable Policies and Procedures		
Reports (OIG, EEOC, MSPB, GAO, etc.)		
Other (Please Describe)		

### **Status of Barrier Analysis Process**

Barrier Analysis Process Completed?	Barrier(s) Identified?
No	No

#### **Statement of Identified Barrier(s)**

Types of Barrier	Description of Policy, Procedure, or Practice
None	Barrier Analysis has not been completed.

#### Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan

Objective	Date Objective Initiated (mm/dd/yyyy)	Target Date for Completion of Objective (mm/dd/yyyy)	Date Objective Completed (mm/dd/yyyy)
Conduct barrier analysis on the mission critical occupation.	10/01/2009	09/30/2014	
Eliminate, when possible, any identified barriers to EEO.	10/01/2009	09/30/2015	

### **Responsible Official(s)**

Title	Name
Vice President Management Services, ATO	Michael J. McCormick

## **Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective**

Planned Activities	Target Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Modified Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Completed?	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
Conduct barrier analysis on this mission critical occupation	09/30/2009	10/01/2014	No	

Planned Activities	Target Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Modified Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Completed?	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
If any barriers are identified, create an action plan to address and eliminate any identified barriers if possible.	09/30/2009	10/01/2014	No	

### Report of Accomplishments and Modifications to Objective

A contractor has been selected to perform a barrier analysis on this mission critical occupation with a completion in FY 2014.

# **TAB 8**

Part J

**Persons W/Targeted Disabilities** 

## PART J - Special Program Plan for the Recruitment, Hiring, and Advancement of Individuals with Targeted Disabilities

Please describe the goals, objectives, strategies, and accomplishments for hiring and advancing employees with targeted disabilities below.

## PART J, SECTION 1 - Employment Trend and Special Recruitment for Individuals with Targeted Disabilities

Enter Actual Number at the	Beginning of FY	End of FY	Net Change
Total Workforce	48364	47739	-625
Reportable Disability	3168	3163	-5
Targeted Disability	284	278	-6

## PART J, SECTION 2 - Applications and Selections for Individuals with Targeted Disabilities

Measures	During the Current Fiscal Year
Total Number of Applications Received from Individuals with Targeted Disabilities	1711
Total Number of Selections of Individuals with Targeted Disabilities	21

#### PART J, SECTION 3 - Participation Rates in Agency Employment Programs

Other Employment/Personnel Programs	Total	Reportable Disability	Targeted Disability	Not Identified	No Disability
Competitive Promotions					
Non-Competitive Promotions	947	54	7	27	866
Employee Career Development Programs					
Employee Career Development Programs: Grades 5 - 12					
Employee Career Development Programs:					

Other Employment/Personnel Programs	Total	Reportable Disability	Targeted Disability	Not Identified	No Disability
Grades 13 – 14					
Employee Career Development Programs: Grades 15 - SES					
Employee Recognition and Awards					
Time-Off Awards (Total hours awarded)	156043	9347	842	6236	140460
Cash Awards (Total \$\$\$ awarded)	11545099	747397	61075	564593	10233109
Quality-Step Increase (Total \$\$\$ awarded)	133091	12413	0	2727	117951

#### PART J, SECTION 4 - Numerical Hiring Goal

Types of Numerical Goals	Goal Used?	Goal (# or %)
% of PWTD in Total Workforce	0.58	%
# of PWTD in New Hires	8	#
% of PWTD in New Hires	0.67	%

#### **PART J, SECTION 5 - Objectives**

Please see the barrier statements and goals identified.

#### **PART J, SECTION 6 - Strategies**

DOCR has instructed FAA to use the format of the Part I form to include the goals for eliminating barriers for PWTD. The statements are labeled as Part J.

#### PART J, SECTION 7 - Accomplishments

**People with Disabilities:** Major accomplishments regarding people with disabilities are as follows:

- FAA participated on a panel for the Workforce Recruitment Program (WRP) kickoff event to speak about serving as a recruiter for the WRP. The event was attended by over 250 individuals from various federal agencies.
- The FAA National People with Disabilities program manager (PWDPM) authored an article for the FAA Managers Association quarterly magazine titled "Managing the Skies." The article was about recruiting, hiring, and retaining people with disabilities.
- The FAA PWDPM attended the Naval Sea Systems Command (NAVSEA) Wounded Warrior Hiring and Support Conference. He also attended training sessions including the Veterans Administration's Vocational Rehabilitation Program, Understanding Veterans Hiring Authorities, Integrating Veterans into the Workforce, and best practices on how to recruit, hire, train and retain wounded warriors.
- FAA hosted a meeting with employment specialists from MD, DC and VA vocational rehab offices to discuss how we can collaborate to increase the hiring of people with disabilities at the FAA.
- The FAA PWDPM created a handbook for managers outlining the overall picture of hiring PWTD at the FAA, information on the "On the Spot" hiring authority for hiring PWD, and information on resources to assist in the hiring of PWTD. The handbook is available on ACR's internal website as a resource for managers and employees.

#### FY 2012 Efficiency Measure for Reasonable Accommodations

- ACR continues to monitor and provide weekly reports to regional Civil Rights Directors
  on open accommodation requests in the agency's tracking system. These reports assist
  directors in ensuring requests are processed timely.
- Following are the agency's increasing success rates (by fiscal year) in meeting the 25-day reasonable accommodation processing requirement:
  - o FY 2010 80%
  - FY 2011 85%
  - FY 2012 90%
- The FAA PWDPM addressed the reasonable accommodation process on an AHR Employee Relations/Labor Relations (ER/LR) monthly conference call. Present on the call were ER/LR specialists from every regional office within the FAA.

#### **Other Accomplishments for FY 2012**

- Several ACR employees volunteered as recruiters for the Department of Defense/
  Department of Labor (DoD/DOL) WRP. They visited five schools and interviewed over
  50 students with disabilities for the WRP database. The WRP, co-sponsored by the US
  Departments of Labor and Defense, is a recruitment and referral program that connects
  federal sector employers nationwide with highly motivated postsecondary students and
  recent graduates with disabilities.
- The Office of Communications published an article about the FAA PWDPM and the People with Disabilities Program to help promote the program and everything that it does to increase the hiring and retention of people with disabilities.
- ACR participated in the Careers and Disabled Magazine's Career Expo and collected 139 signatures at the event. ACR participated in the Rochester Institute of Technology Job Fair (Disability Outreach Event) and collected 59 signatures at the event.

#### **Best Practices**

- Veterans with Disabilities
  - Increased FAA's visibility by attending military sponsored job fairs and disseminating information about career opportunities directly to military installations.
  - o Partnered with the Department of Veterans Affairs to participate in their Non-Paid Work Experience (NPWE) program. NPWE provides eligible veterans and service members the opportunity to obtain training and practical job experience. Several employees have been hired through this program.
- Reasonable Accommodation Team (ReAct)
  - o FAA established the ReAcT as an advisory and consulting board to assist managers with complex reasonable accommodation requests.
- Video Teleconference (VTC)
  - o FAA was able to utilize VTC for outreach to colleges and universities. The use of video conferencing saved the agency over \$8,000.
- Educational Partnerships
  - o FAA collaborated with the DC Mayor and K-12 public schools to create a STEM pipeline to motivate youths who want to become STEM professionals.
  - Student Minority Mentees Program The FAA's continued partnership with the University of Maryland, College Park and University of Eastern Shore allowed 17 students to obtain college credit through their participation in the mentorship program.
  - O ACR develops and maintains educational partnerships with middle schools, high schools, and colleges. These educational partnerships focus on the Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) fields, and encourage students to pursue an education in STEM-related fields, and ultimately, a career in a STEM-related area with the FAA. Through these educational partnerships and outreach activities, ACR provides and educates students about career opportunities at the FAA and the requirements for these career fields.
  - O ACR and members of the National Outreach Program for Diversity and inclusion worked on the planning, implementation, and coordination of the YMCA 18<sup>th</sup> Annual Thingamajig Convention at the Show Place Arena in Upper Marlboro, MD. The event was attended by over 4,000 students and aimed to promote education, creative expression, healthy habits, and self-confidence through hands-on challenges and activities. The event targeted students from the ages of 5-14 and emphasized the importance of science, technology, aeronautics, engineering, mathematics, and active fitness.
- EEO Matrix Team
  - The EEO Matrix Team review process was implemented to reduce the number of formal EEO complaints by providing procedural guidance to management officials addressing EEO matters. Management officials are encouraged to use this process as a tool for working through EEO matters.

- Leadership & Career Development Team (LCD)
  - LCD provides resources and tools to assist employees with their career development planning. The information helps employees see the varying paths toward achieving their career objectives.
     The LCD partnership is confident that through recommendations a talent management model, proposing initiatives in succession planning, career progression, employee development, selection, and performance management, employees will come to realize that the FAA is an agency where employees can meet their career objectives. The LCD has developed a series of recommendations designed to enhance career opportunities available to all employees, while improving performance and increasing safety.
- Diversity and Inclusion Tiger Team
  - o FAA formed a Tiger Team to develop a comprehensive strategy to increase outreach, diversity, and inclusion in its workforce. In addition, the Office of Aviation Safety (AVS) created their own Tiger Team to identify the causes, and make recommendations about improving the diversity of the AVS workforce. The team created a 5-year Diversity and Inclusion Work Plan to develop a robust talent pipeline. The work plan represents the AVS management team's commitment to recruit, hire, and retain a qualified, diverse workforce that better mirrors the nation we serve and continue to abide by anti-discrimination laws.
- EEO Awareness Day
  - On June 7, 2012, the FAA Administrator Michael P. Huerta provided a video for EEO Awareness Day. Mamie Mallory, Assistant Administrator for Civil Rights provided some insightful opening remarks. Our keynote speaker, Joe Gerstandt, co-founder of Talent Anarchy serves on the board of directors for the Global Diversity and Inclusion Foundation, a nonprofit that focuses on developing business leaders who create value for the communities where they work and live.

# **TAB 9**

Tables by RNO and Gender A1-A14

"A" Tables	Description	Comments
Table A1	Total Workforce - Distribution by Race/Ethnicity and Sex	
		Data Provided
Table A2	Permanent Workforce By Component - Distribution by Race/Ethnicity and Sex	Data Provided
Table A3-1	Occupational Categories - Distribution by Race/Ethnicity and Sex	Data Provided
Table A3-2	Occupational Categories - Distribution by Race/Ethnicity and Sex	Data Provided
Table A4-1	Participation Rates For General Schedule Grades - Distribution by Race/Ethnicity and Sex	Data Provided
Table A4-2	Participation Rates For General Schedule (GS) Grades by Race/Ethnicity and Sex	Data Provided
Table A5-1	Participation Rates For Wage Grades by Race/Ethnicity and Sex	
		Data Provided
Table A5-2	Participation Rates For Wage Grades by Race/Ethnicity and Sex	Data Provided
Table A5NS-1	Participation Rates for Non-Supervisory Wage Grades - Distribution by Race/Ethnicity and Sex	Data Provided
Table A5NS-2	Participation Rates for Non-Supervisory Wage Grades - Distribution by Race/Ethnicity and Sex - Permanent Workforce	Data Provided
Table A5S-1	Participation Rates for Supervisory Wage Grades - Distribution by Race/Ethnicity and Sex	Data Provided
Table A5S-2	Participation Rates for Supervisory Wage Grades - Distribution by Race/Ethnicity and Sex	Data Provided
Table A6	Participation Rates for Major Occupations - Distribution by Race/Ethnicity and Sex	Data Provided
Table A7	Hires for Major Occupations Distribution by Race/Ethnicity and Sex	Data Provided
Table A8	New Hires by Type of Appointment - Distribution by Race/Ethnicity and Sex	Data Provided
Table A9	Selections for Internal Competitive Promotions for Major Occupations by Race/Ethnicity and Sex	Not Available
Table A10	Non-Competitive Promotions - Time in Grade - Distribution by Race/Ethnicity and Sex	Data Provided
Table A11	Internal Selections for Senior Level Positions (GS 13, GS 14, GS 15, and SES) by Race/Ethnicity and Sex	Not Available
Table A12	Participation in Career Development by Race/Ethnicity and Sex	Not Available
Table A13	Employee Recognition and Awards - Distribution by Race/Ethnicity and Sex	Data Provided
Table A14	Separations by Type of Separation - Distribution by Race/Ethnicity and Sex	Data Provided

#### **List of Workforce Data Tables**

\*\*This is a statistical snapshot of the workforce demographics. Conclusions concerning the existence of workplace barriers must not be drawn from gross numerical assessments. The use of this data in any employment decision is PROHIBITED without the express written authorization of the Deputy Chief Counsel, AGC-2.

#### FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION Pay Period from 201121 to 201220

						Table A	1: TOTAL V	VORKFORCE	- Distribut	ion by Race	/Ethnicity a	nd Sex						
					RACE/ETHI	NICITY												
							Non- Hispa	nic or										
							Latino											
									Black or				Native Hawaiian or		American Indian or			
Employmer	nt Tenure	TOTAL WO			Hispanic or		White		African Am	nerican	Asian		Other Paci		Alaska Nati		Two or mo	
		All	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female
TOTAL W.C	RKFORCE	- Permane				•					•			,				
	#	48364	36383	11981	2400		29110		2684			502				191	275	83
Prior FY	%	100%	75.23%	24.77%	4.96	_	60.19		5.55	_		1.04		0.07	0.96	0.39	0.57	0.17
	#	47739	36017	11722	2417	795	28719		2648			505		36	_	177	304	95
Current FY	%	100%	75.45%	24.55%	5.06		60.16		5.55		2.89	1.06		0.08		0.37	0.64	0.2
Nat 2k CLF	%	100%	53.23%	46.77%	6.17%	4.52%	39.03%	33.74%	4.84%		1.92%	1.71%	0.06%	0.05%	0.34%	0.32%	0.88%	0.76%
Difference Ratio	#	-625	-366	-259	17	1	-391	-221	-36	-40	27	3	6	0	-18	-14	29	12
Change	%	0.00%	0.22%	-0.22%	0.10%	0.02%	-0.03%	-0.24%	0.00%	-0.03%	0.09%	0.02%	0.02%	0.00%	-0.03%	-0.02%	0.07%	0.03%
Net Change	%	-1.29%	-1.01%	-2.16%	0.71%	0.13%	-1.34%	-2.68%	-1.34%	-1.87%	2.00%	0.60%	6.06%	0.00%	-3.88%	-7.33%	10.55%	14.46%
PERMANE	NT WORKE	ORCE																
	#	47515	35834	11681	2363	774	28687	8019	2624	2104	1338	490	99	35	455	183	268	76
Prior FY	%	100%	75.42%	24.58%	4.97%	1.63%	60.37%	16.88%	5.52%	4.43%	2.82%	1.03%	0.21%	0.07%	0.96%	0.39%	0.56%	0.16%
	#	46959	35501	11458	2375	774	28321	7831	2607	2064	1360	491	103	35	439	172	296	91
Current FY	%	100%	75.60%	24.40%	5.06%	1.65%	60.31%	16.68%	5.55%		2.90%	1.05%	0.22%	0.07%	0.93%	0.37%	0.63%	0.19%
Difference	#	-556	-333	-223	12	0	-366	-188	-17	-40	22	1	4	0	-16	-11	28	15
Ratio Change	%	0%	0.18%	-0.18%	0.08%	0.02%	-0.06%	-0.20%	0.03%	-0.03%	0.08%	0.01%	0.01%	0.00%	-0.02%	-0.02%	0.07%	0.03%
Net Change	%	-1.17%	-0.93%	-1.91%	0.51%	0.00%	-1.28%	-2.34%	-0.65%	-1.90%	1.64%	0.20%	4.04%	0.00%	-3.52%	-6.01%	10.45%	19.74%
TEMPORA	RY WORKE																	
	#	849					423		60		_				9	8	7	7
Prior FY	%	100%	64.66%	35.34%	4.36		49.82		7.07	4.71	1.53		. 0	0.12	1.06	0.94	0.82	0.82
	#	780			42		398	_	41	_				1	7	5	8	4
Current FY	%	100%	66.15%	33.85%	5.38	2.69	51.03		5.26		2.31	1.79	0.26	0.13		0.64	1.03	0.51
Difference	#	-69	-33	-36	5	1	-25	-33	-19	0	5	2	2	0	-2	-3	1	-3
Ratio Change	%	0%	1.49%	-1.49%	1.03%	0.34%	1.20%	-2.02%	-1.81%	0.42%	0.78%	0.38%	0.26%	0.01%	-0.16%	-0.30%	0.20%	-0.31%
Net Change	%	-8.13%	-6.01%	-12.00%	13.51%	5.00%	-5.91%	-15.57%	-31.67%	0.00%	38.46%	16.67%	0%	0.00%	-22.22%	-37.50%	14.29%	-42.86%

#### Table A2 - Permanent Workforce By Component - Distribution by Race/ Ethnicity and Sex

				Table A2	- Perman	ent Workf	orce By C	omponent	- Distribut	tion by Ra	Table A2 - Permanent Workforce By Component - Distribution by Race/ Ethnicity and Sex													
					RACE/ETHI	VICITY																		
							Non- Hispa	nic or																
							Latino																	
													Native Hawaiian or American Indian or											
Organizational Component		TOTAL EMI			Hispanic or		White		African Am		Asian				Alaska Nativ		Two or mor							
				female	male	female	male		male	female		female		female		female		female						
National CLF	%	100%	53.20%	46.80%	6.20%	4.50%	39%	33.70%	4.80%	5.70%	1.90%	1.70%	0.10%	0.10%		0.30%		0.80%						
	#	3535	_	1265	100	45		925		146		65	4	1	. 67	71								
AERONAUTICAL CENTER (SB)	%	100%	64.21%	35.79%	2.83%	1.27%		26.17%	4.89%	4.13%		1.84%	0.11%	0.03%		2.01%		0.34%						
	#	936		195	31	5	620	163		10		6	2	0	. 51	7	10							
ALASKAN REGION (SB)	%	100%	79.17%	20.83%	3.31%	0.53%		17.41%		1.07%		0.64%	0.21%	0.00%		0.75%								
	#	2230	1757	473	47	21		351		91		6	0	0	28	4	. 12							
CENTRAL REGION (SB)	%	100%	78.79%	21.21%	2.11%	0.94%		15.74%	4.04%	4.08%	1.12%	0.27%	0.00%	0.00%		0.18%		0.00%						
	#	4906	3991	915	209	72		687		117		27	2	1	. 22	5	21							
EASTERN REGION (SB)	%	100%	81.35%	18.65%	4.26%	1.47%	67.61%	14.00%	6.14%	2.38%		0.55%	0.04%	0.02%		0.10%		0.12%						
	#	5690	4611	1079	169	50		915		91		13	0	0	34	4	36							
GREAT LAKES REGION (SB)	%	100%	81.04%	18.96%	2.97%	0.88%	71.92%	16.08%	3.44%	1.60%	1.48%	0.23%	0.00%	0.00%		0.07%		0.11%						
	#	6397	3862	2535	207	138		1393		839		129	8	4	49	18								
HEADQUARTERS (SB)	%	100%	60.37%	39.63%	3.24%	2.16%		21.78%	7.55%	13.12%		2.02%	0.13%	0.06%		0.28%		0.22%						
	#	1454	1157	297	28	9	1060	262	27	12		8	0	1	. 8	1	. 6	4						
NEW ENGLAND REGION (SB)	%	100%	79.57%	20.43%	1.93%	0.62%	72.90%	18.02%	1.86%	0.83%		0.55%	0.00%	0.07%		0.07%		0.28%						
NORTHWEST MOUNTAIN	#	4251	3238	1013	129	54		816		54		62	6	3	38	17		-						
REGION (SB)	%	100%	76.17%	23.83%	3.03%	1.27%	66.03%	19.20%	1.79%	1.27%		1.46%	0.14%	0.07%		0.40%		0.16%						
0011711701105010111001	#	7015	5560	1455	566			910		375		20	5	2 0 0 20/	36	13								
SOUTHERN REGION (SB)	%	100%	79.26%	20.74%	8.07%	1.82%		12.97%	8.92%	5.35%		0.29%	0.07%	0.03%		0.19%								
0011711111507 0501011 (00)	#	4971	3952	1019	470	148	_	681	357	139		23	0.400/	0.000/	71	19								
SOUTHWEST REGION (SB)	%	100%	79.50%	20.50%	9.45%	2.98%		13.70%	7.18%	2.80%		0.46%	0.10%	0.00%	1.43%	0.38%	0.64%	0.18%						
TECHNICAL CENTED (CD)	#	790	550	240	32	1.040/	445	154		60		15	0.000/	0.130/	0.510/	0.250/	0.2524	0.000/						
TECHNICAL CENTER (SB)	%	100% 4784	69.62% 3812	30.38% 972	4.05% 387	1.01% 96	56.33% 2603	19.49% 574	3.54% 224	7.59% 130	4.94% 414	1.90% 117	0.00%	0.13%		0.25% 11		0.00%						
WESTERN PACIFIC REGION	# %		79.68%	20.32%	8.09%		54.41%	12.00%	4.68%	2.72%		2.45%	1.48%	0.46%		0.23%								
(SB)	%	100%				2.01%												0.46%						
	#	46959	35501	11458	2375	774		7831	2607	2064		491	103 0.22%	35 0.07%		172								
Total	%	100%	75.60%	24.40%	5.06%	1.65%	60.31%	16.68%	5.55%	4.40%	2.90%	1.05%	0.22%	0.07%	0.93%	0.37%	0.63%	0.19%						

