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ABSTRACT
The purpose of this project vas to increase the reading achievement of students in kindergarten through grade 8 in three rural schools in Shasta County, California. Current practices in the teaching of reading and the fine arts were analyzed and recommendations were made for the implementation of new techniques and methods to be incorporated into the reading program. It was hoped that these innovati, ns would result, at the end of the project, in students reading at significantly higher levels. Activities consisted of the finalization of progran design and the printing and distribution of an operational program manual. A teacher inservice program provided instructional activities to promote teacher acquisition of predeterained skills. (Author/WR)
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The project is intended to increase the reading achievements of students In grades K-9 in three rural schools in Shesta County. The teachers will analyze cursent practices and recommend and implement new techniques and methods tri be incorporated into the reading program.
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## ESEA TITLE III

COMPONENT I
STATISTICAL DATA

1. Project Subjects
1.1 [y - Lunguje Arts (nevelopmont)
$1.2 \times x$ - Fine Arts
$1.3 \square$ - Foreigr Language
$1.4 \square$ - Yathematics
$1.5 \square$ - Science
$1.6 \square$ - Social Science, Humanitics
I.7 - P.E., Recreation, and Fealth
$1.8 \square$ - Vocational Education
1.9 - Other
2. Guidance, Counseling, and Testing
$3.1 \square$ - Comseling with Handicapped
3.2 $\square$ - Goup Guidance Activities
$3.3 \square$ - Grour Counselins
$3.4 \square$ - Career Guidance and Counseling
$3.5 \square$ - Comseling with Special Problems
$3.6 \square$ - Dse of Paraprofessionals
$3.7 \square$ - Parent Conferences
3. Handicanad Etucarion
$2.1 \square$ - Sentaily Retaried
$2.2 \square$ - Hard of Hearing
$2.3 \square$ - Deaf
$2.4 \square$ - Speech Impaired
$2.5 \square$ - Visually Handicapped
$2.6 \square$ - Seriously Emotionally
$2.7 \square$ - Cripturbed
$2.8 \square$ - Dther Health Impaired
$3.9 \square$ - Inservice Training
3.10 - Use of Commity Resources
$3.11 \square$ - Curriculum Developant
$3.12 \square$ - General Counseling
$3.13 \square$ - Consultation with Teachers
3.14 $\square$ Program Evaluation and Development
4. Grate Levels
4.1 - Fresrbool (indicate ages 3 or 4)

4-2 $\sqrt{2}$ - Elementary (indicate grades $\mathrm{X}-6$ ) $\square$
4 $\sqrt{x}$ - Seimadary (indicate grades 7-12) $\square$
45 - Jomior college (indicate grades 13-14)
$45 \pi]$ - Acrult
5. Is your project an adoption or adaptation of anocher Title III project? $\square$ Yes

If yes, מure the agency operating the project: $\qquad$


COMPONENT II

DATA FOR U.S. OFFICE OF EDUCATION

Data for U. S. Office of Education

## BEST COFY Available

(To be completed tor all projects active for any period between July 1972 - Through June 30, 1973. Agencies having more than one project must prepare a report for each project.)
Enter information jor items 1 through 7.

1. $\frac{\text { \#1054 }}{\text { Project :o. }}$

Development Skills for Rural Schools
Project Title
-
4. Ray Darby

Name of scinocl official responsible for chis report
(916) 243-2162
phone No.
6. The 1972-73 school year has been
6.1 $\square$ The first year of operation.
6.2 The second year of operation.
$6.3 \square$ The third year of operation.
7.

Enter the following ending dates:

| Ending date for first year | June 30, 1972 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ending date for second year | June 29, 1973 |
| Ending date for third and final year. |  |




 petencies of $\therefore$ : figures in coinnan tio and tiree.

| $1972-73$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) <br> Definition of Staff: | (2) <br> Total ko. of Farticipants (Unduplicate!) in all activities. | (3) <br> No. of worishops, conferences and seminars hold be tore ni frainir? |  |  |  |
| Definition of Staff: <br> (Staff inen: ins gll <br> personnel aujigned <br> to work an :ne <br> project iu il or <br> part tima, wiether <br> paid by th: district <br> or the project.) |  | Dissemi- <br> ration to <br> spread <br> informa- <br> tion <br> about <br> project | E:3Iusticn to aryaise progress | Ccmbinaticn of díscsmiraticn \& evaluation | Uúhsr, slich as in-3ervice euncation. Spここify (Use kack of this page.) |
| $\rightarrow$ | 23 | 1 | $1:$ |  | See back of this page |

PART II - EXTEITT OF ALOPTIC:/ADAPTION

## 1972-1973

The purpose of this section is to find out how many projects are beir:y continued to sc:e extant by the grantee or by other school districts after federal funds have expired.