				-	OOT FAA F	EDERAL A	VIATION A	DMINIST	RATION P	ay Period	201220							
							I Categori	es - Distri	bution by F	Race/ Ethn	icity and	Sex						
					RACE/ETHI													
							Non- Hispa	nic or										
						Latino												
									Black or				Native Haw		American I			
Occupational Categories		TOTAL EMP			Hispanic or		White		African Ame		Asian	le ,	Other Pacif		Alaska Nat		Two or mo	
All		All	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female
1. Officials and Managers			244=	040	4.00		2522	=00	950		=-							
Executive/Senior Level (Grades 15	#	3935	3117		178	48	2530	589	260	142	73			J	49			
and Above)	%	100%	79.21%	20.79%	4.52%	1.22%	64.29%	14.97%	6.61%	3.61%	1.86%	0.51%	0.20%	0.08%		0.20%		0.20%
10 1 12 10	#	2046	1640	406	135	33	1265	292	139	59	51	9	3	2 4 2 2 4	39	10		1 0.0504
Mid-Level (Grades 13-14)	%	100%	80.16%	19.84%	6.60%	1.61%	61.83%	14.27%	6.79% 17	2.88%	2.49%	0.44%	0.15%	0.10%	1.91%	0.49%	0.39%	0.05%
5'	#		157	45	2.000/	3	127	34		2.470/	0.500/	0.000/	0.000/	0.000	3 4 4004	U	2.500/	0.500/
First-Level (Grades 12 and Below)	%	100%	77.72% 6963	22.28%	3.96%	1.49%	62.87%	16.83%	8.42%	3.47%	0.50%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.50%	0.50%
Other	#	10487		3524	583	238	5154 49.15%	2130	739	892	279	163	30	13		59		0.28%
Other	%	100% 16670	66.40% 11877	33.60% 4793	5.56% 904	2.27% 322	49.15% 9076	20.31% 3045	7.05% 1155	8.51% 1100	2.66%	1.55% 192	0.29%	0.12%		0.56%	0.68%	
Officials And Managers - TOTAL	9/	100%	71.25%	28.75%	5.42%	1.93%	54.45%	18.27%	6.93%	6.60%	2.42%	1.15%	0.25%	0.11%		0.46%	0.59%	0.23%
Officials And Managers - TOTAL	#	6004	4395	1609	275	1.93%	34.43%	995	357	321	529	1.15%		0.11/0	) 46			
2. Professionals	%	100%	73.20%	26.80%	4.58%	1.73%	52.42%	16.57%	5.95%	5.35%	8.81%	2.58%	0.17%	0.00%		0.48%		
2. FTOTESSIONAIS	#	18268	15172	3096	932	200	12720	2454	841	281	350	85	38	0.0070		28	1	
3. Technicians	%	100%	83.05%	16.95%	5.10%	1.09%	69.63%	13.43%	4.60%	1.54%	1.92%	0.47%	0.21%	0.05%		0.15%		
51 Teelimeteris	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1776	0	0.007	0.7070	0.1370	0.01/0	0.2270
4. Sales Workers	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	1840	305	1535	30	125	184	983	68	331	14	51	1	4	3	34	5	7
5. Administrative Support Workers	%	100%	16.58%	83.42%	1.63%	6.79%	10.00%	53.42%	3.70%	17.99%	0.76%	2.77%	0.05%	0.22%	0.16%	1.85%	0.27%	0.38%
	#	107	107	0	8	0	83	0	2	0	5	0	2	C	7	0	0	0
6. Craft Workers	%	100%	100.00%	0.00%	7.48%	0.00%	77.57%	0.00%	1.87%	0.00%	4.67%	0.00%	1.87%	0.00%	6.54%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	3940	3605	335	221	16	3084	286	177	22	58	5	10	3	42	2	13	1
7. Operatives	%	100%	91.50%	8.50%	5.61%	0.41%	78.27%	7.26%	4.49%	0.56%	1.47%	0.13%	0.25%	0.08%	1.07%	0.05%	0.33%	0.03%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	0	0	0
8. Laborers and Helpers	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	130	40	90	5	7	27	68	7	9	0	3	1	1	. 0	2	0	0
9. Service Workers	%	100%	30.77%	69.23%	3.85%	5.38%	20.77%	52.31%	5.38%	6.92%	0.00%	2.31%	0.77%	0.77%	0.00%	1.54%	0.00%	0.00%

#### DOT FAA FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION Pay Period 201220 Table A3-2 - Occupational Categories - Distribution by Race/ Ethnicity and Sex RACE/ETHNICITY Non- Hispanic or Latino Black or Native Hawaiian or American Indian or Occupational Categories TOTAL EMPLOYEES Hispanic or Latino White African American Asian Other Pacific Islander Alaska Native Two or more races male female male male male female male female female male female male female female male female L. Officials and Managers Executive/Senior Level (Grades 15 # 3935 3117 818 178 2530 589 260 142 73 20 7.52% 4.07% 8.38% 8.78% 7.14% 6.20% 8.93% 9.97% 6.88% 5.37% 7.77% 8.57% 4.65% 6.42% and Above) 7.49% 11.16% 8.79% 2046 406 1265 292 59 1640 135 33 139 51 39 4.36% 4.62% 3.54% 4.47% 3.73% 5.33% 2.86% 3.75% 1.83% 2.91% 5.71% 8.88% 5.81% 1.10% Mid-Level (Grades 13-14) 5.68% 4.26% 2.70% 202 157 45 127 17 0.65% 0.00% 0.43% 0.44% 0.39% 0.34% 0.39% 0.45% 0.43% 0.34% 0.07% 0.00% 0.00% 0.68% 0.00% 0.34% 1.10% First-Level (Grades 12 and Below) 10487 6963 3524 583 238 5154 2130 739 892 279 163 30 13 107 50 29 28.35% 29.13% 22.33% 19.61% 30.76% 24.55% 30.75% 18.20% 27.20% 43.22% 20.51% 33.20% 37.14% 24.37% 34.30% 23.99% 31.87% Other 16670 11877 4793 9076 3045 1155 404 904 322 1100 192 41 18 198 0.69% 2.46% 2.34% 0.86% 0.09% 0.04% 0.16% 0.08% Officials And Managers - TOTAL 35.50% 33.46% 41.83% 1.93% 19.33% 6.48% 0.41% 0.42% 0.21% 6004 4395 1609 275 104 3147 995 357 321 529 155 10 46 29 31 10. Professionals 12.79% 12.38% 14.04% 11.58% 13.44% 11.11% 12.71% 13.69% 15.55% 38.90% 31.57% 9.71% 0.00% 10.48% 16.86% 10.47% 5.49% 18268 12720 2454 15172 3096 932 200 841 281 350 85 38 143 28 148 39 39.24% 25.84% 44.91% 31.34% 32.26% 13.61% 25.74% 17.31% 36.89% 32.57% 16.28% 50.00% 42.86% 11. Technicians 38.90% 42.74% 27.02% 25.71% 0% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 12. Sales Workers 13. Administrative Support 1840 305 1535 125 184 983 331 51 30 3.92% 0.86% 13.40% 1.26% 16.15% 0.65% 12.55% 2.61% 16.04% 1.03% 10.39% 0.97% 11.43% 0.68% 19.77% 1.69% 7.69% Workers 107 107 83 14. Craft Workers 0.23% 0.30% 0.00% 0.34% 0.00% 0.29% 0.00% 0.08% 0.00% 0.37% 0.00% 1.94% 0.00% 1.59% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 3940 3605 335 221 16 3084 286 177 58 8.39% 10.15% 2.92% 9.31% 2.07% 10.89% 3.65% 6.79% 1.07% 4.26% 1.02% 9.71% 8.57% 9.57% 1.16% 4.39% 1.10% 15. Operatives 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0% 0.00% 0.00% 16. Laborers and Helpers 130 40 90 27 17. Service Workers 0.28% 0.11% 0.79% 0.21% 0.90% 0.10% 0.87% 0.27% 0.44% 0.00% 0.61% 0.97% 2.86% 0.00% 1.16% 0.00% 0.00% 46959 35501 11458 2375 774 28321 7831 2607 2064 1360 491 103 439 172 296 91

Permanent Workforce

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

100%

			Table A	4-1: Partici	: Participation Rates for General Schedule Grades - Distribution by Race/Ethnicity and Sex - Permanent Workforce													
GS/GM, SES AND					RACE/ETHN	IICITY												
RELATED GRADES							Non- Hispa	inic or										
							Latino											
									Black or				Native Hav	vaiian or	American In	dian or		
		TOTAL EMP	LOYEES		Hispanic or	Latino	White	White		African American			Other Paci	fic Islander	Alaska Nativ	e	Two or mo	re races
		All	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male f	emale	male	female
	#	0	0	0	0	0	ŭ	C	0	0	C	Ü	0	0	0	0	0	0
GS-01	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	_	C	0	0	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GS-02	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%				0%		0%	0%	0%
	#	12	6	6	0	1	_	3	1	2	,		-	0	U	0	0	0
GS-03	%	100%	50.00%	50.00%	0.00%	8.33%		25.00%	8.33%	16.67%			0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	1	0	1	0	0	_	1	. 0	0			Ŭ	0	0	0	0	0
GS-04	%	100%	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	220	57	163	4	15		109		28		7	0	1	0	1	1	2
GS-05	%	100%	25.91%	74.09%	1.82%	6.82%		49.55%	3.64%	12.73%		1	0.00%	0.45%	0.00%	0.45%	0.45%	0.91%
	#	249	50	199	10	20		126		40		8	0	1	0	3	0	1
GS-06	%	100%	20.08%	79.92%	4.02%	8.03%	12.85%	50.60%		16.06%	0.00%		0.00%	0.40%	0.00%	1.20%	0.00%	0.40%
	#	696	111	585	11	48				142				1	1	16	2	2
GS-07	%	100%	15.95%	84.05%	1.58%	6.90%	8.62%	50.43%	4.45%	20.40%	0.72%		0.14%	0.14%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.30%	0.29%	0.29%
	#	680	548	132		10		97	_	12			4	0	1 7	0	19	
GS-08	%	100%	80.59%	19.41%	4.12%	1.47%	64.71%	14.26%	4.26%	1.76%	3.53%		0.59%	0.00%	0.59%	0.00%	2.79%	1.32%
	#	1944	915	1029	75	87		669		220				5	5	18	15	
GS-09	%	100%	47.07%	52.93%	3.86%	4.48%	35.24%	34.41%	4.94%	11.32%	1.80%		0.21%	0.26%	0.26%	0.93%	0.77%	0.31%
	#	1857	995	862		67		545		174	37			1	12	19	18	
GS-10	%	100%	53.58%	46.42%	3.61%	3.61%	39.47%	29.35%	6.57%	9.37%	1.99%		0.32%	0.05%	0.65%	1.02%	0.97%	0.54%
00.44	#	1560	1224	336		25		240		52				2 2 2 2 2	12	3	20	
GS-11	%	100%	78.46%	21.54%	5.71%	1.60%	63.01%	15.38%	6.28%	3.33%	1.35%		0.06%	0.06%	0.77%	0.19%	1.28%	0.26%
66.42	#	9100	7196	1904	565	150		1252		348				8	100	28	78	
GS-12	%	100%	79.08%	20.92%	6.21%	1.65%	61.46%	13.76%	6.44%	3.82%	2.71%		0.24%	0.09%		0.31%	0.86%	0.26%
66.42	#	8704	6672	2032	476	105	5145	1322		429				10		35	47	
GS-13	%	100% 17253	76.65%	23.35% 3239	5.47% 841	1.21% 184	59.11% 11611	15.19% 2426	5.55% 834	4.93% 445	4.87%		0.26%	0.11%	0.85% 159	0.40%	0.54% 75	0.10%
00.44	#		14014											2 222		40		
GS-14	%	100%	81.23%	18.77%	4.87%	1.07%	67.30% 2772	14.06%	4.83%	2.58% 155	2.68%		0.19%	0.02%		0.23%	0.43%	0.09%
66.45	#	4312	3416 79.22%	896 20.78%	191 4.43%	58 1.35%		639 14.82%	281 6.52%	3.59%	2.11%		0.19%	0.07%	53 1.23%	0.21%	0.46%	_
GS-15	%	100%	/9.22%		4.43%		64.29%	14.82%	6.52%	3.59%			0.19%	0.07%	1.23%	0.21%	0.46%	0.19%
All athen (	#	0	0	000	0	000	0	(	0	0	000		0	0	0	0	0	000
All other (unspecified)	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Senior	<b>L</b>	4.0-	42.		_	•	400				_		_	_		_	_	
Executive	#	185	124	61	5	3		47		10		_	0	0	3	0	0	0.000
Service	%	100%	67.03%	32.97%	2.70%	1.62%	55.68%	25.41%	5.95%	5.41%	1.08%	0.54%	0.00%	0.00%	1.62%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Table A4-2: Participation Rates for General Schedule Grades - Distribution by Race/Ethnicity and Sex - Permanent Workforce

			Table A	4-2: Partici	pation Rate	s for Gener	al Schedule	Grades - D	istribution b	y Race/Eth	nicity and S	Sex - Perman	ent Workfo	rce				
GS/GM, SES AND					RACE/ETHI	VICITY		-			-							
RELATED GRADES							Non- Hispa	anic or										
							Latino											
									Black or				Native Hav	vaiian or	American In	dian or		
		TOTAL EMP	PLOYEES		Hispanic o		White		African Am		Asian		Other Pacif	fic Islander	Alaska Nativ		Two or mo	re races
		All	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male f	emale	male	female
	#	0	0	0	_	0		C	·	0	,		0	V	,	0		0
GS-01	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	0	0	0	0	0		C	0	0		, ,	0	0	0	0	0	C
GS-02	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	12	6	6	0	1	5	3	1	2	. (	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
GS-03	%	0.03%	0.02%	0.05%	0.00%	0.13%	0.02%	0.04%	0.04%	0.10%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	. 0	0	(	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
GS-04	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.01%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%			0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	220	57	163	4	15		109		28		7	0	1	. 0	1	1	. 2
GS-05	%	0.47%	0.16%	1.42%	0.17%	1.94%	0.13%	1.39%	0.31%	1.36%	0.52%	1.43%	0.00%	2.86%	0.00%	0.58%	0.34%	2.22%
	#	249	50							40		8	0	1	. 0	3	0	1
GS-06	%	0.53%	0.14%	1.74%	0.42%	2.59%	0.11%	1.61%	0.31%	1.94%	0.00%	1.63%	0.00%	2.86%	0.00%	1.74%	0.00%	1.11%
	#	696	111	585	11	48	60	351	. 31	142	5	25	1	1	. 1	16	2	2
GS-07	%	1.49%	0.31%	5.11%	0.47%	6.21%	0.21%	4.48%	1.20%	6.90%	0.37%	5.09%	0.99%	2.86%	0.23%	9.30%	0.68%	2.22%
	#	680	548	132		10		97	_	12			4	0	4	0	19	9
GS-08	%	1.45%	1.55%	1.15%	1.19%	1.29%	1.56%	1.24%	1.12%	0.58%	1.77%	0.81%	3.96%	0.00%	0.93%	0.00%	6.44%	10.00%
	#	1944	915	1029	75	87	685	669	96	220	35	24	4	5	5	18	15	$\epsilon$
GS-09	%	4.16%	2.59%	8.99%	3.18%	11.25%	2.43%	8.55%	3.71%	10.70%	2.58%	4.89%	3.96%	14.29%	1.17%	10.47%	5.08%	6.67%
	#	1857	995	862		67		545	122	174	. 37	46	6	1	. 12	19	18	10
GS-10	%	3.97%	2.82%	7.53%	2.84%	8.67%	2.60%	6.96%	4.71%	8.46%	2.73%	9.37%	5.94%	2.86%	2.80%	11.05%	6.10%	11.11%
	#	1560	1224	336	89	25	983	240	98	52	21	11	1	1	. 12	3	20	4
GS-11	%	3.34%	3.46%	2.94%	3.77%	3.23%	3.49%	3.07%	3.79%	2.53%	1.55%	2.24%	0.99%	2.86%	2.80%	1.74%	6.78%	4.44%
	#	9100	7196	1904	565	150	5593	1252	586	348	247	94	22	8	105	28	78	24
GS-12	%	19.46%	20.37%	16.64%	23.92%	19.40%	19.83%	16.00%	22.64%	16.92%	18.23%	19.14%	21.78%	22.86%	24.53%	16.28%	26.44%	26.67%
	#	8704	6672	2032	476	105	5145	1322		429	424	122	23	10		35	47	9
GS-13	%	18.61%	18.89%	17.75%	20.15%	13.58%	18.25%	16.89%	18.66%	20.86%	31.29%	24.85%	22.77%	28.57%	17.29%	20.35%	15.93%	10.00%
	#	17253	14014	3239		184	11611	2426		445			32	4	159	40		
GS-14	%	36.89%	39.67%	28.30%	35.61%	23.80%	41.18%	31.00%	32.23%	21.63%	34.10%	25.46%	31.68%	11.43%	37.15%	23.26%	25.42%	16.67%
	#	4312	3416	896	191	58	2772	639	281	155	91	24		3	53	9	20	
GS-15	%	9.22%	9.67%	7.83%	8.09%	7.50%	9.83%	8.16%	10.86%	7.54%	6.72%	4.89%	7.92%	8.57%	12.38%	5.23%	6.78%	8.89%
	#	0	0	_	-	-	_	C	•	0	`	,	0	0	0	0		0
All other (unspecified)	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6 0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Senior																		
Executive	#	185	124	61	5	3	103	47	11	10	2	2 1	0	0	3	0	0	0
Service	%	0.40%	0.35%	0.53%	0.21%	0.39%	0.37%	0.60%	0.43%	0.49%	0.15%	0.20%	0.00%	0.00%	0.70%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	46773	35328	11445	2362	773	28199	7827	2588	2057	1355	491	101	35	428	172	295	90
TOTAL	%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

#### Table A5-1 - Participation Rates For Wage Grades by Race/Ethnicity and Sex - Permanent Workforce RACE/ETHNICITY Non- Hispanic or Latino WD/WG, WL/WS & Other Wage Black or Native Hawaiian or American Indian or TOTAL EMPLOYEES White African American Other Pacific Islander | Alaska Native Grades Hispanic or Latino Asian Two or more races male female male emale male female male female male female male female male female male female 0 % 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% Grade-01 0 0 0 % 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% Grade-02 0 0 0 0 % 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% Grade-03 0 0 0 Grade-04 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% % 100% 100.00% 100.00% 0.00% 0.00% 100.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% Grade-05 32 100.00% 0.00% 9.38% 25.00% 0.00% 0.00% 6.25% 0.00% Grade-06 100% 100.00% 3.13% 50.00% 6.25% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 28 21 Grade-07 % 100% 100.00% 100.00% 10.71% 0.00% 32.14% 3.57% 21.43% 17.86% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 7.14% 0.00% 3.57% 3.57% % 100.00% 100.00% 8.33% 8.33% 75.00% 0.00% 8.33% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 100% Grade-08 0.00% 0.00% 100.00% 0.00% 0.00% 100% 100.00% 100.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% Grade-09 36 % 100.00% Grade-10 100% 100.00% 8.33% 0.00% 75.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 5.56% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 11.11% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 61 % 100.00% 100.00% 6.56% 0.00% 77.05% 0.00% 3.28% 0.00% 4.92% 0.00% 3.28% 0.00% 4.92% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% Grade-11 100% 100% 100.00% 100.00% 25.00% 0.00% 75.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% Grade-12 0 Grade-13 % 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% Grade-14 % 100% 100.00% 100.00% 0.00% 0.00% 100.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% % 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% Grade-15 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% All Other Wage Grades 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%

				Table /	A5-2 - Partic	ipation Rat	tes For Wag	e Grades by	Race/Ethn	icity and Se	x - Permane	ent Workfor	ce					
					RACE/ETHN	VICITY												
							Non- Hispa	nic or										
						Latino												
WD/WG, WL/WS & Othe	r Wage								Black or				Native Hav	vaiian or	American	Indian or		
Grades		TOTAL EMPLOYEES			Hispanic or Latino		White		African American		Asian		Other Pacific Islander				Two or more races	
		All r		female		female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female
	#	0	0	0	U	C		0	0	0	0		·	С	_	C		Ū
Grade-01	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%				0.00%
	#	0	0	0	Ū	C		0	0	0	0	0	0	C		C	_	0
Grade-02	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%				0.00%
	#	0	0	0	-	С		0	0	0	0	0	0	С	_	C		0
Grade-03	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%		-		0.00%
	#	0	0	0		0		0	0	0	0	0	0	C		0	-	0
Grade-04	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0%				0.00%
	#	1	1	0	_	0		0	0	_	0			C	_		_	·
Grade-05	%	0.54%	0.58%	7.69%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%				0.00%
Crada 06	#	32	27	5	7.000	0.000		3	8	20.570/	0.000	0	0 0001	C		. C	·	0 000/
Grade-06	%	17.20%	18.50%	246.15%	7.69%	0.00%		75.00%	42.11%	28.57%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%				0.00%
C 1 - 0.7	#	28	21	245.200/	3 22 222	0.000		25.000/	04.500/	5	0.000	0	0.000/	C	_			100.000/
Grade-07	%	15.05%	16.18%	215.38%	23.08%	0.00%	7.38%	25.00%	31.58%	71.43%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%			1	100.00%
	#	6.45%	11 6.94%	92.31%	7.69%	100.00%	7 200/	0.00%	5.26%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	_	000		0.00%
Grade-08	%	6.45%	6.94%			100.00%		0.00%	5.26%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%			-		0.00%
Cd 00	# %	0.54%	0.58%	7.69%	0.00%	0.00%	1 -	0.00%	5.26%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%		0%	-	0.00%
Grade-09	#	36	0.58%	7.69%		0.00%		0.00%	5.26%		0.00%	0%		0%		. 0%		0.00%
Canada 10	%	19.35%	20.81%	276.92%	9	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	40.00%	0%	0.00%	0%			-	0.00%
Grade-10	/0 #	61	61	270.92%		0.007			0.00%	0.00%	40.00%	0/8	0.00%	0/0		0/0		0.00%
Grade-11	%	32.80%	35.26%	469.23%	30.77%	0.00%		0.00%	10.53%	0.00%	60.00%	0%	100.00%	0%	_		-	0.00%
Grade-11	#	J2.8078	33.2076	403.2370		0.0076		0.00%	10.55%	0.00%	00.0076	0/8	100.00%	0/0		0/0		0.00%
Grade-12	%	2.15%	2.31%	30.77%	_	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0%	_		-	0.00%
Grade 12	#	0	0	0	7.0370	0.0070		0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0,0	0.0070	0,0		0,0	0.0070	0.0070
Grade-13	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%		0%	0.00%	0.00%
0.000	#	6	6	0		0.007		0	0	0	0	0	0					0
Grade-14	%	3.23%	3.47%	46.15%	0.00%	0.00%	4.92%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	0	0	0		0		0	0		0		0	C		C		0
Grade-15	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	0	0	0	0	C		0	0	0	0	0	0	C		-		
All Other Wage Grades	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%		0%	0.00%	0.00%
J	#	186	173	13		1	122	4	19	7	5	0	2	C				1
TOTAL	%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

		Ta	ble A5NS-1	Participat			ervisory Wa	ge Grades -	Distribution	n by Race/E	thnicity and	d Sex - Perm	nanent Wor	kforce				
					RACE/ETH	NICITY												
				Non- Hispa	inic or													
							Latino											
									Black or				Native Hawaiian or		American Indian or			
WD, WG, WL, XD, XL, & XP					Hispanic or Latino		White		African American		Asian		Other Pacific Islander		Alaska Native		Two or more races	
		All	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male 1	emale	male	female
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	C	0	0
Grade-01	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	C	0	0
Grade-02	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	C	0	0
Grade-03	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	C	0	0
Grade-04	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	C	0	0
Grade-05	%	100%	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	32	27	5	1	0	16	3	8	2	0	0	0	C	2	C	0	0
Grade-06	%	100%	100.00%	100.00%	3.13%	0.00%	50.00%	9.38%	25.00%	6.25%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	6.25%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	28	21	7	3	0	9	1	6	5	0	0	0	C	2	C	1	1
Grade-07	%	100%	100.00%	100.00%	10.71%	0.00%	32.14%	3.57%	21.43%	17.86%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	7.14%	0.00%	3.57%	3.57%
	#	12	11	1	1	1	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	C	0	C	0	0
Grade-08	%	100%	100.00%	100.00%	8.33%	8.33%	75.00%	0.00%	8.33%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	C	0	C	0	0
Grade-09	%	100%	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	36	36	0	3	0	27	0	0	0	2	0	0	C	4	C	0	0
Grade-10	%	100%	100.00%	100.00%	8.33%	0.00%	75.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	5.56%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	11.11%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	61	61	0	4	0	47	0	2	0	3	0	2	C	3	C	0	0
Grade-11	%	100%	100.00%	100.00%	6.56%	0.00%	77.05%	0.00%	3.28%	0.00%	4.92%	0.00%	3.28%	0.00%	4.92%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	4	4	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	C	0	0
Grade-12	%	100%	100.00%	100.00%	25.00%	0.00%	75.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	C	0	0
Grade-13	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	6	6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	C	0	0
Grade-14	%	100%	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	C	0	0
Grade-15	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
All Other Non-Supervisory	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	C	0	0
Wage Grades	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

			1516 7 (5) 13	- Participati	RACE/ETHN		1113014 1148	c Grades	Distribution	i by nace, E	crimercy arro	JCX TCITI	unent Work	10100				
					NACE/ETTI		Non- Hispa	nic or										
							Latino											
									Black or				Native Hav	aiian or	T	-		
WD, WG, WL, XD, XL, & XP		TOTAL EMPLOYEES			Hispanic or Latino		White		African American		Asian		Other Pacif	ic Islander	Alaska Nat	ive	Two or more races	
, , , ,		All male female			male female		male female		male female		male	female	male female		male female		male	female
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	(
Grade-01	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	C
Grade-02	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	,	C
Grade-03	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0.00%			0.00%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	V	0	0	0	0	C		C
Grade-04	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1		0.00%	0%	0.00%			0.00%
	#	1	1	0	0	0	_	0	0	0	·	0		0	0	C		
Grade-05	%	0.55%	0.60%	7.69%	0.00%	0.00%	0.85%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0.00%			0.00%
	#	32	27	5	1	0	16	3	8	2		0		0	2	C		
Grade-06	%	17.68%	19.05%	246.15%	7.69%	0.00%	13.56%	75.00%	44.44%	28.57%			0.00%	0%	18.18%			0.00%
	#	28	21	7	9	0	9	25.000	90,000	5		Ū		0	2			100.000
Grade-07	%	15.47%	16.67%	215.38%	23.08%	0.00%	7.63%	25.00%		71.43%			0.00%	0%	18.18%	0%		100.00%
C I. 00	# %	6.63%	7.14%	92.31%	7.69%	100.00%	7.63%	0.00%	5.56%	0.00%		0%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%		,
Grade-08	%	0.03%	7.14%	92.31%	7.69%	100.00%	7.03%	0.00%	5.56%	0.00%	-	0%		0%	0.00%	0%		0.00%
Grade-09	%	0.55%	0.60%	7.69%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	5.56%	0.00%	V		0.00%	0%	0.00%		,	0.00%
Grade-09	/0 #	36	36		0.00%	0.00%	27	0.00%	3.30%	0.007	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0.007	. 07		0.007
Grade-10	%	19.89%	21.43%	276.92%	23.08%	0.00%	22.88%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	40.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	36.36%	0%		0.00%
Grade 10	#	61	61	0	25.0070	0.0070	47	0.0070	2	0.0070		0 0 0		0,0	30.307	0,0		
Grade-11	%	33.70%	36.31%	469.23%	30.77%	0.00%	39.83%	0.00%	11.11%	0.00%	60.00%		100.00%	0%	27.27%			0.00%
	#	4	4	0	1	0.0070		0.0070	0	0.0070	-	0 0		0		0 0		
Grade-12	%	2.21%	2.38%	30.77%	7.69%	0.00%	2.54%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	C	0	(
Grade-13	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	6	6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	(
Grade-14	%	3.31%	3.57%	46.15%	0.00%	0.00%	5.08%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	C	0	(
Grade-15	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0.00%
All Other Non-Supervisory	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	
Wage Grades	%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	181	168	13	13	1	118	4	18	7	5	0	2	0	11		1	. 1
TOTAL	%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

					RACE/ETHI	VICITY												
							Non- Hispa Latino	anic or										
									Black or			Native Hav	waiian or					
WS & XS		TOTAL EMI	TOTAL EMPLOYEES			Hispanic or Latino		White		African American		Asian		fic Islander	Alaska Native		Two or more races	
		All	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male 1	female
	#	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	C	0	
Grade-01	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	C
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	
Grade-02	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	C
	#	0	_	0	0	0	_	,	0	0	0	0	0	_		C	_	
Grade-03	%	0%		0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%		0
	#	0		_	_	_		_		0	0				_	C		
Grade-04	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0
	#	0	_	0	0	0	· ·	, o	0	0	0	0	0	_	,	C	_	
Grade-05	%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0
	#	0	_	0	Ŭ	0		, 0	0	0	0	0	0	_	, o	C	-	
Grade-06	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0'
	#	5	5	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	0		, ,	C	_	
Grade-07	%	100%		100.00%	0.00%	0.00%		1	20.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%			0.00%		0.00
	#	0	_	0	0	0	· ·	, o	0	0	0	0	0	_	,	C	_	
Grade-08	%	0%		0%	0%				0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			0%		0'
	#	0	_	0	0	0	C	, 0	0	0	0	0	0		, ,	C	-	
Grade-09	%	0%		0%	0%	0%			0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			0%		0'
	#	0	_	0	0	0		, 0	0	0	0	0	0	Ŭ	, ,	С		
Grade-10	%	0%		0%	0%	0%			0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			0%		09
	#	0	_	0	0	0		, o	0	0	0	0	0	_	,	C	_	
Grade-11	%	0%		0%	0%	0%			0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			0%		0'
	#	0	_	0	ŭ	_		_	0	0	0		0	_	_	C	_	
Grade-12	%	0%		0%	0%	0%			0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			0%		0'
	#	0	_	0	0		· ·	, 0	0	0	0	0	0	_	, 0	C	_	0
Grade-13	% #	0%		0%	0%	0%			0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			0%		0'
Curada 4.4	"	000	_	000	ŭ	ŭ		, o	000		000		000	_		00/		0
Grade-14	% #	0%		0%	0%	0%			0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			0%		0'
O d. 45		0	·	000	0	0	, ,	, 0	0	0	0	0	000		, ,	000	-	^
Grade-15	%	0%		0%	0%	0%			0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			0%		09
All Other Supervisory	#	0		0	0	,			0	0	0	0	0			C		
Wage Grades	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0

			Table A	5S-2 - Parti	cipation Ra	tes for Supe	ervisorv Wa	ge Grades -	Distribution	bv Race/E	thnicity and	Sex - Perm	anent Worl	force				
					RACE/ETHI		, ,	0		.,,	,							
							Non- Hispa	anic or										
							Latino											
									Black or				Native Hav	vaiian or	American I	ndian or		-
WS & XS		TOTAL EM	PLOYEES		Hispanic o	r Latino	White		African Am	erican	Asian		Other Paci	fic Islander	Alaska Nati	ive	Two or mo	re races
		All	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade-01	%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade-02	%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade-03	%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	Ŭ	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	_	0
Grade-04	%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade-05	%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	0	_	·	0	0	Ü	0		0	0	Ţ	0	0	U	0
Grade-06	%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	10	10	0		_	8	0		0		_	0		_	0	_	~
Grade-07	%	100.00%	100.00%	0%	0%	0%	100.00%	0%	100.00%	0%			0%		0%	0%		0%
	#	0	0	0	_		Ŭ	0	Ü	0		_	0	Ţ	0	0		0
Grade-08	%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	4		1	4	1	0%	1		0%	0%		0%	4	
	#	0	0	0		_	_	0	_	0			0		0	0	_	_
Grade-09	%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0%			0%	0.00%	0%			0%		0%	0%		
	#	0	0	0	_	Ŭ	Ŭ	0	0	0	_	_	0		0	0	Ü	0
Grade-10	%	0.00%	0.00%	0%				4	1	0%	1		0%			0%	4	
	#	0	0	0	0			0		0		0	0	_	0	0	_	
Grade-11	%	0.00%	0.00%	0%						0%			0%			0%	1	
	#	0	0	0	0	·	·	0	·	0	_	_	0		0	0		0
Grade-12	%	0.00%	0.00%	0%		ļ		4	1	0%	1		0%			0%		
	#	0	·	0				0		0			0	Ţ	0	0	_	_
Grade-13	%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0%					0%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
0 1 44	#	0 0000	0.000/	0	0%	Ü	Ŭ	0		0%			0		Ü	0%	_	0
Grade-14	%	0.00%	0.00%	0%					0.00%			0%	0%	0%				
Crada 15	#	0.000/	ŭ	000				000		000			000	_	0	000	_	_
Grade-15	%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0%				0.00%	0%		0%	0%	0%		0%		
All Other Supervisory	# 0/	0%	0 0%	0%	0%		-		0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	-	0%	_	
Wage Grades	%			0%	0%			0%	0%			0%	0%		0%	0%		0%
TOTAL	# 0/	1000/	10000	·	, ,	, ,		1000/	1000/	1000/	_	1000/		Ţ	U	·	,	1000/
TOTAL	%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

### DOT FAA FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION Pay Period 201220 Table A6: PARTICIPATION RATES FOR MAJOR OCCUPATIONS - Distribution by Race/Ethnicity and Sex - Permanent Workforce Job Title/Series Agency RACE/ETHNICITY Rate Occupational CLF Non- Hispanic or Latino Black or Native Hawaiian or American Indian or TOTAL EMPLOYEES Hispanic or Latino White African American Asian Other Pacific Islander Alaska Native Two or more races male female 1704 1192 63 338 119 512 23 898 42 18 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIST (0334) 100% 69.95% 30.05% 3.70% 1.35% 52.70% 19.84% 6.98% 5.52% 4.40% 2.46% 0.23% 0.00% 1.06% 0.88% 0.88% 0.00% Occupational CLF 100% 66.73% 33.00% 3.14% 1.55% 50.42% 24.73% 4.29% 3.48% 7.40% 2.89% 0.05% 0.02% 0.24% 0.11% 1.23% 0.45% 823 690 49 475 64 59 34 98 133 13 20 5.95% 1.58% 7.78% 4.13% 11.91% 2.43% GENERAL ENGINEERING (0801) 100% 83.84% 16.16% 57.72% 7.17% 0.12% 0.00% 0.61% 0.24% 0.36% 0.00% Occupational CLF 100% 89.58% 10.30% 3.19% 0.60% 71.83% 7.15% 3.04% 0.77% 9.92% 1.63% 0.09% 0.01% 0.21% 0.05% 1.32% 0.18% 438 373 65 42 253 42 25 46 10 100% 85.16% 14.84% 9.59% 1.14% 57.76% 9.59% 5.71% 1.83% 10.50% 2.28% 0.46% 0.00% 0.23% 0.00% 0.91% 0.00% CIVIL ENGINEERING (0810) Occupational CLF 100% 89.83% 10.02% 3.71% 0.61% 74.05% 7.53% 2.91% 0.62% 7.44% 1.09% 0.03% 0.01% 0.33% 0.08% 1.37% 0.21% 813 145 728 53 12 455 35 22 11 85 63 14 ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING (0855) 100% 89.54% 10.46% 6.52% 1.48% 55.97% 4.31% 7.75% 1.72% 17.84% 2.71% 0.12% 0.00% 1.35% 0.12% 0.00% 0.12% Occupational CLF 100% 91.28% 8.60% 3.63% 0.45% 72.08% 5.51% 3.55% 0.92% 10.47% 1.62% 0.05% 0.01% 0.23% 0.03% 1.31% 0.16% 767 659 108 34 494 78 41 10 80 14 AEROSPACE ENGINEERING (0861) 100% 85.92% 14.08% 4.43% 0.78% 64.41% 10.17% 5.35% 1.30% 10.43% 1.83% 0.26% 0.52% 0.00% 0.52% 0.00% 0.00% Occupational CLF 100% 90.92% 8.97% 4.10% 0.54% 74.25% 6.47% 2.56% 0.66% 8.25% 1.20% 0.15% 0.00% 0.24% 0.03% 1.39% 0.16% 445 283 162 11 206 95 22 46 11 GENERAL INSPECTION, INVESTIGATION & 39 4.94% 10.34% COMPLIANCE (1801) 100% 63.60% 36.40% 8.76% 2.47% 46.29% 21.35% 2.47% 1.12% 0.00% 0.67% 0.22% 0.45% 0.22% 0.67% Occupational CLF 100% 52.87% 46.74% 4.17% 3.52% 41.33% 34.08% 4.47% 6.95% 1.66% 1.41% 0.39% 0.65% 0.06% 0.05% 0.44% 0.82% 4317 3981 336 237 13 3430 297 185 13 58 46 16 % 6.88% 4.29% 0.30% 100% 92.22% 7.78% 5.49% 0.30% 79.45% 1.34% 0.16% 0.21% 0.05% 1.07% 0.09% 0.37% AVIATION SAFETY INSPECTOR (1825) 0.00% Occupational CLF 100% 83.79% 15.90% 7.32% 1.65% 65.29% 10.99% 7.69% 2.72% 1.64% 0.31% 0.10% 0.00% 0.51% 0.18% 1.26% 0.31% AIRWAY TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS 6150 5630 520 508 36 4220 353 496 228 32 26 93 81 59 91.54% 8.26% 68.62% 5.74% 8.07% 1.32% 0.52% SPECIALIST (2101) 100% 8.46% 0.59% 3.71% 0.42% 0.03% 1.51% 0.15% 0.96% 0.11% Occupational CLF 100% 43.42% 56.05% 4.74% 5.27% 30.24% 39.74% 4.89% 7.79% 2.57% 2.34% 0.07% 0.08% 0.24% 0.40% 0.67% 0.94% 19880 16526 3354 1031 219 13863 2689 931 294 348 79 161 23 149 41

AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL SPECIALIST (2152)

Occupational CLF

100%

100%

83.13%

81.57%

16.87%

18.43%

5.19%

3.79%

1.10%

0.98%

69.73%

69.03%

13.53%

14.45%

4.68%

5.37%

1.48%

1.94%

1.75%

1.36%

0.40%

0.43%

0.22%

0.12%

0.05%

0.01%

0.81%

0.49%

0.12%

0.11%

0.75%

1.41%

0.21%

0.51%

					DOT F	AA FEDERA	L AVIATION	ADMINIST	RATION Fo	r Period ( 2	011-10-01 T	O 2012-09	-30)					
				Tab	le A7: HIRES	FOR MAJO	R OCCUPA	TIONS - Dist	ribution by	Race/Ethni	city and Sex	- Permane	nt Workfor	ce				
Job Title/Ser	ies Agency				RACE/ETHN	ICITY												
Rate Occupa	tional CLF											Non- Hispa	nic or Latino	כ				
									Black or				Native Hav	vaiian or	American I	ndian or		
		TOTAL EMP	PLOYEES		Hispanic o	or Latino	Wł	nite	African Am	erican	Asian		Other Paci	fic Islander	Alaska Nati	ve	Two or mo	re races
		All	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female
INFORMATI	ION TECHN	IOLOGI ST	(0334)															
	#	11	9	2	0	0	7	2	2	0	-	0	Ū	_	~	C	_	_
Accessions	%	100%	81.82%	18.18%	0.00%	0.00%	63.64%	18.18%	18.18%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
CLF	#	100%	66.77%	33.23%	3.14%	1.55%	50.42%	24.73%	4.29%	3.48%	7.40%	2.89%	0.05%	0.02%	0.24%	0.11%	1.23%	0.45%
GENERAL E	NGI NEERI																	
	#	14	9	5	0	2	6	2	1	0	_	1	1	. 0	_		_	_
Accessions	%	100%	64.29%	35.71%	0.00%	14.29%	42.86%	14.29%	7.14%	0.00%	7.14%	7.14%	7.14%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%
02.	#	100%	89.61%	10.39%	3.19%	0.60%	71.83%	7.15%	3.04%	0.77%	9.92%	1.63%	0.09%	0.01%	0.21%	0.05%	1.32%	0.18%
CI VI L ENGI	NEERING	· ,							ı				ı		1		ı	
	#	32	26	6	3	0	19	5	1	0	_	1	0		0	C		
Accessions	%	100%	81.25%	18.75%	9.38%	0.00%	59.38%	15.63%	3.13%	0.00%	9.38%	3.13%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
CLF	#	100%	89.86%	10.14%	3.71%	0.61%	74.05%	7.53%	2.91%	0.62%	7.44%	1.09%	0.03%	0.01%	0.33%	0.08%	1.37%	0.21%
ELECTRONI	CS ENGINI								ı				ı		1		ı	
	#	13	12	1	1	0	10	1	0	0	_	0	Ü	_	_	C	_	_
Accessions	%	100%	92.31%	7.69%	7.69%	0.00%	76.92%	7.69%	0.00%	0.00%	7.69%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
CLF	#	100%	91.31%	8.69%	3.63%	0.45%	72.08%	5.51%	3.55%	0.92%	10.47%	1.62%	0.05%	0.01%	0.23%	0.03%	1.31%	0.16%
AEROSPACI	E ENGINEE	•							ı				ı		1		ı	
	#	19	17	2	1	0		1	0	_		0	_	·				
Accessions	%	100%	89.47%	10.53%	5.26%	0.00%	73.68%	5.26%	0.00%	5.26%	5.26%	0.00%	5.26%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%
CL1	#	100%	90.94%	9.06%	4.10%	0.54%	74.24%	6.47%	2.56%	0.66%	8.25%	1.20%	0.15%	0.00%	0.24%	0.03%	1.39%	0.16%
GENERAL I	NSPECTIO				ANCE (180					_			_	_	_	_		_
	#	16	16	0	2	0		0	_			0						0
Accessions	%	100%	100.00%	0.00%	12.50%	0.00%	75.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	6.25%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%
	#	100%	52.91%	47.09%	4.17%	3.52%	41.32%	34.08%	4.47%	6.95%	1.66%	1.41%	0.06%	0.05%	0.39%	0.44%	0.82%	0.65%
AVIATION	SAFETY IN		·		اء			_		_								
	#	84	79	5	3	0	71	5	2 2004	0		0.000	Ū	_		0.000		0.0000
Accessions	%	100%	94.05%	5.95%	3.57%	0.00%	84.52%	5.95%	2.38%	0.00%	2.38%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
CLF	#	100%	83.84%	16.16%	7.32%	1.65%	65.31%	10.99%	7.69%	2.72%	1.64%	0.31%	0.10%	0.00%	0.51%	0.18%	1.26%	0.31%
AI RW AY TE				CI ALI ST (		_1				_				_		_		_
	#	168	167	1	18	0		1	11	0	_	0			_			
	%	100%	99.40%	0.60%	10.71%	0.00%	72.02%	0.60%	6.55%	0.00%	5.95%	0.00%	0.60%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
02.	#	100%	43.44%	56.56%	4.74%	5.27%	30.24%	39.74%	4.89%	7.79%	2.57%	2.34%	0.07%	0.08%	0.24%	0.40%	0.67%	0.94%
AIR TRAFFI	C CONTRO		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·															
	#	669	546	123	19	8	460	95		10		2	_	0	2	C		
Accessions	%	100%	81.61%	18.39%	2.84%	1.20%	68.76%	14.20%	3.74%	1.49%	3.14%	0.30%	0.45%	0.00%	0.30%	0.00%		1.20%
CLF	#	100%	81.58%	18.42%	3.79%	0.98%	69.03%	14.45%	5.37%	1.94%	1.36%	0.43%	0.12%	0.01%	0.49%	0.11%	1.41%	0.51%

					DOT F	AA FEDERA	L AVIATION	ADMINIST	RATION Fo	r Period ( 2	011-10-01 T	O 2012-09-	30)					
					Table	A8: NEW H	IIRES BY TYP	E OF APPO	INTMENT -	Distribution	by Race/Et	hnicity and	Sex					
					RACE/ETHI	VICITY												
							Non- Hispa	nic or										
							Latino											
									Black or				Native Hav	vaiian or	American I	ndian or		
Employment	Tenure	TOTAL EMP	PLOYEES		Hispanic or	Latino	White		African Am	nerican	Asian		Other Paci	fic Islander	Alaska Nat	ive	Two or mo	re races
		All	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female
	#	816	628	188	43	8	496	139	39	30	32	8	3	0	2	1	13	2
Permanent	%	100%	76.96%	23.04%	5.27%	0.98%	60.78%	17.03%	4.78%	3.68%	3.92%	0.98%	0.37%	0.00%	0.25%	0.12%	1.59%	0.25%
	#	1170	899	271	40	24	743	191	49	33	34	11	5	1	4	0	24	11
Temporary	%	100%	76.84%	23.16%	3.42%	2.05%	63.50%	16.32%	4.19%	2.82%	2.91%	0.94%	0.43%	0.09%	0.34%	0.00%	2.05%	0.94%
	#	1986	1527	459	83	32	1239	330	88	63	66	19	8	1	6	1	37	13
TOTAL	%	100%	76.89%	23.11%	4.18%	1.61%	62.39%	16.62%	4.43%	3.17%	3.32%	0.96%	0.40%	0.05%	0.30%	0.05%	1.86%	0.65%
CLF	%	100%	53.20%	46.80%	6.20%	4.50%	39.00%	33.70%	4.80%	5.70%	1.90%	1.70%	0.10%	0.10%	0.30%	0.30%	0.90%	0.80%
CLF is based	on all work	ers on all Ce	nsus Popula	ation														

					DOT	AA FEDERA	AL AVIATION	ADMINIST	RATION FO	or Period ( 2	201220)							
		1		Table A10	NON-COM		ROMOTION	S - TIME IN	GRADE - Dis	tribution b	y Race/Ethr	icity and Se	ex					
					RACE/ETHI		Non- Hispa	nic or Latin	0									
							·		Black or				Native Hav	vaiian or	American I	ndian or		
Permanent Workforce		TOTAL WO	RKFORCE		Hispanic or	Latino	White		African Am	erican	Asian		Other Pacif	fic Islander	Alaska Nat	ive	Two or mo	re races
		All	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female
Total Employees Eligible for	#	947	717	230	52	18	542	152	72	36	31	16	3	0	7	4	10	4
Career Ladder Promotions	%	100%	75.71%	24.29%	5.49%	1.90%	57.23%	16.05%	7.60%	3.80%	3.27%	1.69%	0.32%	0.00%	0.74%	0.42%	1.06%	0.42%
Time in grade in excess of	miniumum																	
	#	103	82	21	7	1	60	13	10	4	3	1	0	0	1	1	1	1
1-12 Months	%	100%	79.61%	20.39%	6.80%	0.97%	58.25%	12.62%	9.71%	3.88%	2.91%	0.97%	0.00%	0.00%	0.97%	0.97%	0.97%	0.97%
	#	24	16	8	1	0	11	7	2	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
13-24 Months	%	100%	66.67%	33.33%	4.17%	0.00%	45.83%	29.17%	8.33%	0.00%	4.17%	4.17%	0.00%	0.00%	4.17%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	84	69	15	0	3	61	12	4	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	0
25 + months	%	100%	82.14%	17.86%	0.00%	3.57%	72.62%	14.29%	4.76%	0.00%	1.19%	0.00%	1.19%	0.00%	2.38%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