The report should be li-ited to projects for which foderal funds expired during the period July 1, 1972 tirouji Jing j0, 1973. If the grantee district expects to contirine the grojent tu some extent during the next fiscal year, this should be reportad by =arking the box. The estimated extent of adoption or adaption by the grantee district should be shown by circling the appropriate percentage figure in the scale.

1. The project is being contin:ied by the prantee in some form after federal funds expired. $x$ Ies $\square$ liro
2. If the answer is YES, draw a circle around the one figure which best $\therefore$. represents your estimate of the degrec of adoption/adaption of the project in your school district.

| 208 | 30\% | 10\% | 50\% | 60\% | $70 \%$ | 80\% | 90\%: | 100x |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

PRBT IT - Continund
3. Is the project being adopted or adupted by other school districts?
$\square$ Yes $X$ No
4. If the ansuer is YES, list the sctool distitets by name and address:


### 4.10

- 




1. Nam: Tit?
2. $N=$
$\qquad$ 2:12
3. K 응 $\qquad$ Titla $\qquad$



 developias seill ameas or additwizol ch:anges in:
Renta*
Examples

deeds assesinnt, goal sciting, planning (witing), 1มple:matation, 9te.
1 Staptra?
Rosilitige in ailat soills or attitudinol chonge
2 Payntis
 school 3ctivities
7 coctuity:nolvant
Instances oi coizitity participation other than pareats


Have the prosikets deroloped by tion poojeet, i.e.,
 Mathoin: inditialize instrutions, wa of aides, ets.: bsun put to use berond. project requiremeni? Wist utier sxinpla3.
4. Kannas 2 ni, nil

Have the pioject aetivitizs rejolted in increased accountability in other learning situations?
Hist under exmples.
Other -Pienacenciain

Use this spase to give exxples oi iteas raveed 1 and 2.

1. Skills in individualization of instruction and the use of firie arts in the classroom were greatly improved because of pesitive attitudinal changes toward these phases of training.
2. Regular and systematic teacher assistanca by parent volunteers is an integral part of the project. All parent participation is enthusiastic and efficicent and is now carried on without instigation by product personnel.

Is a resilt of garticipation in ESEi, Title III endeavors

* Informition derized will insiasta areas of greatest ingast - ifmber 1 most impact dumber 7 (or zore) least impast.

The purpose of this part of the renre is to find out the actual direct or Indirect participation of public and pri ate school pupils and adults in the project during the 1972-73 operational period.

Any participation should be reported only once. The count should be based
.- On actual participation during the $1972-73$ school year. The numbers are almest certain to be different from those anticirated in the project application.

- . The United States Office of Education definitions should be applied:
-i.. Direct Particination - Enter the number of different persons participating In activities involving face-to-face interastion of pupils and teachers designed to produce learning, ir a classroom, a center or mobile unit; or $\Rightarrow \quad$ receiving other special services.

Indirect Particination - Enter the number of different persons visiting or viewing exnibits, demonstrations, museum displays; using naterials or equipment developed or purchased by the project; attending performances of plays, syaphonies, etc.; vieuing television instruction in a school, $=\quad$ a conter, or home; or participating in other similar activities. Carefully srepared estimates are acceptable.

Elementary - For reporting purposes only, consider elementary as being Prekindergarten through Grade 6.

Secondary - For reporting purposes only, consid $r$ secondary as being Grades 7 through 12.

- Please supply the information requested for the project.

Table A

| Schoois <br> - (a) | Staff whose students were direct particionnts |  |  |  | Scaff whose students were indirect participants |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Teachers |  | Counselors |  | Teachers |  | Counselors |  |
|  | Elementary <br> (b) | Secondary (c) | Elementary (d) | Secondary <br> (e) | Elementary <br> (f) | Secondary <br> (g) | Elementary <br> (h) | Secondary <br> (i) |
| Public | 16 | 5 |  |  | 16 | 5 |  |  |
| Nonpublic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The totals in the following 4 tables cust agrec one with the other. Also, 40 thot use duplicated figites in the first. 4 tables. The target population must be represented by the flijures wirn diruct participants are reported. See Cefinitions for direct and indirect in Part III.

## Table I

| Program <br> Select the program of your project. Use "other" eatc-ory if none apnly, |  | ```c. \\ Yo. of public scheiol students directly participatinz``` | Amount granted this past year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Readiti: | X | 566 | 328,297 |
| Envirotmenticoionv | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Model Citios ! cisan, Inner-6ity |  |  |  |
| Gifted |  |  |  |
| Handicerend |  |  |  |
| Guidance |  |  |  |
| Drug Edecation |  |  |  |
| Early Chicüiood Ėduction (Rindermarten an: be!o:\%) |  | . |  |
| Other Prosrans |  | - - |  |
|  | Total | 566 |  |

## Table II

? Provide unduplicated counts of students by grade levels. See instructions below:


Column a. Include the total enrollment in the local educational agency.
Column b. Include only the target population.
Column b, \& $c$. Sce definitions of direct and incirect for both colums.
Column d. Include an estinate of the nu:nber of target popilatien students wi:o have been in the project since its inception. A cumalative tetal of all years is requested. Provide an unduplicated count; therefore, do not count any student more than once.
Column c. Include an estimat: of the number of studerits within the local educational agency who have not been directly servicud by the project, but would benciit from direct participation becausbarhey fit the description of the target sodulation.

## Table IIL

Rural／Urban Rlstrifution of Public School，Nirect Particinants Served by Project－ Enter ：umber of cacn Category．See definitions at botcou of page． ミ：．：

| Rural |  | Metronolltan |  |  | Total ot all Caterories |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Farm | Non Farm | Low Socio－ Economic | Other | Other <br> Urban |  |
| 51 | 515 |  |  |  | 566 |

## Table IV

Distribution of Public School，Direct Participants by Froject－Enter Number of Each Group．N／A．An ethnic survey was not taken during the i972－73 school year． $\therefore$－

| Negro | Arerican <br> Indian | Spanish <br> Surname | Oriental | White | other <br> Nonehite | Total of all <br> aroups |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Recap of Totals for Tables I，II，III and IV．
：$:$－

Total of Column c．，Table I
566
566
：Total of Colum b．（Nublic School），Table II
：Total of cill Catecuries，Table III
：Total of All Groups，Table IV
566
n／a
：：．
The totals on each line above should agree one with the other．
$\therefore \therefore$ ：

## Definitions：

－：ニュー
Rural means an outlyins area of less than 2,500 inhabitants．
Low socio－sconemic means an area of low socio－economic level within a city of 50，000 iniabilaits or more．
－Other means areas in cities of 50,000 or more inlabitants which are other than Iow socio－ccononic areas．
－Dther Urban means areas（including suburbs）with less than 50,000 but more than 2，500 inhabitants．

Table $V$
Mrorite mimer of Schools in the Eraject.

| EIementary | Public | Fionnablic |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Eacondary | 3 |  |

## Table VI N/A

Number of Students Served Directly by Unique Target Populätions (Fibures cay be duplicatel)

| Scudents <br> (8) | Indians <br> (b) | Nisrants <br> (c) | Disadiantased <br> (d) | Har licapped <br> (e) | Childizad Efucution (Kgtri $\varepsilon_{e}$ Belos) (f) | OEiver Ta=52t Popislations (Sic note belor') (今) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note for Colun ( $($ B) check popalations included in the monerentered above. Crildzen from non-English speaking environment.

Neglected and delinquent. children.
Cfifted
N.H.

ETR
Diropouts
Oeher (specify) $\qquad$

## Table VII

Complete the thle below as directed. Compute full time equivalent (F.T.E.) according to the instructions under the table.
Paid staff are district per:omel who receive remuncration from Title III funds. Unpaid staff are di:trict persuncl who do not receive renuneration from Title III funds but give :invice to the project. Ingraded classas are included in Other category.

| Type of paid d:d ínpaid Personnel By Function | : Kurijer of paid stafif Assigned to Project (F.T.E.) | Sumber of linpaid Stalf Assižned to Project (F.T.E.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Administratse tuior sutervisors | 1.5 |  |
| Teachers |  |  |
| Pre inex |  |  |
| Kinar ${ }^{\text {anece }}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Secascary , - 2 |  |  |
| Other |  |  |
| Subject ratur |  |  |
| Technici:ats |  |  |
| Pupil parsen\%o Mrirs |  |  |
| Health s:r:je\% -xtsjancl |  |  |
| Researchars 0 : $\therefore$ Ultacors |  |  |
| Planners a - ... $\because$-hatrs |  |  |
| Disseminatorj |  |  |
| Other proi ss and |  |  |
| Paraprofessizn! emarion aides, atc. |  |  |
| Other noantat and | . 5 |  |

- To compute full-time cauivalent (F.T.E.), add the total number of hours worked per week by lice personaci and divile oy the number of hours in your regular full-time work reck. For example: If each of four staff eembers works 20 hours per we $k$, each of two staff riembers works ten hours per week, and each of ten staff merbers works full time (assume 40 hours for this example), the total hours vorked would be 80 plus 20 plus 400 , or 500 hours. This total of 500 hours divided by 40 yields an F.T.E. Eigure of 12.5.