									TION For				·					
		1	Tab	le A13 - E	mployee F RACE/ETHI		and Awar	ds - Distr	ibution by	Race/ Eth	nicity and	Sex - Peri	manent W	orkforce				
					RACE/ETHI	NICITY	Nan IIIaaa											
							Non- Hispa	nic or										
							Latino		lou i				I					
					l				Black or				Native Hav		American		_	
Type of Award		TOTAL EMP		c 1	Hispanic o		White	· ·	African Am		Asian		Other Paci				Two or mo	
T: 0// A		1	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female
Time-Off Awar			0570	2422	45.4	1 445	7227	4004	F43	242	205		1.0	10	0.0	1 43	50	47
Total Time-Off	#	11001	8578	2423	454	145	7237	1901	512	243				10		1		17
Awards Given	%	100%	77.97%	22.03%	4.13%	1.32%	65.78%	17.28%	4.65%	2.21%	1.86%			0.09%	0.87%			0.15%
Total Hours		64243	49832	14411	2663	859	41927	11171	3010	1605			1	50				63
Average Hours		6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	/	6	6	6	5	6	6	6	4
Time-Off Awar						l			l						1			_
Total Time-Off	#	5040	3622	1418	190	69		1031	231	223				4			_	3
Awards Given	%	100%	71.87%	28.13%	3.77%	1.37%	59.72%	20.46%	4.58%	4.42%	2.34%			0.08%	0.85%			0.06%
Total Hours		91800	65367	26433	3429	1303	54617	19379	3998	3999	2039			68				48
Average Hours		18	18	19	18	19	18	19	17	18	17	18	13	17	18	20	18	16
Cash Awards -	<del></del>				1	1	1		1			1		1	1	1		
Total Cash	#	7357	5334	2023	341		4296	1396		332				5				11
Awards Given	%	100%	72.50%	27.50%	4.64%	2.35%	58.39%	18.98%	5.29%	4.51%	2.84%			0.07%	0.75%			0.15%
Total Amount		\$2,692,471	\$1,925,155	\$767,316	\$118,639	\$63,347	\$1,535,145	\$524,042		\$131,093	\$84,135	,		\$1,550	\$22,092			\$4,375
Average Amoun		\$366	\$361	\$379	\$348	\$366	\$357	\$375	\$380	\$395	\$403	\$408	\$417	\$310	\$402	\$400	\$381	\$398
Cash Awards -	\$501+												•					
Total Cash	#	7247	4636	2611	282	149	3637	1751	372	533	_			5			_	22
Awards Given	%	100%	63.97%	36.03%	3.89%	2.06%	50.19%	24.16%	5.13%	7.35%	3.15%	1.45%	0.14%	0.07%	0.97%	0.63%	0.51%	0.30%
Total Amount		\$8,852,628	\$5,680,481	\$3,172,147	\$310,891	\$176,019	\$4,540,886	\$2,137,998	\$457,035	\$642,918	\$231,612	\$129,829	\$9,317	\$5,800	\$88,548	\$52,436	\$42,192	\$27,147
Average Amoun	t	\$1,222	\$1,225	\$1,215	\$1,102	\$1,181	\$1,249	\$1,221	\$1,229	\$1,206	\$1,016	\$1,236	\$932	\$1,160	\$1,265	\$1,140	\$1,140	\$1,234
Senior Executi		Performan	ce Award															
Total Cash	#	0	0	0	Ţ	~	_	0	~	0	Ŭ	·		0			_	0
Awards Given	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Total Amount		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Average Amoun	t	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Quality Step I	ncreases( C	SI)																
Total QSIs	#	47	30	17		0		14		1	. 2	J	-	0	·		_	0
Awarded	%	100%	63.83%	36.17%	6.38%	0.00%	51.06%	29.79%	2.13%	2.13%	4.26%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	4.26%	0.00%	0.00%
Total Benefit		\$133,091	\$88,519	\$44,572	\$7,771	\$0	\$70,797	\$36,208	\$3,075	\$2,909	\$6,876	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,455	\$0	\$0
Average Benefit		\$2,832	\$2,951	\$2,622	\$2,590	0	\$2,950	\$2,586	\$3,075	\$2,909	\$3,438	0	0	0	0	\$2,728	0	0

										,	1-10-01 TO		,					
					14 - Separat RACE/ETHI		e of Separa	tion - Distri	bution by R	ace/Ethnic	ity and Sex -	Permanen	Workforce	2				
					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Non- Hispa	nic or										
							Latino											
									Black or				Native Hav	vaiian or	American I	ndian or		
Type of Separation	on	тот	AL EMPLO	YEES	Hispanic or	Latino	White		African Am	nerican	Asian		Other Paci	fic Islander	Alaska Nat	ive	Two or mo	re races
		All	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male	female
	#	2316	1696	620	89	31	1429	463	102	90	40	15	2	0	26	14	8	7
Voluntary	%	100%	73.23%	26.77%	3.84%	1.34%	61.70%	19.99%	4.40%	3.89%	1.73%	0.65%	0.09%	0.00%	1.12%	0.60%	0.35%	0.30%
	#	104	82	22	6	2	53	12	11	6	6	0	1	0	0	1	5	1
Involuntary	%	100%	78.85%	21.15%	5.77%	1.92%	50.96%	11.54%	10.58%	5.77%	5.77%	0.00%	0.96%	0.00%	0.00%	0.96%	4.81%	0.96%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RIF	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	2420	1778	642	95	33	1482	475	113	96	46	15	3	0	26	15	13	8
Total separation	%	100%	73.47%	26.53%	3.93%	1.36%	61.24%	19.63%	4.67%	3.97%	1.90%	0.62%	0.12%	0.00%	1.07%	0.62%	0.54%	0.33%

# **TAB 10**

Tables by Disability B1-B14

"B" Tables	Description	Comments
Table B1	Total Workforce - Distribution by Disability	
		Data Provided
Table B2	Permanent Workforce by Component - Distribution by Disability	
		Data Provided
Table B3-1	Occupational Categories - Distribution by Disability	Data Davidad
Table B3-2	Occupational Categories - Distribution by Disability	Data Provided
able b3-2	Occupational Categories - Distribution by Disability	Data Provided
Table B4-1	Participation Rates For General Schedule Grades - Distribution by Disability	Data i Tovided
	analytical and a solitoral controlled States States States States	Data Provided
Table B4-2	Participation Rates For General Schedule Grades - Distribution by Disability	Data i Tovidou
		Data Provided
Table B5-1	Participation Rates For Wage Grades by Disability	
		Data Provided
Table B5-2	Participation Rates For Wage Grades by Disability	Data Dravidad
Table B5NS-1	Participation Rates for General Schedule Grades - Distribution by Disability	Data Provided
able bains-i	Participation Rates for General Schedule Grades - Distribution by Disability	Data Provided
Table B5NS-2	Participation Rates for General Schedule Grades - Distribution by Disability	
		Data Provided
Table B5S-1	Participation Rates for Supervisory Wage Grades - Distribution by Disability	
Table B5S-2	Participation Dates for Congral Schodula Crades Distribution by Dischility	Data Provided
able 655-2	Participation Rates for General Schedule Grades - Distribution by Disability	Data Provided
Table B6	Participation Rates for Major Occupations - Distribution by Disability	Data i Tovidou
		Data Provided
Table B7	Hires for Major Occupations - Distribution by Disability	
		Data Provided
Table B8	New Hires by Type of Appointment - Distribution by Disability	
		Data Provided
Table B9	Selections for Internal Competitive Promotions for Major Occupations by	
	Disability	Not Available
Table B10	Non-Competitive Promotions - Time in Grade - Distribution by Disability	
		Data Provided
Table B11	Internal Selections for Senior Level Positions (GS 13, GS 14, GS 15, and SES)	
Table B40	by Disability	Not Available
Table B12	Participation in Career Development by Disability	
Table D40	Frankrica Brancilla and Assada Birkii C. J. Birkiii	Not Available
Table B13	Employee Recognition and Awards Distribution by Disability	Data Provided
Table B14	Separations by Type of Separation - Distribution by Disability	Dala FIUVIUEU
1. 4010 D 17	Distribution by Distribution	Data Provided

## **List of Workforce Data Tables**

\*\*This is a statistical snapshot of the workforce demographics. Conclusions concerning the existence of workplace barriers must not be drawn from gross numerical assessments. The use of this data in any employment decision is PROHIBITED without the express written authorization of the Deputy Chief Counsel, AGC-2.

## DOT FAA FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION Pay Period from 201121 to 201220

							Table	B1 - Total Wo	kforce - Distri	bution by Dis	ability				
			Total by Disa	bility Status			Detail for Tar	geted Disabilit	ies						
			(04,05)	-1	(06-94)	Targeted	(16,17)	(23,25)	(28,32-38)	(64-68)	(71-78)	-82	-90	-91	-92
			No	Not	Disability	Disability	Deafness	Blindness	Missing	Partial	Total	Convulsive	Mental	Mental	Distortion
Employment Te	nure	Total	Disability	Identified	,	· ·			Limbs	Paralysis	Paralysis	Disorder	Retardation	Illness	Limb/Spine
TOTAL WORKE	ORCE - Perr	nanent an	d Temporary	v		•		•				•			
	#	48364	43320	1876	3168	284	21	25	16	60	17	40	2	98	5
Prior FY	%	100%	89.57%	3.88%	6.55%	0.59%	0.04%	0.05%	0.03%	0.12%	0.04%	0.08%	0.00%	0.20%	0.01%
	#	47739	42665	1911	3163	278	21	25	15	61	. 18	40	3	91	4
Current FY	%	100%	89.37%	4.00%	6.63%	0.58%	0.04%	0.05%	0.03%	0.13%	0.04%	0.08%	0.01%	0.19%	0.01%
Federal High															
(FY08)	#					2.95%									
Difference	#	-625	-655	35	-5	-6	0	0	-1	1	. 1	. 0	1	-7	-1
Ratio Change	%	0.00%	-0.20%	0.12%	0.08%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-0.01%	0.00%
Net Change	%	-1.29%	-1.51%	1.87%	-0.16%	-2.11%	0.00%	0.00%	-6.25%	1.67%	5.88%	0.00%	50.00%	-7.14%	-20.00%
PERMANENT V	VORKFORCE	-		-	-			•	-	•		•	•	-	•
	#	47515											2	93	
Prior FY	%	100%			6.49%		0.04%	0.05%	0.03%		0.04%	0.08%	0.00%	0.20%	0.01%
	#	46959			3088				_					89	
Current FY	%	100%			6.58%		0.04%	0.05%	0.03%		0.04%	0.08%	0.01%	0.19%	0.01%
Difference	#	-556			3	-4	ů	0	-1		. 1	-1	1	-4	-1
Ratio Change	%	0.00%			0.08%				0.00%				0.00%		0.00%
Net Change	%	-1.17%	-1.38%	1.63%	0.10%	-1.44%	0.00%	0.00%	-6.25%	1.69%	5.88%	-2.50%	50.00%	-4.30%	-20.00%
TEMPORARY V	VORKFORCE														
	#	849						Ü	0	-	. C	0	0	5	0
Prior FY	%	100%			9.78%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.12%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.59%	0.00%
	#	780			75		0	0	0	1		1	0	2	. 0
Current FY	%	100%			9.62%			0.00%	0.00%	0.13%	0.00%	0.13%	0.00%	0.26%	0.00%
Difference	#	-69			-8			0	0	(	) C	1	0	-3	0
Ratio Change	%	0.00%			-0.16%		0.00%		0.00%				0.00%	-0.33%	0.00%
Net Change	%	-8.13%	-9.04%	13.89%	-9.64%	-33.33%	0%	0%	0%	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	-60.00%	0%

## Table B2 - Permanent Workforce By Component - Distribution by Disability

						Table B2	- Permanent \	Norkforce By (	Component - D	istribution by	Disability				
			Total by Disa	bility Status			Detail for Tar	geted Disabilit	ies						
			(04,05)	-1	(06-94)	Targeted	(16,17)	(23,25)	(28,32-38)	(64-68)	(71-78)	-82	-90	-91	-92
			No	Not	Disability	Disability	Deafness	Blindness	Missing	Partial	Total	Convulsive	Mental	Mental	Distortion
Component		Total	Disability	Identified					Limbs	Paralysis	Paralysis	Disorder	Retardation	Illness	Limb/Spine
Federal High (FY07)	%					2.65%									
	#	3535	2963	162	410	37	6	2	1	11	2	8	0	5	2
AERONAUTICAL CENTER (SB)	%	100%	83.82%	4.58%	11.60%	1.05%	0.17%	0.06%	0.03%	0.31%	0.06%	0.23%	0.00%	0.14%	0.06%
	#	936	787	47	102	4	. 0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0
ALASKAN REGION (SB)	%	100%	84.08%	5.02%	10.90%	0.43%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.11%	0.00%	0.00%	0.32%	0.00%
	#	2230	2023	46	161	10	2	1	1	1	1	2	0	2	0
CENTRAL REGION (SB)	%	100%	90.72%	2.06%	7.22%	0.45%	0.09%	0.04%	0.04%	0.04%	0.04%	0.09%	0.00%	0.09%	0.00%
	#	4906	4605	87	214	25	1	3	4	5	2	3	0	7	0
EASTERN REGION (SB)	%	100%	93.86%	1.77%	4.36%	0.51%	0.02%	0.06%	0.08%	0.10%	0.04%	0.06%	0.00%	0.14%	0.00%
	#	5690	5170	257	263	16	5	2	2	2	0	1	C	4	0
GREAT LAKES REGION (SB)	%	100%	90.86%	4.52%	4.62%	0.28%	0.09%	0.04%	0.04%	0.04%	0.00%	0.02%	0.00%	0.07%	0.00%
	#	6397		446	520	70	1	9	4	17		9	0	25	1
HEADQUARTERS (SB)	%	100%	84.90%	6.97%	8.13%	1.09%	0.02%	0.14%	0.06%	0.27%	0.06%	0.14%	0.00%	0.39%	0.02%
	#	1454	1352	22	80	10	0	0	0	2	1	2	C	5	0
NEW ENGLAND REGION (SB)	%	100%	92.98%	1.51%	5.50%	0.69%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.14%	0.07%	0.14%	0.00%	0.34%	0.00%
NORTHWEST MOUNTAIN	#	4251	3646	328	277	26	0	0	0	3	6	5	1	. 11	0
REGION (SB)	%	100%	85.77%	7.72%	6.52%	0.61%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.07%	0.14%	0.12%	0.02%	0.26%	0.00%
	#	7015	6481	145	389	28	3	3	2	6	0	3	2	9	0
SOUTHERN REGION (SB)	%	100%	92.39%	2.07%	5.55%	0.40%	0.04%	0.04%	0.03%	0.09%	0.00%	0.04%	0.03%	0.13%	0.00%
	#	4971	4489	139	343	22	2	3	1	6	1	2	C	6	1
SOUTHWEST REGION (SB)	%	100%	90.30%	2.80%	6.90%	0.44%	0.04%	0.06%	0.02%	0.12%	0.02%	0.04%	0.00%	0.12%	0.02%
	#	790	698	13	79	13	1	1	0	4	0	2	0	5	0
TECHNICAL CENTER (SB)	%	100%	88.35%	1.65%	10.00%	1.65%	0.13%	0.13%	0.00%	0.51%	0.00%	0.25%	0.00%	0.63%	0.00%
WESTERN PACIFIC REGION	#	4784			250	13		1	0	3	0	2	0	7	0
(SB)	%	100%	91.05%	3.72%	5.23%	0.27%	0.00%	0.02%	0.00%	0.06%	0.00%	0.04%	0.00%	0.15%	0.00%
·	#	46959	42001	1870	3088	274	21	25	15	60	18	39	3	89	4
Total	%	100%	89.44%	3.98%	6.58%	0.58%	0.04%	0.05%	0.03%	0.13%	0.04%	0.08%	0.01%	0.19%	0.01%

						DOT FAA F	EDERAL AV	I ATI ON ADM	IINISTRATIO	ON Pay Pe	riod 20122	0			
										•					
								gories - Disti		Disability -	Permanent	Workforce			
				ability Status				geted Disabili			T	,		1	
			(04,05)			-	(16,17)	(23,25)	(28,32-38)	(64-68)	(71-78)	-82			_
					Disability	Disability	Deafness		Missing	Partial	Total	Convulsive	Mental		Distortion
Occupational Category		Total	Disability	Identified					Limbs	Paralysis	Paralysis	Disorder	Retardation	Illness	Limb/Spine
. Officials and Managers		3935	3647	0.5	193	0		1 2			3 3	1 4	1	ol o	1 0
Executive/Senior Level	#	100%	92.68%			0.20%	0.00%	0.05%	0.00%	0.089	-		0.00%	, ,	,
Grades 15 and Above)	70 #	2046	92.68%			0.20%	0.00%	0.05%	0.00%	0.089		0.03%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Mid-Level (Grades 13-14)	%	100%	92.08%			0.15%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	,	0.05%	0.00%	0.10%	6 0.00%
First-Level (Grades 13-14)	#	202	190		7.5170	0.13/8	0.007	0.00%	0.0076	0.007		0.03%	0.00%	0.10/0	) 0.50%
Below)	%	100%	94.06%		3.47%	0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	,	6 0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	6 0.00%
20.011	#	10487	8817			97		14		23		18		31	
Other	%	100%	84.08%		9.76%	0.92%	0.04%			0.229					
Officials And Managers -	#	16670	14538	787	1345	108	4	16	4	26	5 4	1 20	C	33	3 1
TOTAL	%	100%	87.21%	4.72%	8.07%	0.65%	0.02%	0.10%	0.02%	0.169	6 0.02%	0.12%	0.00%	0.20%	6 0.01%
	#	6004	5257	312	435	55	2	3	2	10	7	7 8	1	20	) 2
. Professionals	%	100%	87.56%	5.20%	7.25%	0.92%	0.03%	0.05%	0.03%	0.179	6 0.12%	0.13%	0.02%	0.33%	6 0.03%
	#	18268	17313	367	588	46	6	0	6		7 4	1 5	C	18	3 0
. Technicians	%	100%	94.77%	2.01%	3.22%	0.25%	0.03%	0.00%	0.03%	0.049	6 0.02%	0.03%	0.00%	0.10%	6 0.00%
	#	0	0	v	v	0	0	0	C	(	,	0	C	0	0
. Sales Workers	%	0%	0%			0%	0%	0%	0%				0%		
. Administrative Support	#	1840	1457			43	8	4	1	14		, ,	C	10	-
Vorkers	%	100%	79.18%		15.38%	2.34%	0.43%	0.22%	0.05%	0.769		0.27%	0.00%	0.54%	6 0.05%
	#	107	78			3	0	0	1	(	,	0	1	1	. 0
6. Craft Workers	%	100%	72.90%		14.95%	2.80%	0.00%	0.00%	0.93%	0.00%		0.00%	0.93%	0.93%	6 0.00%
0	#	3940	3253			18		2	1	0.000		2 1	1	7	0
Operatives	%	100%	82.56%		10.41%	0.46%	0.03%	0.05%	0.03%	0.089			0.03%	0.18%	6 0.00%
Labarra and Halaara	#	0%	0%	v	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	09	,	0 0%	0%	6 0%	6 0%
B. Laborers and Helpers	%	130	105			0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%
9. Service Workers	0/	100%	80.77%		8.46%	0.77%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-	6 0.00%	0.00%	6 0.00%	6 0.00%
. Service workers	70	100%	80.77%	10.77%	8.46%	0.77%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.009	0.77%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

						DOT FAA FE	DERAL AVIA	ATION ADMI	NISTRATIO	N Pay Perio	od 201220				
		1						ories - Distril		isability - Pe	ermanent W	/orkforce			
			Total by Di	sability Statu	S		Detail for T	argeted Dis	abilities	1	1	1	T	1	1
			(0.4.05)		(05.04)		(4.6.47)	(22.25)	(20.22.20)	(64.60)	(74.70)				
			(04,05)	-1	(06-94)	Targeted	(16,17)	(23,25)	(28,32-38)	(64-68)	(71-78)	-82	-90	-91	-92
			No	Not	Disability	Disability	Deafness	Blindness	Missing	Partial	Total	Convulsive	Mental	Mental	Distortion
			INO	NOL	Disability	Disability	Deamess	Dilliulless	iviissiiig	raitiai	TOLAT	Convuisive	ivientai	ivientai	Distortion
Occupational Category		Total	Disability	Identified					Limbs	Paralysis	Paralysis	Disorder	Retardation	Illness	Limb/Spine
Officials and Managers		- ota-		1				l	1	,	1	1-1001101			
Executive/Senior Level	#	3935	3647	95	193	8	0	2	0	3	2	1	0	0	0
(Grades 15 and Above)	%	100%	8.68%	5.08%	6.25%	2.92%	0.00%	8.00%	0.00%	5.00%	11.11%	2.56%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	2046	1884	41	121	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	2 0
Mid-Level (Grades 13-14)	%	100%	4.49%	2.19%	3.92%	1.09%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	2.56%	0.00%	2.25%	0.00%
First-Level (Grades 12 and	#	202			7	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ü	0	0	
Below)	%	100%	0.45%	0.27%	0.23%	0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	10487	8817	646	1024	97	4	14		23		18		31	
Other	%	100%	20.99%	34.55%	33.16%	35.40%	19.05%	56.00%	26.67%	38.33%	11.11%	46.15%	0.00%	34.83%	
Officials And Managers -	#	16670	14538	787	1345	108	4	16	4	26		20	0	33	
TOTAL	%	35.50%	34.61%	42.09%	43.56%	39.42%	19.05%	64.00%	26.67%	43.33%	22.22%	51.28%	0.00%	37.08%	
	#	6004		312	435	55	2	3	2	10		8	1	20	
2. Professionals	%	12.79%	12.52%	16.68%	14.09%	20.07%	9.52%	12.00%	13.33%	16.67%	38.89%	20.51%	33.33%	22.47%	
	#	18268		367	588	46	6	0	6	7	4	5	0	18	
3. Technicians	%	38.90%	41.22%	19.63%	19.04%	16.79%	28.57%	0.00%	40.00%	11.67%	22.22%	12.82%	0.00%	20.22%	
4. C-l \\/l	#	000	000	0%	000	000	0.000/	0.000/	0.00%	0.000/	0.00%	0.000/	0.000/	0.00%	,
4. Sales Workers	#	0% 1840		100	0% 283	0% 43	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
5. Administrative Support Workers	%	3.92%	3.47%	5.35%	9.16%	15.69%	38.10%	16.00%	6.67%	23.33%	0.00%	12.82%	0.00%	11.24%	
MOLVELS	/0 #	3.92%	3.47% 78			13.03%	36.10%	16.00%	0.07%	23.33%	0.00%	12.82%	0.00%	11.24%	23.00%
6. Craft Workers	%	0.23%	0.19%	0.70%		1.09%	0.00%	0.00%	6.67%	0.00%	·	Ü	33.33%	1.12%	0.00%
o. Grafe Workers	#	3940		277	410	1.03%	1	2.00%	1	3	2.00%	1	33.33%	7.12/0	0.50%
7. Operatives	%	8.39%	7.75%	14.81%	13.28%	6.57%	4.76%	8.00%	6.67%	5.00%	11.11%	2.56%	33.33%	7.87%	0.00%
	#	0.5570		0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0070	0	0	0	0	
8. Laborers and Helpers	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
· ·	#	130	105	14	11	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
9. Service Workers	%	0.28%	0.25%	0.75%	0.36%	0.36%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	5.56%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	46959	42001	1870	3088	274	21	25	15	60	18	39	3	89	4
Permanent Workforce	%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