## Table VIII

## Complete as directed.

Slumber of consultants paid by Title III funds 10 - momber of consultant days paid for by Title III funds 361374

Table 1 X
Complete as dirocted for the 1972-73 term.
Number of fublic school professional staff who attended Title III Iaservice:
... .-
Orientation sessions up to one week's.duration


Number of aides (nonprofess:onal staff) who attended Title III Inservice:

Inservice wortshons in regular term of one -.. session to four-weaks' duration

Inservice workshops in regular term over four-weeks' duration

Inservice workshops in summer 1972 one session to four-weeks' duration

Inservice workshops in sumer 1972 over: four-weeks' duration

College credit courses : regular terw
College credit courses = summer term


Estimate Carefully
Title III Funds
Spent on Training

## Table $X$

Complete as directed.
Number of nonpublic school professional staff involved in Title III inservice in the 1972-73 term $\qquad$ 2 -

## Table XI

Enter number of teachers, aides, and students involved in a Title III, 1972, sumery school designed to provide instruction to students.

| Grades | Pro: | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reachers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aides |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

You and/or mambers of your Project staff may have worked with hisher education personnel during the 1972-73 project year (last year). We are interested in the type (formal and infermal), and the extent (cost and hours) of any cosperation. Formal participation refers to services perforted with remuneration. Informal participation refers to help without remuneration. Please estimate the cost and number of man. days associated with each of the following:
(a) Identifying and/or developing desirable content or educational procedures to be used (program development).
(1) $\$$ cost; (2) number of man-days: $\qquad$ formal and $\qquad$ informal
(b) Search for evaluation help, i.e., for instruments or procedures to be used for evaluation.
(1) $\$ 1,629$
cost; (2) number of man-days: 7\%
formal and
$\qquad$ informal
(c) Planning andor implementing stafe development programs (inservice training for project staff).
(1) \$
cost; (2) number of man-days:
$\qquad$ formal and $\qquad$ informal
(d) Please indicate any other participation.
(1) $\$$ $\qquad$ cost; (2) number of man-days: $\qquad$ formal and $\qquad$ informal



TITLE OF PPJJCT
New Dimensions in Language Developrant Skills for Rural Setonols

gargerpopularon i ive huridrud and sixty－six siauents in grades riad living in cominuni－

PARAGRAPHCEEEGIPT：UV
The praject $=y \equiv t e$ enatically accomplished each function as designed by the tine －Iine by feveljping the structure of each task within the office，and thencrecruit－ ing the help of the teacher task force and various consultants to complete those functios．

Increzse the current reading achievement of students in grades K－8 in three rural scholls in Shaste County by analyzing curront practices in the teaching of reading and the fine arts and recomanading and implemanting new dimensions to the esteb－ lished prograte，that will result at the termination of the project，with students reading at significantly higher levels as determined by evaluation design．
activities ro acm－rve تjecives Activities consisía oi inialization af progran design and the ！rinting and distribution of an operational program manual．A teacher Inservice p：craim provided instructional activities to provide teacher acquisition of predetermiriod neoded $5 k i l l s$, the development ond implementation of evaluation data analyzed and saparted on schedule，implenentation and monitared．instructionsl progran with teacher suppart in follouing ihe project design，operational revisions and use of instructional materials and preparation and oistribution of project



| －Tppe of | －munjerof ehildoren serveo |  |  |  |  of pa．jsterfrn：20．0：PAIS WITHTITLE IIIFLが心 |  |  |  |  IN－SERJIEE TTA •OME WITM TITLE HIFUNOS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cappeo <br> CNILDAEM semven <br> （o） | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline 45 & E-12 \\ \text { TEARS } & \text { VAHE } \\ \text { (B) } & \text { (C) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $17 \%$ 2050 （0） | TOTAL <br> 10 | \|ГСАСНЕ <br> （3） | reACmen AICES <br> （h） | Ormen (1) | rotal | \|EEACMERS| <br> （k） | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \text { PEAEAER } \\ \text { AIJES } \\ \text { AI) } \end{array}\right.$ | OTHER <br> （m） | TOrAL <br> （n） |
| $\cdots-\quad(1) T 1: R$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| －2）Ea：R | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| －（J） 1 H | ： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| －－（4）DEAF | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| －（5）St | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ．（6）17 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| （18）ED | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| －P）CR | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\therefore$（0） 20 | $!$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\because(20) 0: 11$ | $!$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| （18）Toral | ： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |




2 EALDREN SEA•\＆EO－Entir th the aEfroparte columas $b, c_{\text {．}}$ 4．and an unjurt．ijtes ccunt of ciristion scrved by type of
 gegroug tho restived sitice itirfu：t：uria or re！ated services
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PRORETP PEIRSCVNEL－Entct in the afpropruate colurans s．



 Aese penonnel who were xolmed to titie lll pivicit a：divilus so tours or more per wish（ur ini numher of huifs in a secro
lap wark necek．st sidiermined by the State ar laizl ctirceiforl
 month personnel．Column j should equal columins g．h．a．d $i$ ．

IN－SERVICE TRAl．NIAG－Fntio in tho aporontiate columas k．1．and m corresponding with F：amafy it fe oi hathle：egred chadren served an u：．dupitiated culint of all ecrsunnel who recerve in－semie training with Tisic Ifl funds．Columin n snould equal columns $k . l$ ，and $m$ ．

2．NON．PUELIC SCHOOLS－Of tite total number of handiearced children served with Tille IIt fumis／f．fll）．（f）．！indiate the gumber who 3 stinded nun－pubt：c schovis．
2 DISTRIBUTION BY ETHNIC GROUPS－Enier in the afpro－ prate coiamns b．6．d．e，f，arap an uadipliaatid count it tl：c handiuafeed children senis mith litle lll liands by itnnic wroup neembersilip．Cuiumn $h$ should equal columns $b, c, d, t, f$. and \＆．
4．DISTRIBUTION BY OEMOGRAPMIC AREAS－Selferplanatuiy．


PART VI - PRODUCTS OF PROJECT


I Language Kit includes:

1. Sequence Cancepts
(a) Perceptual Development
(b) Reading (Systematic Approach to Reading Improvement)
(c) Language Communication
2. Performance Objectives for:
(a) Percpetual Development
(b) Reading
(c) Language Communication
3. Three Tracking Sheets:
(a) Class Prafile Card
(b) Individual Prafile Card
(c) Student Planning and Recard Chart
4. Methads/Media References for each Objective
5. Recipe Furms for lessan plans from Reading/Language Identified Mathods/Media

II Criterion Referenced Fre: and Post-Tegts - SARI, Language Communication Primary and Upper Grades

III Art Products include:

1. Methods/Media Book
(a) Conceptual Design
(b) Performance Objectives
(c) Methods/Media References
2. Class Profile Sheets
3. Individual Profile Sheets
4. Syllabus for Art Instruction
(a) Sequential Development of the Expressive Elements of Art
(b) Lesson Plans for each Developmental Conceptual Level of Art Elements
(c) Language/Art Integration Recipe Form
IV Music Products
5. Music Methods/Media Book
(a) Conceptual Design
(b) Performance objectives
(c) Skill and Experience Identification
(d) Music Methads/Media References
6. Class Profile Sheet
7. Individua! Profile Sheet
8. Syllabus for Music Instruction
(a) Lesson Plans for each Developmental Canceptual Level of Music Elements
(b) Language/Music Integration Recipe Forms
(c) Criterion Reference Tests
$\checkmark$ Integration Matrix for Language, Art and Music
VI Recipe Boak for Language/Music Instruction
VII Dissemination Packet
VIII Bruchure

## FIMAT FEOMn bavom

ESEA, TITTR III
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Program Marrative Ronort

## The locnle
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1. What is the $100 n 10$ of the promen?
2. What is the dencity of the corilntion?
3. What aro tho irpaiaise: triss?

保. What are the :ajor occuinticns of roople in the locale?
5. What is the un anplozenent rate or trend?

E6. What proportion of families in the locale are receiving wolfare assistance?

Lacale of the project is in Shasta County situated in the extreme north end of the Secramento Valley; 230 miles north of San Francisco and coverine en area of 3,753 square miles. Headquarters for the project is in the city of Redding, one of the two incorporated cities of the county. Population for the county is 81,300 as recorded July of 1971, showing a growth of 44,897 since 1950 and a grouth of 21,832 since 1950. Statistics from the Labor inarket gulletin show thet occupations of the greatest number are government employed, the secord largest number are in retail and wholesale trade and third largest number are in manufacturing relating mostly to the lumber industry. Seasonal fluctuation of emplayment cccurs in the lumbering industry and construction because of weather. Lremployment trends remain stable in relation to one year ago. About $14 \%$ of the families in the locale recsive welfare assistance.
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1. What citio levels do the schools serve?
2. How many rapils ame ticre in the schcol system? How many selools?
3. Are there any sirniEicent trends in the school systam in enroileent, Withunsuil or tranzecr?
4. What is the per peril cost of ecucation in the school system?
5. What is the recent financial history of the scheol system?

Grade levels served by this project are kindergarten through eighth grede in three schools, with a total population of 56б. The population has remained unusually stable, having a difference of only two more students than at the haginning of the project. Per pupil cost per pupil in the system is : 534 . Financial histary of the system shows $55.54: 2$ of income from Secured Texes in the amount of $513,235,503$. 00 ; 31. 50 嫁 of income from State Suppart in the amount of $57,470,970$; 5.94\% fram Federal Income in the anount of $\$ 1,420,553.00$; and $6.72 \%$ in the amount of $\$ 1,593,677.00$ from other sources

## teir cury akillage

1. What was the starting point for noels assosisment?
2. How wers the suncific necis of tion preijs identiried?
3. What wore these specific needs? Wifch wore selected for the fromem?

The starting point for the needs assessment was an indepth study of the readingilanguage programs in the school districts and a study of socioeconomic influences on the students' attitude toward school and its effect ypon their academic perfarmance. It was determined that 60\% of the school population were far below state norms in reading and that $78 \%$ of all students were achicving far below their capacity level in language arts (language expression and mecharics). Low salary schedules for teachers contributed to scheal staffs which were unprepared to provide individualization of inetruction in reading/language and were unprepared for teaching fine arts end otter highly motivating student activities.

Because community conditions contributed to poar attitudes toward school; and sirice other reading programs in the schools were designe for only a few students, the needs were established on the premise that inadequate reading programs and lack of emphasis in the related arts were important missing ingredients in preparing students for better performance in reading and language arts.

It was then decided that the program would consist of a complete analysis, eveluation and rearganization of reading/language frograms, with an increased emphasis in related arts.

1: Pid the program exist prior to the time period covercd in the present repori?
2. Is the probram a modification of a previously existing program?
3. How did the rrocram cririnnte?

4: If special problems wore encountered in gaining acceptance of the program by parents and the commanity, how were these solved so that the program could be introduced?
5. Provide a brief history of planning. Indicate which planning efforís were successful or were not successful. Describe how non-profit private schoois and other agencies were involved in the planning.

When the causes of the low reading scores in the four project schools wére hypothesized and it was felt by the county office and the principals thet Inciusion of a fine arts componentrwithin the reading program might prove Geneficial, the caunty pledged its full support and cocperation. oliver (Bud) Neely, Assistant Superinternent in Charge of Instruction in the Shastà Eounty Office, contacted the Program Development Project of Nerthern Ealifarria to explore the possibility of a small-scale regional prajecit: $\overline{\text { A }}$ staff member from the center spent two days in Redding warking with Mr. Neely and gathering necessary data. At that time a rough functionai analysis wes completed and was later presented to each of the schools by Mf. Neely.

Extenuating circumstances prevented three of the four sēhōls, originajuy included in the program, from participeting. This resulted in the initiation of the pragram approximately one manth late according tio the functional. time ?ine. However, all functions were brought up to date by Fobruary;igī ard the planning year was successfully completed.

From the outset of the pilot phese to its cmmpletion, the eñinusiasm of parents and the community toward the project was extremely high. The effieiency and enthusiasm of the teaching staff rostered this eñthusiasm椔rough the many activities which included parent volunteers and involved the eommunity.
 in feading, art and music and the designing of a management system. by wich individualized instructional techniques provided for student acquisiion of those eancepts and an adequate evaluation design for the three strands öf the program. Reading concopts were selected from the Enterprise Lanouage Artṣ Communication System; art concepts were developed by the art staff ahd the music concepts were develaped from the state:music. framework:

Performance objectives and critefion reference tests in the reading añ language strands were developed and field tested orevious to the adaption by this project for initiation of individualized instruction. The performañe昭jeetives and criterion reference tests in art and music were successfuily developed, but were inadequately field tested for necessary revisions becausee ef the time element factor of having only one school term in which to experi= ment with such a large amount of diversified materials:

Evaluation strategies include standardized state mandáàd tésts in reãang and language, a locally developed attitudinal survey and a tracking system for recording and interpretation of criterion reference testing inall three strands of the project design.
 was instrumental in the original planning of the project and designed the evaluation strategies throughout the project operation:

1. Wat numans fid kines of faticirants wre served by the program?

:..:
Participants in the progran number 566 students, $k-8$ and include the entire population of the three schools.