### Table B4-1: Participation Rates for General Schedule Grades - Distribution by Disability - Permanent Workforce

			1		Table B4-1:					ibution by Di	sability - Pern	nanent Workfor	ce		
				ability Status	•			geted Disabili		•	•			•	
			(04,05)		(06-94)	Targeted	(16,17)	(23,25)	. , ,		(71-78)	-82			_
			No		Disability	Disability	Deafness	Blindness		Partial	Total	Convulsive	Mental		Distortion
Occupational Category		Total	Disability	Identified						,	,	Disorder	Retardation	Illness	Limb/Spine
	#	0	0	ŭ	-					ŭ	Ŭ	ŭ	0	0	·
GS-01	%	0%	0%									0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	0	v	0	U	ŏ	ŭ	0	0	0	0	0	0
GS-02	%	0%	0%			0%	0%					0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	12	8	0		3	1	0		1	0		0	0	0
GS-03	%	100%	66.67%			25.00%	8.33%			8.33%	0.00%	8.33%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	1	1	0	Ŭ	0	0	0	U	0	0	0	0	0	0
GS-04	%	100%	100.00% 155	0.00%			0.00%			0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
CC 05	#		70.45%				0.45%	0.00%		1.82%	0.45%	0.45%	0.00%	0.91%	0.00%
GS-05	%	100% 249	70.45%				0.45%	0.00%		1.82%	0.45%	0.45%	0.00%	0.91%	0.00%
CC 0C	%	100%	75.90%			_	0.40%	ŭ	ŏ	1.20%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.40%	0.00%
GS-06	%	696	75.90%					0.00%	0.00%	1.20%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.40%	0.00%
GS-07	%	100%	77.59%	4.31%			0.57%	0.43%	0.14%	0.86%	0.14%	0.43%	0.00%	0.57%	0.00%
G3-07	70	680	647				0.57%	0.45%		0.86%	0.14%	0.45%	0.00%	0.57%	0.00%
GS-08	%	100%	95.15%				0.00%	0.00%		U	0.15%	0.00%	0.00%	0.15%	0.00%
d3-08	#	1944	1627					0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.13/0	0.00%	0.00%	10	
GS-09	%	100%	83.69%				0.15%	0.21%	0.00%	0.10%	0.10%	0.36%	0.00%	0.51%	0.05%
G5 05	#	1857	1544					0.2170	0.007	4	0.1070	3	1	9	0.03/0
GS-10	%	100%	83.14%					0.05%	0.05%	0.22%	0.05%	0.16%	0.05%	0.48%	0.00%
	#	1560	1474				0		0	1	0	1	0	2	1
GS-11	%	100%	94.49%	1.92%			0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.06%	0.00%	0.06%	0.00%	0.13%	0.06%
	#	9100	7991	438	671	61	8	8	6	8	4	7	0	19	1
GS-12	%	100%	87.81%	4.81%	7.37%	0.67%	0.09%	0.09%	0.07%	0.09%	0.04%	0.08%	0.00%	0.21%	0.01%
	#	8704	7580	448	676	56	2	. 2	1	15	4	6	0	25	1
GS-13	%	100%	87.09%	5.15%	7.77%	0.64%	0.02%	0.02%	0.01%	0.17%	0.05%	0.07%	0.00%	0.29%	0.01%
	#	17253	15963	518	772	47	0	5	4	13	2	8	1	14	C
GS-14	%	100%	92.52%	3.00%	4.47%	0.27%	0.00%	0.03%	0.02%	0.08%	0.01%	0.05%	0.01%	0.08%	0.00%
	#	4312	3970			_		1	1 -	3	2	2	0	1	0
GS-15	%	100%	92.07%	2.62%	5.31%	0.23%	0.00%	0.02%	0.02%	0.07%	0.05%	0.05%	0.00%	0.02%	0.00%
	#	0	0	0		0	0		, ,	0	0	0	0	0	C
All other (unspecified)	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Senior															
Executive	#	185	169		13	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Service	%	100.00%	91.35%	1.62%	7.03%	0.54%	0.00%	0.54%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

					Table B4-2:	Participation	Rates for Ger	neral Schedule	Grades - Distr	ibution by Dis	ability - Perm	anent Workford	e		
				ability Status				geted Disabilit							
			(04,05)			Targeted	(16,17)	(23,25)	(28,32-38)	(64-68)	(71-78)	-82	-90	-91	-92
			-	Not	Disability	Disability	Deafness	Blindness	Missing	Partial	Total		Mental		Distortion
Occupational Category	_			Identified					Limbs	Paralysis	Paralysis	Disorder	Retardation	Illness	Limb/Spine
	#	0	Ü	0	0	V	,	·	(	, o	v	0	0	0	0
GS-01	%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	(	0	C	0	0	0	0	0	0
GS-02	%	0.00%			0.00%	0.00%		0.00%				0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	12		U	4	3		. 0	(	'	0	1	0	0	0
GS-03	%	0.03%	0.02%		0.13%	1.11%		0.00%				2.56%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	1	1	0	0	U		0	(	-	·	0	0		0
GS-04	%	0.00%			0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%			0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	220			44	-	1 1	. 0	(	, -	1	1	0	2	0
GS-05	%	0.47%			1.44%	3.32%	4.76%	0.00%			5.56%	2.56%	0.00%	2.27%	0.00%
	#	249			43		1	. 0	(	, ,	0	0	0	1	0
GS-06	%	0.53%			1.40%	1.85%		0.00%	0.00%	5.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1.14%	0.00%
	#	696			126	22		3	1	. 6	1	3	0	4	0
GS-07	%	1.49%			4.12%	8.12%		12.00%				7.69%	0.00%	4.55%	0.00%
	#	680			24			0	(			0	0	1	0
GS-08	%	1.45%	1.55%		0.78%	0.74%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	5.56%	0.00%	0.00%	1.14%	0.00%
	#	1944			205	29		4		2	2	7	0	10	
GS-09	%	4.16%	3.89%		6.70%	10.70%		16.00%	0.00%	3.33%	11.11%	17.95%	0.00%	11.36%	25.00%
	#	1857	1544		198			. 1	1	. 4	1	3	1	40.000/	0
GS-10	%	3.97%			6.47%	7.75%	4.76%	4.00%	7.14%	6.67%	5.56%	7.69%	50.00%	10.23%	0.00%
	#	1560			56			0 0000		1	0	1	0	2	1
GS-11	%	3.34% 9100			1.83% 671	1.85%		0.00%	0.00%	1		2.56%	0.00%	2.27%	
CC 12	# 0/	19.46%	19.09%		21.92%	61 22.51%		32.00%	42.86%	13.33%		17.95%	Ŭ		25.00%
GS-12	76	8704			21.92% 676			32.00%	42.86%	. 13.33%		17.95%	0.00%	21.59%	
CC 12	%	18.61%			22.08%	20.66%		8.00%	7.14%			15.38%	0.00%	28.41%	
GS-13	%	17253	15963		772	20.66%		8.00%	7.14%	25.00%		15.38%	0.00%	28.41%	
GS-14	9/	36.89%	38.14%		25.22%	17.34%		20.00%	28.57%	_		20.51%	50.00%	15.91%	0.00%
G3-14	70	4312			23.22%	17.54%		20.00%	20.57%	21.07%	11.11%	20.51%	30.00%	15.91%	0.00%
GS-15	%	9.22%			7.48%	3.69%		4.00%	7.14%	5.00%	11.11%	5.13%	0.00%	1.14%	0.00%
03-13	70 #	9.22%			7.46% 0	3.09%	0.00%	4.00%	7.14%	3.00%	11.11%	5.15%	0.00%	1.14%	0.00%
All other (unspecified)	0/:	0.00%	Ŭ	_	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Senior	70	0.0076	0.00%	0.0076	0.00%	0.0076	0.007	0.00%	0.007	0.0076	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00/6	0.00%
Executive	#	185	169	3	13	1		1	(	م ا	0	0	0	n	0
Service	%	0.40%			0.42%		0.00%	4.00%			0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
JCI VICE	#	46773			3061	271		1						88	
TOTAL	%	100%			100%	100%					_	100%	100%		
TOTAL	1/0	100%	100%	100/6	100%	100/0	100/0	100/0	1007	, 100%	100%	100/0	100%	100/0	100%

### Table B5-1 - Participation Rates For Wage Grades by Disability - Permanent Workforce

								Rates For Wag		sability - Perr	nanent Work	orce			
				ability Status				geted Disabilit							
			(04,05)			-	(16,17)	(23,25)	(28,32-38)	(64-68)	(71-78)	-82	-90	-91	_
WD/WG, WL/WS & Other	er Wage				Disability	Disability	Deafness	Blindness	Missing	Partial	Total	Convulsive	Mental	Mental	Distortion
Grades		Total	Disability	Identified					Limbs	Paralysis	Paralysis	Disorder	Retardation	Illness	Limb/Spine
	#	0	0	0	0			Ü	0	0	0	0	C	,	0
Grade-01	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6 0%	6 0%
	#	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	C	<u> </u>	0
Grade-02	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6 0%	6 0%
	#	0	0	U	0		0	U		0	0	0	C	,	0
Grade-03	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6 0%	6 0%
	#	0	0	U	0		0	U	0	0	· ·	0	C	<u> </u>	0
Grade-04	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6 0%	6 0%
	#	1	1	. 0	0		0	0	0	C	0	0	C	) (	0
Grade-05	%	100%	100%		0%	0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	6 0.00%	6 0.00%
	#	32			6	ŭ	Ŭ	0	0	0	0	0	C	) (	, 0
Grade-06	%	100%	78.13%	3.13%	18.75%	0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	6 0.00%	6 0.00%
	#	28			3	1	0	0	0	C	0	0	1	L C	0
Grade-07	%	100%	85.71%	3.57%	10.71%	3.57%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	3.57%	6 0.00%	6 0.00%
	#	12		0	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	C	) 1	L 0
Grade-08	%	100%	75%	0%	25%	16.67%	0.00%	0.00%	8.33%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	8.33%	0.00%
	#	1	1	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	0	C	) (	0
Grade-09	%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	6 0.00%	0.00%
	#	36			6	0	Ŭ	0	0	0	0	0	C	) (	0
Grade-10	%	100%	72.22%		16.67%	0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	6 0.00%	6 0.00%
	#	61	45		6	0	Ŭ	0	0	0	0	0	C	) (	0
Grade-11	%	100%	73.77%	16.39%	9.84%	0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	6 0.00%	6 0.00%
	#	4	4	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	C	) (	0
Grade-12	%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	6 0.00%	6 0.00%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	) (	0
Grade-13	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6 0%	6 0%
	#	6	6	0	0	-	Ŭ	0	0	0	0	0	C	) (	0
Grade-14	%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	6 0.00%	6 0.00%
	#	0	0	0	0		-	0	0	0	0	0	C	) (	0
Grade-15	%	100%	0%		0%		0%			0%		0%	0%	6 0%	6 0%
	#	0	0	0	0	•	0	0		0	0	0	C		0
All Other Wage Grades	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6 0%	6 0%

## Table B5-2 - Participation Rates For Wage Grades by Disability - Permanent Workforce

		1	Total by Disa	ability Status		Tubic b3		geted Disabili		y Disability	crinanciic vi	TOTRIOTEC			
			(04,05)		(06-94)	Targeted	(16,17)	(23,25)	(28,32-38)	(64-68)	(71-78)	-82	-90	-91	-92
WD/WG, WL/WS	& Other		. , ,		Disability		Deafness	Blindness	Missing	Partial	Total	Convulsive	Mental	Mental	Distortion
Wage Grades		Total	Disability	Identified	,	,			Limbs	Paralysis	Paralysis	Disorder	Retardation	Illness	Limb/Spine
	#	0	, 0	0	C	0	C	0	0	, 0	, 0	0	0	0	0
Grade-01	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade-02	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade-03	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	0	C	0	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade-04	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	1	1	. 0	C	0	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade-05	%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	32	25		6	0	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade-06	%	100%	78.13%	3.13%	18.75%	0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	28			3	1		0	0	0	0	0	1	. 0	0
Grade-07	%	100%	85.71%	3.57%	10.71%	3.57%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	3.57%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	12		·		2	C	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Grade-08	%	100%	75%	0%	25%	16.67%	0.00%	0.00%	8.33%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	8.33%	0.00%
	#	1	1	. 0		Ŭ		0	0	Ü	0	0	0	0	U
Grade-09	%	100%	100%		0%	0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	36			6	0	C	0	0	U	0	0	0	0	0
Grade-10	%	100%		1	16.67%	0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	61	45			0	,	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade-11	%	100%	73.77%	16.39%	9.84%	0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	4	4	0	0	0	,	0	0	U	0	0	0	0	U
Grade-12	%	100%	100%		0%	-					0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
	#	0	0	0		0	,	0		Ŭ	0	0	0	0	U
Grade-13	%	100%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%	0%	0%		
	#	6	6	0		0	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade-14	%	100%	100%		0%			0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
	#	0	0	, U		0	,	0	0	U	0	0	· ·		0
Grade-15	%	100%	0%				0%				0%	0%			
l	#	0	0			Ŭ	C	0		0	0	0	· ·	U	
All Wage Grades	%	100%	0%		0%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%
	#	186					C	0	1	0	0	Ŭ	1	1	0
TOTAL	%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

## Table B5NS-1 - Participation Rates for General Schedule Grades - Distribution by Disability - Permanent Workforce

					le B5NS-1 - P					bution by Dis	sability - Perm	nanent Workfor	ce		
				ability Status				rgeted Disabili							
			(04,05)			Targeted		(23,25)	(28,32-38)	(64-68)	(71-78)	-82		-91	
			No	Not	Disability	Disability	Deafness	Blindness	Missing	Partial	Total	Convulsive	Mental	Mental	Distortion
WD, WG, WL, XD, XL, & XP		Total	Disability	Identified					Limbs	Paralysis	Paralysis	Disorder	Retardation	Illness	Limb/Spine
	#	0	0	0	0		,		1 .		0	(	, 0	C	0
Grade-01	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6 0%	0%	6 0%
	#	0	0	0	0	_	C	0	C	0	0	(	0	C	0
Grade-02	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6 0%
	#	0	0	0	0	-	C	, .			0	(	, 0	C	0
Grade-03	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6 0%	0%	6 0%
	#	0	0	0	0	_	C	, .			0	(	0	C	0
Grade-04	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6 0%	0%	6 0%
	#	1	1	0	0		C		1	Ŭ	0	(	0	C	0
Grade-05	%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	32	25		6	0	C	0	C	0	0	(	0	C	0
Grade-06	%	100%	78.13%	3.13%	18.75%	0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	28	24		3	1	C	0	C	0	0	(	1	0	0
Grade-07	%	100%	85.71%	3.57%	10.71%	3.57%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	3.57%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	12	9	0	3	2	C	0	1	. 0	0	(	0	1	. 0
Grade-08	%	100%	75%	0%	25%	16.67%	0.00%	0.00%	8.33%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	8.33%	0.00%
	#	1	1	0	0	-	C	0	C	0	0		0	0	0
Grade-09	%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	36			6	0	C	0	C		0	(	, 0	C	0
Grade-10	%	100%	72.22%	11.11%	16.67%	0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	61	45			0	C	0	1 .		0	(	0	C	0
Grade-11	%	100%	73.77%	16.39%	9.84%	0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	4	4	0	0	0	C	0	) C	0	0	(	0	C	0
Grade-12	%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	0	0	0	0	-	C	0	,	J	0	(	, 0	C	0
Grade-13	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6 0%	0%	6 0%
	#	6	6	0	0	_	C	0	C	0	0	(	0	C	0
Grade-14	%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	0	0	0	0	-	C	,	,		0		, 0	C	0
Grade-15	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6 0%	0%	6 0%
All Other Non-Supervisory	#	0	0	0	0	_	C	0	C	0	0	(	0	0	0
Wage Grades	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6 0%	0%	6 0%

## Table BSNS-2 - Participation Rates for General Schedule Grades - Distribution by Disability - Permanent Workforce

				Tabl	le B5NS-2 - P					bution by Dis	ability - Perm	anent Workford	ce		
				ability Status				geted Disabili							
			(04,05)		. ,		(16,17)	(23,25)	(28,32-38)		(71-78)	-82	-90	-91	-92
			No		Disability	Disability	Deafness	Blindness	Missing	Partial	Total	Convulsive	Mental	Mental	Distortion
WD, WG, WL, XD, XL, & XP		Total	Disability	Identified					Limbs	Paralysis	Paralysis	Disorder	Retardation	Illness	Limb/Spine
	#	0	0	0	0	-	C		0	Ŭ	Ü	U	0	0	0
Grade-01	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	, ,	U	U	Ū	0	0	0
Grade-02	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	Ü	0	0	C	0		ŭ	0	Ŭ	0	-	0
Grade-03	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	Ü	0	0	C	0	, ,	ŭ	0	·	0		0
Grade-04	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	1	1	0	0	0	C	0	ŏ	Ü	0	0	0	0	0
Grade-05	%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	32	25		6	0	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade-06	%	100%	78.13%	3.13%	18.75%	0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	28	24		3	1	C	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Grade-07	%	100%	85.71%	3.57%	10.71%	3.57%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	3.57%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	12	9	0	3	2	C	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	. 0
Grade-08	%	100%	75%	0%	25%	16.67%	0.00%	0.00%	8.33%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	8.33%	0.00%
	#	1	1	0	0	0	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade-09	%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	36	26	4	6	0	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade-10	%	100%	72.22%	11.11%	16.67%	0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	61	45	10	6	0	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade-11	%	100%	73.77%	16.39%	9.84%	0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	4	4	0	0	0	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade-12	%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	Ü	Ü	0	0	0	0	0
Grade-13	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	6	6	0	0	0	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade-14	%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	U	U	0	0	0	0	0
Grade-15	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
All Other Non-Supervisory	#	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	·	U	0	0	0	0	0
Wage Grades	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	181	141	16	24	3	C	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	. 0
TOTAL	%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

					Table B5S-1	- Participatio	n Rates for Su	pervisory Wag	ge Grades - Dis	tribution by [	Disability - Pe	rmanent Workfo	rce		
				ability Status				geted Disabilit							
I			(04,05)		(06-94)		(16,17)	(23,25)	(28,32-38)	(64-68)	(71-78)	-82		_	_
ł				Not	Disability	Disability	Deafness	Blindness	Missing	Partial	Total	Convulsive	Mental		Distortion
WS & XS		Total	Disability	Identified					Limbs	Paralysis	Paralysis	Disorder	Retardation	Illness	Limb/Spine
	#	0	0	0	0	Ü	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade-01	%	100%			0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0		·		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade-02	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	0	0	Ŭ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade-03	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0		ŭ	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0
Grade-04	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade-05	%	100%					0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				0%
	#	0	0		·		0	0	0	0	0	-	0	Ŭ	0
Grade-06	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	5	2	. 0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade-07	%	100%	40.00%	0.00%	60.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	0	0		Ü	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ŭ	0	0	0
Grade-08	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade-09	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0		Ü	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	U	0
Grade-10	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	U	0
Grade-11	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	U	0
Grade-12	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade-13	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0		ŭ	Ü	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	Ŭ	0
Grade-14	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	0	0	0
Grade-15	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
All Other Supervisory	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	O	0	0
Wage Grades	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

					Table B5S-2	- Participatio	n Rates for G	eneral Schedul	e Grades - Dis	tribution by D	isability - Per	manent Workfor	rce		
				ability Status				geted Disabilit							
			(04,05)		(06-94)		(16,17)	(23,25)	(28,32-38)	(64-68)	(71-78)	-82		1	
			1	1	Disability	Disability	Deafness	Blindness	Missing	Partial	Total		Mental		Distortion
WS & XS		Total	Disability	Identified					Limbs	Paralysis	Paralysis	Disorder	Retardation	Illness	Limb/Spine
	#	0	V	-	0				Ü	, o	·	Ŭ	C	0	U
Grade-01	%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	,	,	0	C	0	0
Grade-02	%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0						C	0	0
Grade-03	%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	J	0	0	0		C	,	,	Ŭ	C	0	0
Grade-04	%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0		C	, .	,	Ŭ	C	0	0
Grade-05	%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%			0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0		C	,	,	Ŭ	C	0	0
Grade-06	%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	10	4	0	6	0	0	0	C	0	0	0	C	0	0
Grade-07	%	40.00%	100.00%	0%	100.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	0	0	U	0		C	, .	, 0		C	0	0
Grade-08	%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	0	0	C	0	0
Grade-09	%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	0	0	C	0	0
Grade-10	%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	0	0	C	0	0
Grade-11	%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	0	0	C	0	0
Grade-12	%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	U	0	0	0	0	C	0	0	0	C	0	0
Grade-13	%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0		C	0	0	0	C	0	0
Grade-14	%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0		C	0	,	0	C	0	0
Grade-15	%	0.00%	0.00%	0%	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
All Other Supervisory	#	0	0	J	0	v	0	0	C	,	0	0	C	0	0
Wage Grades	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	10	4	0	6	0	0	0	C	0	0	0	C	0	0
TOTAL	%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

				Table B6	: PARTICIPATI	ION RATES FO	OR MAJOR OC	CUPATIONS -	Distribution b	y Disability - I	Permanent W	orkforce			
			Total by Disa	ability Status			Detail for Tar								
			(04,05)	-1	(06-94)	Targeted	(16,17)	(23,25)	(28,32-38)	(64-68)	(71-78)	-82	-90	-91	-92
			No	Not	Disability	Disability	Deafness	Blindness	Missing	Partial	Total	Convulsive	Mental	Mental	Distortion
Occupational Category		Total	Disability	Identified					Limbs	Paralysis	Paralysis	Disorder	Retardation	Illness	Limb/Spine
	#	1704	1482					3	1	4	2	2	0	7	0
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIST (0334)	%	100%	86.97%				0.06%	0.18%	0.06%	0.23%	0.12%	0.12%	0.00%	0.41%	0.00%
	#	823	758			,	0	0	1	. 1	2	0	C	1	0
GENERAL ENGINEERING (0801)	%	100%	92.10%				0.00%	0.00%	0.12%	0.12%	0.24%	0.00%	0.00%	0.12%	0.00%
	#	438	378	35			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
CIVIL ENGINEERING (0810)	%	100%	86.30%				0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.23%	0.00%
ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING (OCES)	#	813	749	19			0.000/	0.120/	0.000	0.250/	0.000/	2 250/	0.000	0.000/	0.000/
ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING (0855)	%	100% 767	92.13% 679	2.34%			0.00%	0.12%	0.00%	0.25%	0.00%	0.25%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
AEROSPACE ENGINEERING (0861)	%	100%	88.53%				0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.13%	0.13%	0.00%	0.00%	0.26%	0.00%
GENERAL INSPECTION, INVESTIGATION &	#	445	362		43		0.0076	0.007	0.007	1	0.1370	0.0070	0.007	0.20%	0.0070
COMPLIANCE (1801)	%	100%	81.35%	8.99%	9.66%	0.22%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.22%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
· ·	#	4317	3587	287	443	15	1	2	1	. 3	0	0	1	7	0
AVIATION SAFETY INSPECTOR (1825)	%	100%	83.09%	6.65%	10.26%	0.35%	0.02%	0.05%	0.02%	0.07%	0.00%	0.00%	0.02%	0.16%	0.00%
AIRWAY TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS	#	6150	5299	299	552	25	2	4	1	. 3	0	4	0	11	. 0
SPECIALIST (2101)	%	100%	86.16%					0.07%	0.02%	0.05%	0.00%	0.07%	0.00%	0.18%	0.00%
	#	19880	19050					0	6	8	4	4	0	15	0
AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL SPECIALIST (2152)	%	100%	95.82%	1.59%	2.58%	0.19%	0.01%	0.00%	0.03%	0.04%	0.02%	0.02%	0.00%	0.08%	0.00%

					DOT FAA	FEDERAL AVI	ATION ADMIN	ISTRATION F	or Period ( 20	)11-10-01 TO	2012-09-30 )			
	1		1						tion by Disab	oility - Permar	ent Workforce			
			ability Status	(00.00)		Detail for Targ			(0.00)	(= , = a)				
		(04,05)	-1	(06-94)	Targeted	(16,17)	(23,25)	(28,32-38)	(64-68)	(71-78)	-82	-90	-91	-92
		No	Not	Disability	Disability	Deafness	Blindness	Missing	Partial	Total	Convulsive	Mental	Mental	Distortion
Occupational Category		Disability	Identified					Limbs	Paralysis	Paralysis	Disorder	Retardation	Illness	Limb/Spine
INFORMATION TECH		,	1 41	1	0	0	0	0	0		0		0	0
	11		1	0.000/	0 2224	0 2224	0 0004	0 0004	0	0	0.000/	0	0.000/	0.0004
Accessions %	100%	81.82%	9.09%	9.09%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
GENERAL ENGINEER	<del>, ` `                                   </del>	<del> </del>		_		اه	2	0	٦	ام			-	
4	100%	85.71%	0.00%	2 14.29%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Accessions   % CIVIL ENGINEERING		85.71%	0.00%	14.29%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
CIVIL ENGINEERING		24	J -1	1	0	0	0	0	0		0		0	0
Accessions %	32 100%			3.13%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Accessions % ELECTRONICS ENGI			21.88%	3.13%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
LECTRONICS ENGI	13		1	0	0	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Accessions #	100%	92.31%		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
AEROSPACE ENGINE			7.09%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
#	19		2	1	0	٥	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Accessions %	100%	84.21%	10.53%	5.26%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
GENERAL I NSPECTI					0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070
#	16			2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Accessions %	100%	81.25%	6.25%	12.50%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
AVIATION SAFETY I			0.2370		0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0070	3.0070	3.0070	0.0070	0.0070	210070	0.0070
#	84		6	9	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Accessions %	100%	82.14%	7.14%	10.71%	1.19%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1.19%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
AI RWAY TRANSPOR					-,-				,,,,					. , , , ,
#	168			19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Accessions %	100%	83.33%	5.36%	11.31%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
AIR TRAFFIC CONTR	OL SPECI	ALIST (2152	<u>'</u>											
#	669	646	6	17	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Accessions %	100%	96.56%	0.90%	2.54%	0.15%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.15%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

						DOT FA	A FEDERAL AV	IATION ADMI	NISTRATION I	or Period ( 2	011-10-01 TC	2012-09-30)			
						Т	able B8: NEW	HIRES BY TYP	E OF APPOINT	MENT - Distr	ibution by Di	sability			
			Total by Disa	ability Status			Detail for Tar	geted Disabili	ties			•			
			(04,05)	-1	(06-94)	Targeted	(16,17)	(23,25)	(28,32-38)	(64-68)	(71-78)	-82	-90	-91	-92
				Not		-		Blindness	Missing	Partial	Total	Convulsive	Mental	Mental	Distortion
Type of Ap	oointment	Total	Disability	Identified	,	,			Limbs	Paralysis	Paralysis	Disorder	Retardation	Illness	Limb/Spine
	#	816	647	74	90	5	0	1	. 0	1	0	0	0	3	0
Permanent	%	100%	79.29%	9.07%	11.03%	0.61%	0.00%	0.11%	0.00%	0.11%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.32%	0.00%
	#	1170	1072	39	56	3	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0
Temporary	%	100%	91.62%	3.33%	4.79%	0.26%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.08%	0.08%	0.08%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	1986	1719	113	146	8	0	1	0	2	1	1	0	3	0
Total	%	100%	86.56%	5.69%	7.35%	0.40%	0.00%	0.05%	0.00%	0.09%	0.05%	0.05%	0.00%	0.14%	0.00%

					DOT FAA FI	EDERAL AVIA	TION ADMINIS	STRATION Pa	y Period 2012	20					
				Table B10 -	Non-Competi	tive Promotic	ons - Time in (	Grade - By Disa	ability - Perma	nent Workfoi	rce				
			Total by Disa	bility Status			Detail for Tar	geted Disabili	ties						
			(04,05)	-1	(06-94)	Targeted	(16,17)	(23,25)	(28,32-38)	(64-68)	(71-78)	-82	-90	-91	-92
			No	Not	Disability	Disability	Deafness	Blindness	Missing	Partial	Total	Convulsive	Mental	Mental	Distortion
Employment Tenure		Total	Disability	Identified					Limbs	Paralysis	Paralysis	Disorder	Retardation	Illness	Limb/Spine
Total Employees Eligible for	#	947	866	27	54	7	2	1	1	2	0	0	0	1	0
Career Ladder Promotions	%	100%	91.45%	2.85%	5.70%	0.74%	0.21%	0.11%	0.11%	0.21%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.11%	0.00%
Time in Grade Excess of Mir	nimum														
	#	103	90	3	10	4	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
1-12 Months	%	100%	87.38%	2.91%	9.71%	3.88%	0.97%	0.97%	0.97%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.97%	0.00%
	#	24	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13-24 Months	%	100%	95.83%	4.17%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	#	84	81	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25 + Months	%	100%	96.43%	0.00%	3.57%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

					DOT FA	FEDERAL	AVI ATI ON A	DMINI OTDA	TION		10 01 TO	2012-09-30)			
					DOTFA	A FEDERAL	AVIAIION A	DMINISIKA	IION FOR PE	erioa ( 2011	-10-01 10	2012-09-30)			
					Table B13	- Employee	Recognition	and Awards	- Distributio	n by Disabi	lity - Perm	anent Workfor	ce		
			Total by Disabil	ity Status				geted Disabilit		,	,				
			(04,05)		(06-94)			(23,25)		(64-68)	(71-78)	-82	-90	-91	1 -92
			No	Not	Disability	Disability	Deafness	Blindness	Missing	Partial	Total	Convulsive	Mental	Mental	Distortion
Employment Tenur	e	Total		Identified	,	,			~	Paralysis	Paralysis	Disorder	Retardation	I	Limb/Spine
Time-Off Awards	- 1-9 hour	s	•						•		,	•	•		
Total Time-Off	#	11001	10123	335	543	43	2	4	2	11		2 7	0	15	5 0
Awards Given	%	100%	92.02%	3.05%	4.94%	0.39%	0.02%	0.04%	0.02%	0.10%	0.029	6 0.06%	0.00%	0.14%	6 0.00%
Total Hours		64243	58648	2169	3426	276	10	25	16	74	10	5 42	0	93	3 0
Average Hours		6	6	6	6	6	5	6	8	7		3 6	0	6	5 0
Time-Off Awards	- 9+ hours	3										•			
Total Time-Off	#	5040	4473			32	2	-	_			2 4	0		
Awards Given	%	100%	88.75%		6.90%	0.63%	0.04%	0.00%	0.04%			6 0.08%	0.00%	0.20%	6 0.00%
Total Hours		91800	81812		5921	566	40		32					148	
Average Hours		18	18	19	17	18	20	0	16	19	2	3 15	0	15	5 0
Cash Awards - \$1															
Total Cash Awards	#	7357	6575		496	43	1	6	J			1 10		12	
Given	%	100%	89.37%		6.74%	0.58%	0.01%	0.08%							
Total Amount		\$2,692,471	\$2,385,440		\$194,547	\$17,875	\$500	, ,		\$4,600					
Average Amount		\$366	\$363	\$393	\$392	\$416	\$500	\$275	\$350	\$460	\$500	\$468	0	\$408	3 0
Cash Awards - \$5								1	•					1	
Total Cash Awards		7247	6371		492	43		8	J			1 9	0		7 1
Given	%	100%	87.91%		6.79%	0.59%	0.01%	0.11%							
Total Amount		\$8,852,628	\$7,847,669		\$552,850	\$43,200	\$850	. ,		\$11,050	\$5,500		\$0	. ,	
Average Amount		\$1,222	\$1,232	\$1,177	\$1,124	\$1,005	\$850	\$1,075	\$767	\$1,105	\$1,37	\$767	0	\$1,029	\$800
Senior Executive		rtormance A			_		_	_	_	1 -				.1 -	
Total Cash Awards		0	0			0		-			(	0	0		, ,
Given	%	0%	0%			0%									
Total Amount		\$0	\$0			\$0									
Average Amount		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C		0	0	C	0
Quality Step Incr Total Cash Awards		) 47	43			^	n	_	0	1 0		ol o			n
		100%	42		0.510/	0.00%	-	0 0000		·		, ,	U		
Given	%		89.36%		8.51%		0.00%								
Total Amount		\$133,091	\$117,951		\$12,413	\$0 0	\$0	\$0 0		\$0	\$1	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Average Amount		\$2,832	\$2,808	\$2,727	\$3,103	0	0	0	0	C		0	0		0

DOT FAA FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION For Period ( 2011-10-01 TO 2012-09-30 )															
		Table B14 - Separations by Type of Separation - Distribution by Disability - Permanent Workforce													
			Total by Disa	ability Status			Detail for Tar			ion by Disabil	ity - i cimane	int workloice			
			(04,05)	-1	(06-94)	Targeted	(16,17)	(23,25)	(28,32-38)	(64-68)	(71-78)	-82	-90	-91	-92
			No	Not Disability Disability Deafness Blindness Missing Partial Total Convulsive Mental Mental Distortion											
Type of Separation				isability   Identified   Limbs   Paralysis   Paralysis   Disorder   Retardation   Illnow										Illness	Limb/Spine
	#	2316						2	1	4	0	3	0	8	0
Voluntary	%	100%	87.05%	3.45%	9.50%	0.82%	0.04%	0.09%	0.04%	0.17%	0.00%	0.13%	0.00%	0.35%	0.00%
	#	104	83	5	16	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	. 0
Involuntary	%	%	79.81%	4.81%	15.38%	3.85%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1.92%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1.92%	0.00%
	#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RIF	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	#	2420	2099	85	236	23	1	2	1	6	0	3	0	10	0
Total Separations	%	100%	86.74%	3.51%	9.75%	0.95%	0.04%	0.08%	0.04%	0.25%	0.00%	0.12%	0.00%	0.41%	0.00%

## **TAB 11**

FAA 462 Report

ANNUAL FEDERAL EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY STATISTICAL REPORT OF DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINTS (REPORTING PERIOD BEGINS OCTOBER 1ST AND ENDS SEPTEMBER 30TH)

AGENCY OR DEPARTMENT:	DARTI - DRE-CO	OMPLAINT ACTIVITIES	REPORTING PER	RIOD: FY	
	FARTT - FRE-CO	E. NON-ADR SETTLEMENTS WITH N	MONETARY BENE	FITS	
EEO COUNSELOR	1	E. NOW ABY GETTEEMENTO WITH	COUNSELINGS		AMOUNT
	COUNSELINGS INDIVIDUALS	TOTAL			\$
A. INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK		1. COMPENSATORY DAMAGES		1	\$
		2. BACKPAY/FRONTPAY			\$
		3. LUMP SUM PAYMENT			\$
ADR INTAKE OFFICER		4. ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS			\$
D 10/TEN/T/00/04/10/15ET DI 00/16	COUNSELINGS INDIVIDUALS	5.			\$
B. INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK		6.			\$
		7.			\$
TOTAL COMPLETED/ENDED COUNSELINGS	1	F. NON-ADR SETTLEMENTS WITH N	JON-MONETARY	RENEEITS	
TOTAL GOM LETES/ENDED GOGNOLLINGG	COUNSELINGS INDIVIDUALS	1: NOW ABIC GETTEEMENTO WITH	COUNSELINGS		
		TOTAL			
C. TOTAL COMPLETED/ENDED COUNSELINGS		1. HIRES		1	
		a. RETROACTIVE			
COUNSELED WITHIN 30 DAYS		b. NON-RETROACTIVE			
		2. PROMOTIONS			
2. COUNSELED WITHIN 31 TO 90 DAYS		a. RETROACTIVE			
a. COUNSELED WITHIN WRITTEN EXTENSION PERIOD NO LONGER THAN 60 DAYS		b. NON-RETROACTIVE 3. EXPUNGEMENTS		-	
b. COUNSELED WITHIN 90 DAYS WHERE		REASSIGNMENTS	-	1	
INDIVIDUAL PARTICIPATED IN ADR		5. REMOVALS RESCINDED	<del></del>		
c. COUNSELED WITHIN 31-90 DAYS THAT WERE UNTIMELY		a. REINSTATEMENT		1	
3. COUNSELED BEYOND 90 DAYS		b. VOLUNTARY RESIGNATION			
4. COUNSELED DUE TO REMANDS		6. ACCOMMODATIONS		1	
		7. TRAINING			
		8. APOLOGY			
D DD5 0014D1 4101T 40TH #T150	COUNSELINGS INDIVIDUALS	9. DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS			
D. PRE-COMPLAINT ACTIVITIES		a. RESCINDED			
ON HAND AT THE BEGINNING OF THE  PERCENTING PERIOD.		b. MODIFIED  10. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION MODIFIED		-	
REPORTING PERIOD  2. INITIATED DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD		11. LEAVE RESTORED	-	1	
3. COMPLETED/ENDED COUNSELINGS		12.			
a. SETTLEMENTS (MONETARY AND		13.			
NON-MONETARY)					
b. WITHDRAWALS/NO COMPLAINT FILED		G. ADR SETTLEMENTS WITH MONE	TARY BENEFITS		
c. COUNSELINGS COMPLETED/ENDED IN			COUNSELINGS	INDIVIDUALS	AMOUNT
REPORTING PERIOD THAT RESULTED		TOTAL			\$
IN COMPLAINT FILINGS IN REPORTING		1. COMPENSATORY DAMAGES			\$
PERIOD  d. DECISION TO FILE COMPLAINT PENDING		BACKPAY/FRONTPAY     LUMP SUM PAYMENT		-	\$
AT THE END OF THE REPORTING PERIOD		4. ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS	<del></del>		<del>9</del>
COUNSELINGS PENDING AT THE END OF THE		5.		1	\$
REPORTING PERIOD		6.			\$
		7.			\$
		H. ADR SETTLEMENTS WITH NON-M			
			COUNSELINGS	INDIVIDUALS	
		TOTAL			
		HIRES     a. RETROACTIVE	<u> </u>	-	
		b. NON-RETROACTIVE			
		2. PROMOTIONS			
		a. RETROACTIVE			
		b. NON-RETROACTIVE			
		3. EXPUNGEMENTS			
		4. REASSIGNMENTS			
		5. REMOVALS RESCINDED	<u></u>		
		a. REINSTATEMENT			
		b. VOLUNTARY RESIGNATION  6. ACCOMMODATIONS	<u> </u>	-	
		7. TRAINING			
		8. APOLOGY			
		9. DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS			
		a. RESCINDED		1	
		b. MODIFIED		1	
		10. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION MODIFIED			
		11. LEAVE RESTORED			
		12.			
		13.			
		I. NON-ADR SETTLEMENTS			
		I. NON-ADIX SETTLEMENTS	COUNSELINGS	INDIVIDUALS	
		TOTAL	COOMOLLINGS	VDIVIDUALS	

EEOC FORM 462 (REVISED APR 2011) page 1

(REPORTING PERIOD BEGINS OCTOBER 1ST AND ENDS SEPTEMBER 30TH)

REPORTING PERIOD: FY

AGENCY OR DEPARTMENT:	REPORTING P	ERIOD: F	Υ				
PART II - FORMAL COMPLAINT ACTIVITIES	PART III - AGENCY RESOU	RCES, TR	AINING,	REPORT	ING LINE		
A. COMPLAINTS ON HAND AT THE BEGINNING	A. AGENCY & CONTRACT F	RESOURC	ES				
OF THE REPORTING PERIOD			AGE	NCY	CONT	FRACT	
			NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	
B. COMPLAINTS FILED	1. WORK FORCE			_			
	a. TOTAL WORK F	ORCE					
C. REMANDS (sum of lines C1+C2+C3)	b. PERMANENT E	MPLOYEES				_	
C.1. REMANDS (NOT INCLUDED IN A OR B)	2. COUNSELOR						_
C.2. REMANDS (INCLUDED IN A OR B)	a. FULL-TIME						
C.3. NUMBER OF ADDITIONAL REMANDS IN THIS REPORTING	b. PART-TIME						
PERIOD THAT ARE NOT CAPTURED IN C.1 OR C.2 ABOVE	c. COLLATERAL [	DUTY					
C.4. ADDITIONAL CLOSURES IN THIS REPORTING PERIOD NOT							
REFLECTED IN F. OR H. THAT RESULTED FROM REMANDS	3. INVESTIGATOR						
	a. FULL-TIME						
D. TOTAL COMPLAINTS (sum of lines A+B+C1)	b. PART-TIME						
	c. COLLATERAL [	DUTY					
E. COMPLAINTS IN LINE D THAT WERE NOT CONSOLIDATED							
	4. COUNSELOR/INVESTIGATOR						_
F. COMPLAINTS IN LINE E CLOSED DURING REPORT PERIOD	a. FULL-TIME						
	b. PART-TIME						
G. COMPLAINTS IN LINE D THAT WERE CONSOLIDATED	c. COLLATERAL [	DUTY					
	B. AGENCY & CONTRACT S	STAFF TR	AINING				
H. COMPLAINTS IN LINE G CLOSED DURING REPORT PERIOD							
		COUNS	ELORS	INVESTI	GATORS	COUNS/INV	ESTIG
I. COMPLAINTS ON HAND AT THE END OF THE		AGENCY	CONTRACT	AGENCY	CONTRACT	AGENCY	CONTRACT
REPORTING PERIOD (Line D - (F+H)) + [(C2 + C3) - C4]	1. NEW STAFF - TOTAL						
, , , , , ,	a. STAFF RECEIVING REQUIRED						
J. INDIVIDUALS FILING COMPLAINTS (Complainants)	32 OR MORE HOURS						
	b. STAFF RECEIVING 8 OR MORE						
K. NUMBER OF JOINT PROCESSING UNITS FROM	HOURS, USUALLY GIVEN TO						
CONSOLIDATION OF COMPLAINTS	EXPERIENCED STAFF						
	c. STAFF RECEIVING NO						
	TRAINING AT ALL						
	2. EXPERIENCED STAFF - TOTAL						
	a. STAFF RECEIVING REQUIRED						
	8 OR MORE HOURS						
	b. STAFF RECEIVING 32 OR						
	MORE HOURS, GENERALLY						
	GIVEN TO NEW STAFF						
	c. STAFF RECEIVING NO						
	TRAINING AT ALL						
	C. REPORTING LINE						
	1 EEO DIRECTOR'S	NAME:					
	1a. DOES THE EEO D		EDODT			YES	NO
	TO THE AGENCY		EFUNI			IES	NO
	TO THE AGENCY	HEAD?					
	2 IF NO WHO DOES	. TUE EEO E	NDECTOR	DEDORT T	02		
	<ol><li>IF NO, WHO DOES PERSON:</li></ol>	O INE EEU L	JIKEC I UK	KEPUKI I	O f		
	FERSON.						
	TITLE:						
	ITTLE.						
	3. WHO IS RESPONS				DATION O		
	PROGRAM IN YOU						
	PERSON:	JK DEFAKTI	WENTAGE	NC1/ORGA	INIZATION	1	
	FERSON.						
	TITLE:						
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
	4 WHO DOES THAT	DEBSON D	EDUBT TO	2			
	PERSON:	FERSONR	EFORT TO	f			
	PERSON.						
	TITLE:						
	IIILE.						