The objectives of the pragrem are to raise reading/language scores by implementin? new dimensions to existing zragrams which invoive individualized instruction in the reading/lenguage proganms supported by art and music experiences. The intent is to develop a higher degree of senditivity in students whicn contribute to greater student value far new found skills and thich will, therefnre, result in significanlly increased stwent pe:formance. in communication skills.

1. What tir.is ari :uniers of preornel are adied Ey the prowne



2. binat sjaial froblems were cualt with in recruiting or raintaining staif?

The director is the only full time paid professional on the staff. However, consultants hired for special phases on a daily basis are as follods:•.
5. Reading Consultants - Ken Petrucelli, Director, Systematic Approach to Reading Improvement, Title III, E55.A - 21/2 days

Bobbie Bullard, Specialist in Early C'ildhood Education, Program Development Cenier of Northern California - 3 days

Mary Johnson, Director, Individualized Reading Center, Title III, ESEA - $1 \not 12$ days

Donald Schell, Fifth Grade Teacher, Shasta Union Elementary School - 1 day

Virgil Smith, Reading Specialist, Nova High School 1 day

5 Music Consultants - Dorothy Wilson, Professor of Music, California State University at Chico - 2’: days

Wilson Frigo, Music Teacher, Shasta County Schools Dffice - $161 / 2$ days

Karen Hafenstein, Music Teacher, Shasta County Schools Office - 7 days

Lucy Hunt, Music Teacher, Shasta County Schools Office - $17 / 2$ days

Lillian Vollmers, Music Consultant, Redding Elementary School District - 3 days

3 Art Consultants - Paul Carl, Art Consultant, Redding Elementary Schoal Dictrict - 8 days

Janice Kirk, Mrt Consultant, Redding Art Ruseum League - 13\% days

Maryann Satneral, Lecturer and Superyisar of Education and Teacher Education, University of California at Davis - liź days

2 Art Aides to
Art Program - Janice Kirk, Art Consultant, Redding Art Museum League - 16 days

Mary Hauss, Art Consultant, Redding Art Museum League - 17í days

1 Evaluator - Ira Nelken, Project Evaluatnr, Program Development Project of Northern California - 7/2 Jays

1 Percentual Developmerit Consultant

- Herman Uhite, Oistrict Psychologist, Enterprise School District - 2 days

4 Project Managenent
8 Design Consuitants

2 Consultants for
Development of
Attitudinal Survey

- Jack Lutz, Director, Basic Ṣkills Improvenient Project - $61 / 2$ days
- Ira Nelken, Praject Evaluator - 63i days

Reading consultants assisted in the selection of concepts ard gave workshop demonstretions. fiusic cansultants assisted in the development of the music program design, contributed methods/media and gave classroom and woskshop demonstrations. The consultants for perceptual development provided methods/ media and classicom cemonstagticns. Art consultants developed art concepts and program design and gave classroom and workshap demonstrations. $\because:$
Cooperation and enthusiasm by the entire consultant staff has remained quite high throughout the froject.

1. What is the feriod of time counre? by your rerort?
2. Ho: meh oi the entiro proman does this cover?
3. Where were program activities located?
4. What special physical arrangerents ware used in these locations?
5. What provicions, if any, were macie for periodic review of the program?
6. What important decisions ware mace on the bisis of such reviews?
7. What provisions, if any, were made for inservice training?

This report covers the project pilot year (2nd), 1972-73, and ends the pariod for which it was originally funded. Activities took place in threa rural elementary schools in Shasta County; Shasta Union, Columbia and Whitmore Union, and were within the normal plant operations of each school. A regular schedule was kept in monitoring and supporting activities in each school through weekly visits by the project director. Designated observetions by the project evaluator and the state consultant were cerried on as was planned. It was unanimassly decided by the evaluator, the state consultant, the director and the teaching staff that a third year of funding would be vital to the successful completion of some areas of the program, as the pilnt year could serve only to establish the workable design but could not complete the areas of study requiring more than one school term nor could it provide for time and funds for the necessary needed revisions in tine experimental phases as discovered during the pilot year

As a result, the three individual strands of the program became operational ..to a reasonably successful degree, but the integration of the three remains in the embryo stages of development.