EEOC FORM 462 (REVISED APR 2011) page 2

(REPORTING PERIOD BEGINS OCTOBER 1ST AND ENDS SEPTEMBER 30TH)

AGENCY OR DEPARTMENT: REPORTING PERIOD: FY

## PART IV - BASES AND ISSUES ALLEGED IN COMPLAINTS FILED (Part 1)

		BASES OF ALLEGED DISCRIMINATION											
			RACE		DASE	J OI ALLEGEI	COLOR	RELIGION	REPRISAL	TOTAL ALL BASES	TOTAL ALL COMPLAINTS	TOTAL ALL COMPLAINANTS	
ISSUES OF ALLEGED DISCRIMINATION	AMER. INDIAN/ ALASKA NATIVE	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN /OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	BLACK/ AFRICAN AMERICAN	WHITE	TWO OR MORE RACES				BY ISSUE	BY ISSUE	BY ISSUE	
A. APPOINTMENT/HIRE													
B. ASSIGNMENT OF DUTIES													
C. AWARDS													
D. CONVERSION TO FULL TIME													
E. DISCIPLINARY ACTION													
1. DEMOTION													
2. REPRIMAND													
3. SUSPENSION													
4. REMOVAL													
5.													
6.													
7.													
F. DUTY HOURS													
G. EVALUATION/APPRAISAL													
H. EXAMINATION/TEST													
I. HARASSMENT													
1. NON-SEXUAL													
2. SEXUAL													
J. MEDICAL EXAMINATION													
K. PAY INCLUDING OVERTIME													
L. PROMOTION/NON-SELECTION													
M. REASSIGNMENT													
1. DENIED													
2. DIRECTED													
TOTAL ALL ISSUES BY BASES													
TOTAL ALL COMPLAINTS FILED BY BASES													
TOTAL ALL COMPLAINANTS BY BASES													

EEOC FORM 462 (REVISED APR 2011)

(REPORTING PERIOD BEGINS OCTOBER 1ST AND ENDS SEPTEMBER 30TH)

AGENCY OR DEPARTMENT: REPORTING PERIOD: FY

## PART IV - BASES AND ISSUES ALLEGED IN COMPLAINTS FILED (Part 1)

		BASES OF ALLEGED DISCRIMINATION												
			RACE				COLOR	RELIGION	REPRISAL	TOTAL ALL BASES	TOTAL ALL COMPLAINTS	TOTAL ALL COMPLAINANTS		
ISSUES OF ALLEGED DISCRIMINATION	AMER. INDIAN/ ALASKA NATIVE	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN /OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	BLACK/ AFRICAN AMERICAN	WHITE	TWO OR MORE RACES				BY ISSUE	BY ISSUE	BY ISSUE		
N. REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION														
O. REINSTATEMENT														
P. RETIREMENT														
Q. TERMINATION														
R. TERMS/CONDITIONS OF EMPLOYMENT														
S. TIME AND ATTENDANCE														
T. TRAINING														
U. OTHER (Please specify below)														
1.														
2.														
3.														
4.														
5.														
TOTAL ALL ISSUES BY BASES														
TOTAL ALL COMPLAINTS FILED BY BASES														
TOTAL ALL COMPLAINANTS BY BASES														

EEOC FORM 462 (REVISED APR 2011) page 3a

(REPORTING PERIOD BEGINS OCTOBER 1ST AND ENDS SEPTEMBER 30TH)

AGENCY OR DEPARTMENT: REPORTING PERIOD: FY

## PART IV - BASES AND ISSUES ALLEGED IN COMPLAINTS FILED (Part 2)

		BASES OF ALLEGED DISCRIMINATION												
	S	SEX	PREGNANCY DISCRIMINATION ACT	NATIONA	AL ORIGIN	EQU.	AL	AGE	DISAI	BILITY	GINA	TOTAL ALL BASES	TOTAL ALL COMPLAINTS	TOTAL ALL COMPLAINANTS
ISSUES OF ALLEGED DISCRIMINATION	MALE	FEMALE		HISPANIC/ LATINO	OTHER	MALE	FEMALE		MENTAL	PHYSICAL		BY ISSUE	BY ISSUE	BY ISSUE
A. APPOINTMENT/HIRE														
B. ASSIGNMENT OF DUTIES														
C. AWARDS														
D. CONVERSION TO FULL TIME														
E. DISCIPLINARY ACTION														
1. DEMOTION														
2. REPRIMAND														
3. SUSPENSION														
4. REMOVAL														
5.														
6.														
7.														
F. DUTY HOURS														
G. EVALUATION/APPRAISAL														
H. EXAMINATION/TEST														
I. HARASSMENT														
1. NON-SEXUAL														
2. SEXUAL						_								
J. MEDICAL EXAMINATION														
K. PAY INCLUDING OVERTIME														
L. PROMOTION/NON-SELECTION														
M. REASSIGNMENT														
1. DENIED														
2. DIRECTED														
TOTAL ALL ISSUES BY BASES														
TOTAL ALL COMPLAINTS FILED BY BASES														
TOTAL ALL COMPLAINANTS BY BASES														

(REPORTING PERIOD BEGINS OCTOBER 1ST AND ENDS SEPTEMBER 30TH)

AGENCY OR DEPARTMENT: REPORTING PERIOD: FY

## PART IV - BASES AND ISSUES ALLEGED IN COMPLAINTS FILED (Part 2)

					BASES OF A	ALLEGED DIS	CRIMINATI	ON						
	S	EX	PREGNANCY	NATIONA	L ORIGIN	EQU	AL	AGE	DISA	BILITY	GINA	TOTAL	TOTAL ALL	TOTAL ALL
			DISCRIMINATION ACT			PAY A	.CT					ALL BASES	COMPLAINTS	COMPLAINANTS
ISSUES OF	MALE	FEMALE		HISPANIC/	OTHER	MALE	FEMALE		MENTAL	PHYSICAL		BY ISSUE	BY ISSUE	BY ISSUE
ALLEGED				LATINO										
DISCRIMINATION														
N. REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION														
O. REINSTATEMENT														
P. RETIREMENT														
Q. TERMINATION														
R. TERMS/CONDITIONS OF EMPLOYMENT														
S. TIME AND ATTENDANCE														
T. TRAINING														
U. OTHER (Please specify below)														
1.														
2.														
3.														
4.														
5.														
TOTAL ALL ISSUES BY BASES														
TOTAL ALL COMPLAINTS FILED BY BASES														
TOTAL ALL COMPLAINANTS BY BASES														

EEOC FORM 462 (REVISED APR 2011) page 3c

(REPORTING PERIOD BEGINS OCTOBER 1ST AND ENDS SEPTEMBER 30TH)

AGENCY OR DEPARTMENT: REPORTING PERIOD: FY

			DART IVA	DACEC	or Bicobi		0 N IN FI	UDINGS A	ND 411 FG	ED IN CE		,								
			PART IVA	- BASES	OF DISCRI	MINATIO	ON IN FI	NDINGS A	ND ALLEG	ED IN SE	TTLEMENT	5								
						BASES (	OF DISCRI	MINATION	IN FINDING	S AND ALL	EGED BASES	IN SETTLE!	MENTS							
			RACI	E			COLOR	RELIGION	REPRISAL	:	SEX	PDA	NATIONA	LORIGIN	EQUAL	PAY ACT	AGE	DISA	BILITY	GINA
		ı	ı	BLACK/ AFRICAN		ı	<u> </u>				1					1			1	
	AMER. INDIAN/	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN	AMERICAN	WHITE	TWO OR				MALE	FEMALE		HISPANIC/	OTHER	MALE	FEMALE		MENTAL	PHYSICAL	
FINDINGS/ALLEGATIONS IN:	ALASKA NATIVE		/OTHER PACIFIC  ISLANDER			MORE							LATINO							
Counseling Settlement Allegations																				
1a. Number of Counselings Settled																				
1b. Number of Counselees Settled With																				
2. Complaint Settlement Allegations																				<del>                                     </del>
2a. Number of Complaints Settled																				
2b. Number of Complainants Settled With																				<u> </u>
3. Final Agency Decision Findings																				
3a. Number FADs with Findings																				
3b. Number Complainants Issued FAD Findings																				
4. AJ Decision Findings																				
4a. Number AJ Decisions With Findings																				
5. Final Agency Order Findings Implemented																				
5a. Number of Final Orders With Findings Implemented																				
5b. # of Complainants issued FOs with Findings Implemented											1									<u> </u>
TOTAL SETTLEMENT ALLEGATIONS																				
TOTAL FINAL ACTION FINDINGS																				

		DAD	TIVE IS	SUES OF D	иссвимы	ATION	N EINDU	NGS AND	ALLEGED	IN CETTI E	MENTS															
		PAR	1 1VB - 13	SOES OF L	JISCKIWIN	AIION	IN FINDI	NGS AND	ALLEGED	IN SETTLE	MENIS															
									ISSUE	S OF DISCRIM	MINATION IN	N FINDINGS	AND ALL	EGED ISS	SUES IN SE	TTLEMEN	TS									_
				CONVERSION												PROMOTION/							TERMS &			
	APPOINTMENT/	ASSIGNMENT		то		DISCIPLIN	ARY ACTION		DUTY	EVAL/	EXAM/	HARAS	SMENT	MEDICAL	PAY/	NON-	REASSIG	GNMENT	REASONABLE	REIN-			CONDITIONS	TIME AND		
FINDINGS/ALLEGATIONS IN:	HIRE	OF DUTIES	AWARDS	FULL TIME	DEMOTION	REPRIMANE	SUSPENSION	REMOVAL	HOURS	APPRAISAL	TEST	NON-SEXUAL	SEXUAL	EXAM		SELECTION	DENIED	DIRECTED	ACCOMM	STATEMENT	RETIREMENT	TERMINATION	EMPLOYMENT	ATTENDANCE	TRAINING	OTHE
Counseling Settlement Allegations																								<u> </u>		
1a. Number of Counselings Settled																								<u> </u>		
1b. Number of Counselees Settled With																									<u> </u>	
																									Ь	
2. Complaint Settlement Allegations																										
2a. Number of Complaints Settled																								<u> </u>		
2b. Number of Complainants Settled With																								<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Final Agency Decision Findings								ļ				ļ										1		<del>                                     </del>	—	₩
									1												1			<b></b>	<b>├</b>	₩
3a. Number FADs with Findings									1												1			<b></b>	<b>├</b>	₩
3b. Number Complainants Issued FAD Findings									-				-							-	-			<del>                                     </del>	₩	+
4. AJ Decision Findings																				-				+	+	+-
4a. Number AJ Decisions With Findings																								_	<b>—</b>	$\vdash$
																								_	<b>†</b>	
5. Final Agency Order Findings Implemented																									1	
5a. Number of Final Orders With Findings Implemented																										
5b. # of Complainants issued FOs with Findings Implemented																·		·								
TOTAL SETTLEMENT ALLEGATIONS																										
TOTAL FINAL ACTION FINDINGS																										

(REPORTING PERIOD BEGINS OCTOBER 1ST AND ENDS SEPTEMBER 30TH)

,	,
AGENCY OR DEPARTMENT:	REPORTING PERIOD: FY
PART V	- SUMMARY OF CLOSURES BY STATUTE
A. STATUTE (IF A SINGLE COMPLAINT HA	AS MULTIPLE STATUTES RECORD EACH ON THE APPROPRIATE LINE.)
1. TITLE VII	,
1a. PREGNANCY DISCRIMI	NATION ACT (PDA)
2. AGE DISRIMINATION IN	I EMPLOYMENT ACT (ADEA)
3. REHABILITATION ACT	
4. EQUAL PAY ACT (EPA)	
5. GENETIC INFORMATIO	N NONDISCRIMINATION ACT (GINA)
B. TOTAL BY STATUTES	
THIS NUMBER MAY BE	LARGER THAN THE TOTAL NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS CLOSED.

(A1+A1a+A2+A3+A4+A5)

## PART VI - SUMMARY OF CLOSURES BY CATEGORY TOTAL. TOTAL AVERAGE NUMBER DAYS DAYS A. TOTAL NUMBER OF CLOSURES (1+2+3)1. WITHDRAWALS a. NON-ADR WITHDRAWALS b. ADR WITHDRAWALS 2. SETTLEMENTS a. NON-ADR SETTLEMENTS b. ADR SETTLEMENTS 3. FINAL AGENCY ACTIONS (B+C) B. FINAL AGENCY DECISIONS WITHOUT AN ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE DECISION (1+2+3)1. FINDING DISCRIMINATION 2. FINDING NO DISCRIMINATION 3. DISMISSAL OF COMPLAINTS C. FINAL AGENCY ORDERS WITH AN ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE (AJ) DECISION (1+2)1. AJ DECISION FULLY IMPLEMENTED (a+b+c) (a) FINDING DISCRIMINATION (b) FINDING NO DISCRIMINATION (c) DISMISSAL OF COMPLAINTS 2. AJ DECISION NOT FULLY IMPLEMENTED (a+b+c) (a) FINDING DISCRIMINATION (i+ii+iii) i. AGENCY APPEALED FINDING BUT NOT REMEDY ii. AGENCY APPEALED REMEDY BUT NOT FINDING iii. AGENCY APPEALED BOTH FINDING AND REMEDY (b) FINDING NO DISCRIMINATION (c) DISMISSAL OF COMPLAINTS

EEOC FORM 462 (REVISED APR 2011) page 5

#### ANNUAL FEDERAL EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY

#### STATISTICAL REPORT OF DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINTS

(REPORTING PERIOD BEGINS OCTOBER 1ST AND ENDS SEPTEMBER 30TH)

AGENCY OR DEPARTMENT: REPORTING PERIOD: FY PART VI - SUMMARY OF CLOSURES BY CATEGORY (Continued) TOTAL **AVERAGE** TOTAL NUMBER **DAYS** DAYS D. FINAL AGENCY MERIT DECISIONS (FAD) ISSUED (1+2+3+4)1. COMPLAINANT REQUESTED IMMEDIATE FAD (1a+1b)a. AGENCY ISSUED FAD WITHIN 60 DAYS OF RECEIPT OF FAD REQUEST b. AGENCY ISSUED FAD MORE THAN 60 DAYS BEYOND RECEIPT OF FAD REQUEST 2. COMPLAINANT DID NOT ELECT HEARING OR FAD (2a+2b) a. AGENCY ISSUED FAD WITHIN 60 DAYS OF END OF 30-DAY ELECTION PERIOD b. AGENCY ISSUED FAD MORE THAN 60 DAYS BEYOND END OF 30-DAY ELECTION PERIOD 3. HEARING REQUESTED; AJ RETURNED CASE TO AGENCY FOR FAD WITHOUT AJ DECISION (3a+3b) a. AGENCY ISSUED FAD WITHIN 60 DAYS OF RECEIPT OF AJ RETURNED CASE FOR FAD ISSUANCE b. AGENCY ISSUED FAD MORE THAN 60 DAYS AFTER RECEIPT OF AJ RETURNED CASE FOR FAD ISSUANCE 4. FINAL AGENCY DECISION ISSUED ON A MIXED CASE (4a+4b) a. AGENCY ISSUED FAD WITHIN 45 DAYS AFTER INVESTIGATION b. AGENCY ISSUED FAD MORE THAN 45 DAYS AFTER INVESTIGATION PART VII - SUMMARY OF FORMAL COMPLAINTS CLOSED BY TYPES OF BENEFITS **NUMBER AMOUNT** A. TOTAL COMPLAINTS CLOSED WITH BENEFITS B. TOTAL CLOSURES WITH MONETARY BENEFITS TO COMPLAINANT \$ 1. BACK PAY/FRONT PAY 2. LUMP SUM PAYMENT 3. COMPENSATORY DAMAGES 4. ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS D. INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK TOTAL CLOSURES WITH NON-MONETARY BENEFITS TO COMPLAINANT NUMBER OF CLOSURES THAT RECEIVED MONETARY BENEFITS AS WELL NUMBER OF CLOSURES THAT RECEIVED ONLY NON-MONETARY BENEFITS TYPES OF BENEFITS IN NON-MONETARY CLOSURES 1. HIRES a. RETROACTIVE b. NON-RETROACTIVE 2. PROMOTIONS a. RETROACTIVE b. NON-RETROACTIVE 3. EXPUNGEMENTS 4. REASSIGNMENTS REMOVALS RESCINDED a. REINSTATEMENT b. VOLUNTARY RESIGNATION 6. ACCOMMODATIONS 7. TRAINING 8. APOLOGY 9. DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS a. RESCINDED b. MODIFIED 10. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION MODIFIED 11. LEAVE RESTORED 12 13 14

# ANNUAL FEDERAL EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY STATISTICAL REPORT OF DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINTS

(REPORTING PERIOD BEGINS OCTOBER 1ST AND ENDS SEPTEMBER 30TH)

AGENCY OR DEPARTMENT:	REPORTING PERIOD: FY						
PART VIII - SUMMARY OF PENDING COMPLAINTS BY CATEGORY							
A. TOTAL COMPLAINTS PENDING (SAME AS PART II Line I) (1+1a+2+3+4)	NUMBER PENDING	NUMBER OF DAYS	AVERAGE NUMBER OF DAYS PENDING				
COMPLAINTS PENDING WRITTEN NOTIFICATION     (Acknowledgement Letter)							
1a. COMPLAINTS PENDING DECISION TO ACCEPT/DISMISS	<u> </u>						
2. COMPLAINTS PENDING IN INVESTIGATION	<u> </u>						
3. COMPLAINTS PENDING IN HEARINGS	<u> </u>						
4. COMPLAINTS PENDING A FINAL AGENCY ACTION	<u> </u>						
PART IX - SUMMARY OF IN	VESTIGAT	IONS COM	PI FTFD				
.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1720110,	0.13 33	TOTAL	TOTAL DAYS	AVERAGE		
A. INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED DURING REPORTING PERIOD		(1+3)					
INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED BY AGENCY PERSONNEL     a. INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN 180 DAYS OR LESS     b. INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN 181 - 360 DAYS     1. TIMELY COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS     2. UNTIMELY COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS     c. INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN 361 OR MORE DAYS		(a+b+c)					
2. AGENCY INVESTIGATION COSTS  3. INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED BY CONTRACTORS  a. INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN 180 DAYS OR LESS  b. INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN 181 - 360 DAYS  1. TIMELY COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS  2. UNTIMELY COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS  c. INVESTIGATIONS COMPLETED IN 361 OR MORE DAYS		(a+b+c)	\$		\$		
4. CONTRACTOR INVESTIGATION COSTS			\$		\$		
4. CONTRACTOR INVESTIGATION COSTS			<b>*</b>		•		

EEOC FORM 462 (REVISED APR 2011) page 7

### ANNUAL FEDERAL EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY STATISTICAL REPORT OF DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINTS

(REPORTING PERIOD BEGINS OCTOBER 1ST AND ENDS SEPTEMBER 30TH)

AGENCY OR DEPARTMENT: REPORTING PERIOD: FY

#### PART X - SUMMARY OF ADR PROGRAM ACTIVITIES INFORMAL PHASE (PRE-COMPLAINT)

A. INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

B. ADR ACTIONS IN COMPLETED/ENDED COUNSELINGS

1. ADR OFFERED BY AGENCY

COUNSELINGS INDIVIDUALS

	4.	TOTAL ACCEPTED INTO ADR PROGRAM
C.	ADR RES	OURCES USED IN COMPLETED/ENDED COUNSELINGS (TOTALS)

REJECTED BY INDIVIDUAL (COUNSELEE)

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

1. INHOUSE

2. ANOTHER FEDERAL AGENCY

3. PRIVATE ORGANIZATIONS, (e.g., CONTRACTORS, BAR ASSOCIATIONS, INDIVIDUAL VOLUNTEERS OR COLLEGE/UNIVERSITY PERSONNEL)

4. MULTIPLE RESOURCES USED (Please specify in a comment box)

5. FEDERAL EXECUTIVE BOARD

6.

2.

3.

7.					
		COUNSELINGS	INDIVIDUALS	DAYS	AVERAGE DAYS
ADR TEC	CHNIQUES USED IN COMPLETED/ENDED COUNSELINGS (TOTALS)				
1.	MEDIATION				
2.	SETTLEMENT CONFERENCES	0	0	0	0.00
3.	EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATIONS	0_	0	0	0.00
4	FACTFINDING	0	0	0	0.00
5.	FACILITATION	3	3	46	15.33
6	OMBUDSMAN	0	0	0	0.00
7.	PEER REVIEW	0	0	0	0.00
8.	MULTIPLE TECHNIQUES USED (Please specify in a comment box)	0	0	0	0.00
9.					
10.					
11.					
STATUS	STATUS OF ADR CASES IN COMPLETED/ENDED COUNSELINGS		INDIVIDUALS	DAYS	AVERAGE DAYS
1.	TOTAL CLOSED	224	213	8517	38.02
	a. SETTLEMENTS WITH BENEFITS (Monetary and Non-monetary)	49	48	2271	46.35
	b. NO FORMAL COMPLAINT FILED	66	65	724	10.97
	c. COMPLAINT FILED				
	i. NO RESOLUTION	75	75	3741	49.88
	ii. NO ADR ATTEMPT (aka Part X.E.1.d)	28	27	1583	56.54
	DECISION TO FILE COMPLAINT PENDING AT THE END OF THE e. REPORTING PERIOD	6	6	198	33.00
2.	INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK				

EEOC FORM 462 (REVISED APR 2011) page

#### ANNUAL FEDERAL EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY STATISTICAL REPORT OF DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINTS

(REPORTING PERIOD BEGINS OCTOBER 1ST AND ENDS SEPTEMBER 30TH)

AGENCY OR DEPARTMENT: REPORTING PERIOD: FY

#### PART XI - SUMMARY OF ADR PROGRAM ACTIVITIES FORMAL PHASE (COMPLAINT FILED) B. ADR ACTIONS IN COMPLAINT CLOSURES COMPLAINTS COMPLAINANTS ADR OFFERED BY AGENCY 2. REJECTED BY COMPLAINANT INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK TOTAL ACCEPTED INTO ADR PROGRAM C. ADR RESOURCES USED IN COMPLAINT CLOSURES (TOTALS) **INHOUSE** 2 ANOTHER FEDERAL AGENCY 3. PRIVATE ORGANIZATIONS, (e.g., CONTRACTORS, BAR ASSOCIATIONS, INDIVIDUAL VOLUNTEERS OR COLLEGE/UNIVERSITY PERSONNEL) MULTIPLE RESOURCES USED (Please specify in a comment box) 5. FEDERAL EXECUTIVE BOARD 6. **COMPLAINTS** COMPLAINANTS DAYS AVERAGE DAYS ADR TECHNIQUES USED IN COMPLAINT CLOSURES (TOTALS) **MEDIATION** 2. SETTLEMENT CONFERENCES 3. **EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATIONS** 4. **FACTFINDING** 5. **FACILITATION** 6. **OMBUDSMAN** MINI-TRIALS 7. 8. PEER REVIEW 9. MULTIPLE TECHNIQUES USED (Please specify in a comment box) 10. 11. 12 E. STATUS OF CASES IN COMPLAINT CLOSURES COMPLAINTS COMPLAINANTS DAYS AVERAGE DAYS TOTAL CLOSED

1. TOTAL GLOSED				
a. SETTLEMENTS WITH BENEFITS (Monetary and Non-monetary)				
b. WITHDRAWAL FROM EEO PROCESS				
c. NO RESOLUTION				
d. NO ADR ATTEMPT				
2. INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK				
F. BENEFITS RECEIVED	COMPLAINTS	COMPLAINANTS	AMOUNT	
MONETARY (INSERT TOTALS)			\$	
a. COMPENSATORY DAMAGES			\$	
b. BACKPAY/FRONTPAY			\$	
c. LUMP SUM			\$	
d. ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS			\$	
e.			\$	
f.			\$	
g.			\$	
2. NON-MONETARY (INSERT TOTALS)				
a. HIRES				
i. RETROACTIVE				
ii. NON-RETROACTIVE				
b. PROMOTIONS				
i. RETROACTIVE				
ii. NON-RETROACTIVE				
c. EXPUNGEMENTS				
d. REASSIGNMENTS				
e. REMOVALS RESCINDED				
i. REINSTATEMENT				
ii. VOLUNTARY RESIGNATION				
f. ACCOMMODATIONS				
g. TRAINING				
h. APOLOGY				
i. DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS				
i. RESCINDED				
ii. MODIFIED				
j. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION MODIFIED				
k. LEAVE RESTORED				
l.				
m.				
EOC FORM 462 (REVISED APR 2011)				page 9

# ANNUAL FEDERAL EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY STATISTICAL REPORT OF DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINTS

(REPORTING PERIOD BEGINS OCTOBER 1ST AND ENDS SEPTEMBER 30TH)

AGENCY OR DEPARTMENT:	REPORTING PERIOD: FY		
PART XII - SUMMARY OF EEG	O ADR PROGRAM ACTIVITIES		
EEO ADR RI	ESOURCES		
A. NO LONGER COLLECTED			
B. EMPLOYEES THAT CAN PARTICIPATE IN EEO ADR			
C. RESOURCES THAT MANAGE EEO ADR PROGRAM (DOES NOT			
INCLUDE NEUTRALS AS REPORTED IN PARTS X. & XI.)  1. IN-HOUSE FULL TIME (40 HOURS EEO ADR ONLY)			
2. IN-HOUSE PART TIME (32 HOURS EEO ADR ONLY)			
3. IN-HOUSE COLLATERAL DUTY (OTHERS/NON-CONTRACT)			
4. CONTRACT (ANOTHER FEDERAL AGENCY/PRIVATE ORGANIZATIONS	(3)		
·			
D. EEO ADR FUNDING SPENT	AMOUNT \$		
B. ELGABIAT GABIAG GI ENT	ΙΨ		
E. EEO ADR CONTACT INFORMATION			
1. NAME OF EEO ADR PROGRAM DIRECTOR / MANAGER			
2. TITLE			
3. TELEPHONE NUMBER 4.EM	AIL		
F. EEO ADR PROGRAM INFORMATION			
		YES	NO
Does the agency require the alleged responsible management official	to participate in EEO ADR?		
1a. If yes, is there a written policy requiring the participation?			
2. Does the alleged responsible management official have a role in decident	ding if the case is appropriate for EEO ADR?		
CERTIFICATION AND C	ONTACT INFORMATION		
Leastify that the EEO complaint data contained in this report. EEOC Form	462 Appual Fodoral Equal Employment Opportunity St	tatiatical	
I certify that the EEO complaint data contained in this report, EEOC Form Report of Discrimination Complaints, for the reporting period October 1, 20			
, in the second of the second	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
NAME AND TITLE OF CERTIFYING OFFICIAL:			
NAME AND THE OF CENTIFFING OFFICIAL.			
SIGNATURE OF CERTIFYING OFFICIAL: (Enter PIN here to serve as your electronic signat	ure)		
DATE: TELEPHONE NUMBER:	E-MAIL:		
NAME AND TITLE OF PREPARER:			
	EMAN		
DATE: TELEPHONE NUMBER:	E-MAIL:		
The FY 2012 report (with the PIN entered) is due on or before October 31, 201	2.		

EEOC FORM 462 (REVISED MARCH 2011) page

#### **Appendix A - Comments**

#### Appendix A – Comments (continued)

#### Appendix A – Comments (continued)

#### Appendix A – Comments (continued)

# **TAB 12**

**UFAS/Section 504 Rehabilitation Act Assessment** 

# UFAS / Section 504 Rehabilitation Act and ABAAS Accessibility Assessments

# Facility Accessibility Program Office

**FY 2012** 



In FY 2012 the Facility Accessibility Program Office:

- Conducted UFAS / Section 504 or ABAAS Facility Accessibility Surveys of 44 FAA facilities and transmitted the reports to the facility managers and Program Offices;
- Disseminated GSA / Access Board implementation information and requirements for the Architectural Barriers Act Accessibility Standard (ABAAS);
- Conducted two classes on Real Estate Contracting Officer specific responsibilities in complying with ABAAS;
- Served as a resource to the FAA to resolve issues involving facility accessibility and ABAAS compliance.

#### Conducted *UFAS* / Section 504 or ABAAS Facility Accessibility Surveys of 44 FAA facilities and transmitted the reports to the facility managers and Program Offices:

The Facility Accessibility Program Office conducted *UFAS / Section 504* or *ABAAS Facility Accessibility Surveys* of 44 FAA facilities and transmitted the reports to the facility managers and Program Offices during FY 2012. The forty four surveyed facilities had a combined staffing of 1379. The surveyed facilities were office spaces and air traffic control facilities. Construction of these facilities was completed between from the 1957 to 2011. The older facilities have been altered, expanded, and modernized over the years; however there are still large areas of unmodified original construction. The facilities surveyed are listed below with their staffing.

Phoenix Certificate Management Office Phoenix Security and Risk Management Scottsdale Flight Standards District Office / Phoenix Manufacturing Inspection District Office San Antonio Flight Standards District Office San Antonio Manufacturing Inspection District Office San Antonio Manufacturing Inspection District Office South Florida Flight Standards District Office South Florida Certificate Management Office South Florida Certificate Management Office Miami International Field Office Safety Team (FAAST) Drug Abatement Office (DACE) AQS Office Charles Grant Pkwy – AVS Building (Atlanta, GA) Atlanta Flight Standards District Office Atlanta Manufacturing Inspection District Office Atlanta Aircraft Certificate Management Office Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office Barstow System Support Center An Francisco Security Field Office Sacramento Technical Service Center / Program Operations Group Aquation Program Operations Group An Reno Flight Standards District Office Cincinnati Flight Standards District Office Columbus Flight Standards District Office	<u>Facility</u>	<b>Staffing</b>
Scottsdale Flight Standards District Office / Phoenix Manufacturing Inspection District Office 78 Central Arizona System Support Center 11 Continental Certificate Management Office 74 Houston Flight Standards District Office 30 San Antonio Flight Standards District Office 58 San Antonio Manufacturing Inspection District Office 11 Miramar Building (Fort Lauderdale, FL) 270 South Florida Flight Standards District Office 11 Miramar Building (Fort Lauderdale, FL) 270 South Florida Certificate Management Office 52 Safety Team (FAAST) Drug Abatement Office (DACE) AQS Office Charles Grant Pkwy – AVS Building (Atlanta, GA) 350 Atlanta Flight Standards District Office Atlanta Manufacturing Inspection District Office Atlanta Manufacturing Inspection District Office Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office Delta Certificate Management Office Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office 18 Barstow System Support Center 4 San Francisco Airports District Office 5 Sacramento Technical Service Center / Program Operations Group 40 Reno Flight Standards District Office 22 Northern Nevada System Support Center 55 Cincinnati Flight Standards District Office 55 Columbus Flight Standards District Office 55		
Phoenix Manufacturing Inspection District Office Central Arizona System Support Center Continental Certificate Management Office Houston Flight Standards District Office San Antonio Flight Standards District Office San Antonio Manufacturing Inspection District Office San Antonio Manufacturing Inspection District Office San Antonio Manufacturing Inspection District Office South Florida Flight Standards District Office South Florida Certificate Management Office Miami International Field Office Safety Team (FAAST) Drug Abatement Office (DACE) AQS Office Charles Grant Pkwy – AVS Building (Atlanta, GA) Atlanta Flight Standards District Office Atlanta Manufacturing Inspection District Office AirTran Certificate Management Office Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office Sarstow System Support Center San Francisco Airports District Office Sacramento Technical Service Center / Program Operations Group Aquation Flight Standards District Office Vandalia Manufacturing Inspection District Office Scincinnati Flight Standards District Office Vandalia Manufacturing Inspection District Office Scincinnati Flight Standards District Office		2
Central Arizona System Support Center Continental Certificate Management Office Houston Flight Standards District Office San Antonio Flight Standards District Office San Antonio Manufacturing Inspection District Office International Flight Standards District Office South Florida Flight Standards District Office South Florida Flight Standards District Office South Florida Certificate Management Office Miami International Field Office Safety Team (FAAST) Drug Abatement Office (DACE) AQS Office Charles Grant Pkwy – AVS Building (Atlanta, GA) Atlanta Flight Standards District Office Atlanta Manufacturing Inspection District Office AirTran Certificate Management Office AirTran Certificate Management Office Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office Sarstow System Support Center San Francisco Airports District Office Sacramento Technical Service Center / Program Operations Group And Reno Flight Standards District Office Vandalia Manufacturing Inspection District Office Scincinnati Flight Standards District Office Vandalia Manufacturing Inspection District Office Scincinnati Flight Standards District Office		70
Continental Certificate Management Office 74 Houston Flight Standards District Office 30 San Antonio Flight Standards District Office 58 San Antonio Manufacturing Inspection District Office 11 Miramar Building (Fort Lauderdale, FL) 270  • South Florida Flight Standards District Office 50 • South Florida Certificate Management Office 50 • Miami International Field Office 50 • Safety Team (FAAST) 50 • Drug Abatement Office (DACE) 50 • AQS Office 50 Charles Grant Pkwy – AVS Building (Atlanta, GA) 50 • Atlanta Flight Standards District Office 50 • AirTran Certificate Management Office 60 • AirTran Certificate Management Office 70 • Delta Certificate Management Office 71 • Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office 72 • Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office 73 San Francisco Security Field Office 75 Sacramento Technical Service Center / 75 Program Operations Group 75 Reno Flight Standards District Office 75 Cincinnati Flight Standards District Office 75 Columbus Flight Standards District Office 75		
Houston Flight Standards District Office San Antonio Flight Standards District Office San Antonio Manufacturing Inspection District Office International Flight Standards District Office South Florida Flight Standards District Office South Florida Certificate Management Office Miami International Field Office Safety Team (FAAST) Drug Abatement Office (DACE) AQS Office Charles Grant Pkwy – AVS Building (Atlanta, GA) Atlanta Flight Standards District Office AirTran Certificate Management Office AirTran Certificate Management Office Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office Asan Francisco Airports District Office San Francisco Security Field Office Sacramento Technical Service Center / Program Operations Group Reno Flight Standards District Office Socramento Technical Service Center / Program Operations Group Reno Flight Standards District Office Socramento Technical Service Center / Program Operations Group Reno Flight Standards District Office Socramento Technical Service Center / Program Operations Group Reno Flight Standards District Office Socramento Technical Service Center / Program Operations Group Reno Flight Standards District Office Socramento Technical Service Center / Socramento Technical Service Center / Program Operations Group Reno Flight Standards District Office Socramento Technical Service Center / Socramento Technical Service Ce		
San Antonio Flight Standards District Office  San Antonio Manufacturing Inspection District Office  Miramar Building (Fort Lauderdale, FL)  South Florida Flight Standards District Office  South Florida Certificate Management Office  Miami International Field Office  Safety Team (FAAST)  Drug Abatement Office (DACE)  AQS Office  Charles Grant Pkwy – AVS Building (Atlanta, GA)  Atlanta Flight Standards District Office  Atlanta Manufacturing Inspection District Office  AirTran Certificate Management Office  Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office  Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office  Sarstow System Support Center  San Francisco Airports District Office  Sacramento Technical Service Center /  Program Operations Group  Reno Flight Standards District Office  Vandalia Manufacturing Inspection District Office  Vandalia Manufacturing Inspection District Office  Scolumbus Flight Standards District Office		
San Antonio Manufacturing Inspection District Office Miramar Building (Fort Lauderdale, FL) South Florida Flight Standards District Office South Florida Certificate Management Office Miami International Field Office Safety Team (FAAST) Drug Abatement Office (DACE) AQS Office  Charles Grant Pkwy – AVS Building (Atlanta, GA) Atlanta Flight Standards District Office AirTran Certificate Management Office AirTran Certificate Management Office Delta Certificate Management Office Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office  Barstow System Support Center San Francisco Airports District Office Sacramento Technical Service Center / Program Operations Group Reno Flight Standards District Office Vandalia Manufacturing Inspection District Office Vandalia Manufacturing Inspection District Office Vandalia Manufacturing Inspection District Office Scolumbus Flight Standards District Office		
Miramar Building (Fort Lauderdale, FL) South Florida Flight Standards District Office South Florida Certificate Management Office Miami International Field Office Safety Team (FAAST) Drug Abatement Office (DACE) AQS Office  Charles Grant Pkwy – AVS Building (Atlanta, GA) Atlanta Flight Standards District Office Atlanta Manufacturing Inspection District Office Alarta Certificate Management Office Delta Certificate Management Office Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office Asan Francisco Airports District Office Sarramento Technical Service Center / Program Operations Group Reno Flight Standards District Office Vandalia Manufacturing Inspection District Office Scolumbus Flight Standards District Office Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office		
<ul> <li>South Florida Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>South Florida Certificate Management Office</li> <li>Miami International Field Office</li> <li>Safety Team (FAAST)</li> <li>Drug Abatement Office (DACE)</li> <li>AQS Office</li> <li>Charles Grant Pkwy – AVS Building (Atlanta, GA)</li> <li>Atlanta Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>Atlanta Manufacturing Inspection District Office</li> <li>AirTran Certificate Management Office</li> <li>Delta Certificate Management Office</li> <li>Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office</li> <li>Barstow System Support Center</li> <li>San Francisco Airports District Office</li> <li>Sacramento Technical Service Center / Program Operations Group</li> <li>Reno Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>Vandalia Manufacturing Inspection District Office</li> <li>Columbus Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>Columbus Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>Columbus Flight Standards District Office</li> </ul>		270
<ul> <li>South Florida Certificate Management Office</li> <li>Miami International Field Office</li> <li>Safety Team (FAAST)</li> <li>Drug Abatement Office (DACE)</li> <li>AQS Office</li> <li>Charles Grant Pkwy – AVS Building (Atlanta, GA)</li> <li>Atlanta Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>Atlanta Manufacturing Inspection District Office</li> <li>AirTran Certificate Management Office</li> <li>Delta Certificate Management Office</li> <li>Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office</li> <li>Barstow System Support Center</li> <li>San Francisco Airports District Office</li> <li>Sacramento Technical Service Center / Program Operations Group</li> <li>Reno Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>Vandalia Manufacturing Inspection District Office</li> <li>Columbus Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>Columbus Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>Columbus Flight Standards District Office</li> </ul>	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
<ul> <li>Safety Team (FAAST)</li> <li>Drug Abatement Office (DACE)</li> <li>AQS Office</li> <li>Charles Grant Pkwy – AVS Building (Atlanta, GA)</li> <li>Atlanta Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>Atlanta Manufacturing Inspection District Office</li> <li>AirTran Certificate Management Office</li> <li>Delta Certificate Management Office</li> <li>Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office</li> <li>Barstow System Support Center</li> <li>San Francisco Airports District Office</li> <li>San Francisco Security Field Office</li> <li>Sacramento Technical Service Center / Program Operations Group</li> <li>Reno Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>Northern Nevada System Support Center</li> <li>Cincinnati Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>Vandalia Manufacturing Inspection District Office</li> <li>Columbus Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>40</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Drug Abatement Office (DACE)</li> <li>AQS Office</li> <li>Charles Grant Pkwy – AVS Building (Atlanta, GA)</li> <li>Atlanta Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>Atlanta Manufacturing Inspection District Office</li> <li>AirTran Certificate Management Office</li> <li>Delta Certificate Management Office</li> <li>Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office</li> <li>Barstow System Support Center</li> <li>San Francisco Airports District Office</li> <li>San Francisco Security Field Office</li> <li>Sacramento Technical Service Center / Program Operations Group</li> <li>Reno Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>Northern Nevada System Support Center</li> <li>Cincinnati Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>Vandalia Manufacturing Inspection District Office</li> <li>Columbus Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>40</li> </ul>	Miami International Field Office	
<ul> <li>AQS Office</li> <li>Charles Grant Pkwy – AVS Building (Atlanta, GA)</li> <li>Atlanta Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>Atlanta Manufacturing Inspection District Office</li> <li>AirTran Certificate Management Office</li> <li>Delta Certificate Management Office</li> <li>Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office</li> <li>Barstow System Support Center</li> <li>San Francisco Airports District Office</li> <li>San Francisco Security Field Office</li> <li>Sacramento Technical Service Center / Program Operations Group</li> <li>Reno Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>Northern Nevada System Support Center</li> <li>Cincinnati Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>Vandalia Manufacturing Inspection District Office</li> <li>Columbus Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>40</li> </ul>	Safety Team (FAAST)	
Charles Grant Pkwy – AVS Building (Atlanta, GA)  • Atlanta Flight Standards District Office  • Atlanta Manufacturing Inspection District Office  • AirTran Certificate Management Office  • Delta Certificate Management Office  • Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office  Barstow System Support Center  San Francisco Airports District Office  San Francisco Security Field Office  Sacramento Technical Service Center /  Program Operations Group  Reno Flight Standards District Office  Northern Nevada System Support Center  Cincinnati Flight Standards District Office  Vandalia Manufacturing Inspection District Office  Columbus Flight Standards District Office  40	<ul> <li>Drug Abatement Office (DACE)</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Atlanta Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>Atlanta Manufacturing Inspection District Office</li> <li>AirTran Certificate Management Office</li> <li>Delta Certificate Management Office</li> <li>Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office</li> <li>Barstow System Support Center</li> <li>San Francisco Airports District Office</li> <li>San Francisco Security Field Office</li> <li>Sacramento Technical Service Center / Program Operations Group</li> <li>Reno Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>Northern Nevada System Support Center</li> <li>Cincinnati Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>Vandalia Manufacturing Inspection District Office</li> <li>Columbus Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>40</li> </ul>	AQS Office	
<ul> <li>Atlanta Manufacturing Inspection District Office</li> <li>AirTran Certificate Management Office</li> <li>Delta Certificate Management Office</li> <li>Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office</li> <li>Barstow System Support Center</li> <li>San Francisco Airports District Office</li> <li>San Francisco Security Field Office</li> <li>Sacramento Technical Service Center / Program Operations Group</li> <li>Reno Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>Northern Nevada System Support Center</li> <li>Cincinnati Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>Vandalia Manufacturing Inspection District Office</li> <li>Columbus Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>40</li> </ul>		350
<ul> <li>AirTran Certificate Management Office</li> <li>Delta Certificate Management Office</li> <li>Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office</li> <li>Barstow System Support Center</li> <li>San Francisco Airports District Office</li> <li>San Francisco Security Field Office</li> <li>Sacramento Technical Service Center /         Program Operations Group</li> <li>Reno Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>Northern Nevada System Support Center</li> <li>Cincinnati Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>Vandalia Manufacturing Inspection District Office</li> <li>Columbus Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>40</li> </ul>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
<ul> <li>Delta Certificate Management Office</li> <li>Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office</li> <li>Barstow System Support Center</li> <li>San Francisco Airports District Office</li> <li>San Francisco Security Field Office</li> <li>Sacramento Technical Service Center /         Program Operations Group</li> <li>Reno Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>Northern Nevada System Support Center</li> <li>Cincinnati Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>Vandalia Manufacturing Inspection District Office</li> <li>Columbus Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>40</li> </ul>	<u> </u>	
<ul> <li>Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office</li> <li>Barstow System Support Center</li> <li>San Francisco Airports District Office</li> <li>San Francisco Security Field Office</li> <li>Sacramento Technical Service Center /         Program Operations Group</li> <li>Reno Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>Northern Nevada System Support Center</li> <li>Cincinnati Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>Vandalia Manufacturing Inspection District Office</li> <li>Columbus Flight Standards District Office</li> <li>40</li> </ul>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Barstow System Support Center 4 San Francisco Airports District Office 18 San Francisco Security Field Office 5 Sacramento Technical Service Center / Program Operations Group 40 Reno Flight Standards District Office 22 Northern Nevada System Support Center 5 Cincinnati Flight Standards District Office 60 Vandalia Manufacturing Inspection District Office 5 Columbus Flight Standards District Office 40	<u> </u>	
San Francisco Airports District Office 18 San Francisco Security Field Office 5 Sacramento Technical Service Center / Program Operations Group 40 Reno Flight Standards District Office 22 Northern Nevada System Support Center 5 Cincinnati Flight Standards District Office 60 Vandalia Manufacturing Inspection District Office 5 Columbus Flight Standards District Office 40		_
San Francisco Security Field Office5Sacramento Technical Service Center / Program Operations Group40Reno Flight Standards District Office22Northern Nevada System Support Center5Cincinnati Flight Standards District Office60Vandalia Manufacturing Inspection District Office5Columbus Flight Standards District Office40		' <del>=</del> '
Sacramento Technical Service Center / Program Operations Group Reno Flight Standards District Office Northern Nevada System Support Center Cincinnati Flight Standards District Office Vandalia Manufacturing Inspection District Office Columbus Flight Standards District Office 40		
Program Operations Group  Reno Flight Standards District Office  Northern Nevada System Support Center  Cincinnati Flight Standards District Office  Vandalia Manufacturing Inspection District Office  Columbus Flight Standards District Office  40		5
Reno Flight Standards District Office22Northern Nevada System Support Center5Cincinnati Flight Standards District Office60Vandalia Manufacturing Inspection District Office5Columbus Flight Standards District Office40		40
Northern Nevada System Support Center 5 Cincinnati Flight Standards District Office 60 Vandalia Manufacturing Inspection District Office 5 Columbus Flight Standards District Office 40		
Cincinnati Flight Standards District Office 60 Vandalia Manufacturing Inspection District Office 5 Columbus Flight Standards District Office 40		
Vandalia Manufacturing Inspection District Office 5 Columbus Flight Standards District Office 40		60
		5
Cleveland Flight Standards District Office 31	•	
	Cleveland Flight Standards District Office	31

Cleveland Manufacturing Inspection District Office	5
Pittsburgh Certificate Management Office	46
Coraopolis Technical Service Center	9
Allegheny Flight Standards District Office	23
New Castle Airport Traffic Control Tower	25
Molokai System Support Unit	2
Kauai System Support Center	10
Honolulu Flight Standards District Office /	
Certificate Management Office	56
Honolulu Security Field Office	3
Honolulu Airports District Office	11
Honolulu Preventive Maintenance Team Hanger	24
Honolulu AFSS Building (Being repurposed for use by Oahu SSC)	<u>0</u>
	1379

The reports that were transmitted to the facility managers and Program Offices included a spreadsheet of items not in compliance with UFAS / ABAAS or items that were designated as "Section 504" which would be required to ensure Program Access at the facility. The reports included suggested remediations per ABAAS. The Section 504 items were designated separately to allow those items to be prioritized and expedited to provide Program Access for the facility. In general, the facilities had structural modifications made to provide access for people with disabilities. Due to geographic dispersion, facility layout and purpose, and age of the facilities; the facility modifications varied both in their adherence to UFAS / ABAAS. The facilities built or modified after UFAS and ABAAS training was provided by the Facility Accessibility Program Office have been substantially in compliance with the applicable accessibility standard.

To date the Facility Accessibility Program Office and the Regional Accessibility Focal Points have conducted 271 facility surveys with a total staffing of 29,646. In addition, the Terminal Facilities Group has included UFAS / ABAAS compliance in 103 Government Transition Evaluations and 181 Condition Assessments. A total of 555 FAA staffed facilities have been surveyed for UFAS / ABAAS compliance.

The surveys conducted to date show that restrooms continue to be the primary area of concern. This is due to a combination of design and installation errors over the years. The older facilities have modified toileting areas over time. Many of the errors were caused by individuals knowing that improvements were required but not knowing which standards to follow. The lack of knowledge is being remedied by providing ABAAS training, but there are still areas of concern that need to be identified and corrected during on-going modernizations and other project work. Other areas that have been found to be problematic are: Emergency Egress and Areas of Rescue Assistance, locker rooms and showers, routes of travel (interior and exterior), and older (but still post August 12, 1968) construction and installations.

#### Disseminated GSA / Access Board implementation information and requirements for the Architectural Barriers Act Accessibility Standard (ABAAS):

The Facility Accessibility Program Office has developed e-mail lists of individuals who have attended UFAS or ABAAS training classes, Regional Accessibility Focal Points, and interested individuals. These lists are used to disseminate information and updates from GSA and the Access Board within the FAA.

#### Conducted two classes on Real Estate Contracting Officer specific responsibilities in complying with ABAAS:

The FAPO conducted two classes for Real Estate Contracting Officers on the "ABAAS Requirements for Parking and Restroom Specific Issues" for new or renewing leases. In response to additional concerns raised by the FAA Real Estate Contracting Officers, the FAPO

developed a class on "Accessible Route; Doors; Drinking Fountains; Break Room Requirements" that will be presented during FY13.

#### Served as a resource to the FAA to resolve issues involving facility accessibility and ABAAS compliance:

Served as a point of contact for the FAA program offices, architects, engineers, space coordinators, and real estate personnel for issues involving facility accessibility and ABAAS compliance.

# **TAB 13**

**FAA Organization Chart** 