1. What were the rain entivitios (or corviors) in the renuram?
 objectives?

 (or otions) : in secsity tiv proran?
2. How were yivis cronylice tion various rouran activitios?
3. What ware teachernil ratics (or aid-mpil, or adil-pifil, and so on) in each of these grou:riz?
4. How did fatis (or ofiors) recoive feedback on thoir incividual daily propress?
5. How did farests rewive foricack on their child's peogress?
6. That armints and kinds of miscice, roviox, and quiz activities were provided for pripis (cr others) in the prozam?

 activitics mai moinos uond in this group and the activities and metiods used with the proztom gioup?

Main activities of the progrem include the develoment and finalization of an operationsl progran uiesign, a teachar in-service prosram, the development and implerientation of an eveluation itrategy and the implementation and monitoring of the instructional progeam.

The operational progran planning resulted in 115 sequential performance objectives in $1=n$ giajea, 13 abjectives in art and 27 objectives in music with instructionzl sinsetegies for each as designed in the three program manuals, one for each strand.
Teacher in-service included workshop and classroom demonstration support for developing ercgran content and instructional activities according to predetermined nesfed skills.
The evaluation strategy consisted of the astablishment of learner-levels, identification and administration of assessment instruments, compilation of criterion reference data and the analyses and reporting of all data.
The instructional program was svstematically monitored through regularly scheduled class visitations according to identified teacher needs.

Revisions in the program design were considered and implemented as needs arose. Materials were accuired, prevared and distributed according to needs. froject descriptive materials were developed and disbursed upen request. Continuation olans were developed far project operation within limits of funding at district level anly and within limits of partially developed program design.
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Weckly scinechie of project activities in each schesi included: (1) Cne ression of cateriun reternce tesiang do ostablizh various performance levels and groigira and treseing of stutents in relationchin to the levels
 one period of =ri presentad er maniterea ay music or art consultants for the purpuese of tracking stucients theough the art centinuy and the music continuin. (3) Dne visitation per urak by the praject airector for support in cenpliance with project design and distursemant of spacial materials. Thase sessicne were lascoly devoted to the integration of the three strands of the project design during the last three months of the project.

```
Teacher-pupil ratio was as follows:
    Shasta Union - 5 aides - 9 teachers - 269 students
    Columbia - 2 aides - 9 teachers - 228 students
    Whitmore - 1 aide - 3 teachers - 69 students
```

Students receive feedback on individual proorsss in three ways: An indivdual track sheet is kept by each student for checking off each objective thallenged and accomplished. Teachers keep a class profile of all objectives by which eccamplishments may be compared between intividuals and betueen groups representing difierent levels. A profile card is also kept by the teacher thich represents performance levels of the individual student over a period of e years. Parents monitor the individual profile sheets kept by students and teachers via parent conferences at which time duplicates are given to parents.

Methods/media were collected, assembled or develpped and stored according tó their relaticnshio to designated objectives. Since activities were implemented according to perfornance levels and the apprapriate weekly Criterion reference testirg schedule in the reading/language strand. Art and music methedz/media was carried on, within limits of teacher experiences, between visite by fine arts consultants. Musical programs, art shows and other sharing expeifences were scheduled periodically to encourage pupils and teachers to put these fine arts techniques to use. Enthusiasm for all three strands of the project remained very high among students and teachers. .

Comparision grougs used relativeiy little individualization of instruction in reading/language, and received no assistance in art instruction. Music teachers visit some comparisen groups on a ueekly basis, but never combine efforts of classroom teazhers and music teachers and never relate commonalities between reading programs and fine arts programs.

1. Were special materials developed or adapted for the program? How and by whom?
2. What other major items of equipment and materials did the program require? In what amounts?
3. How were key aids and materials used in connection with the various program activities?
4. If a comparison is being made between program and nonprogram persons, were there important differences between these groups in kinds and amounts of materials provided, or in methods of use?

Special materials were developed in perceptual development and the fine arts. Methods/media for motor training--auditory discrimination and visual discrimination were identified, collected and stored according to objectives in that area of the reading/language strand. In this area, special materials were developed relating music and art to perceptual develofment abjectives.

A kit was devised by the staff for the reading/language strand which contains: (I) Iists of sequenced. concepts.in perceptual development, reading and language communication; (2) sequenced performance objectives for each concept; (3) lists of methods/media reference sheets for each objective. Media centers relating to the praject contain file boxes which identify objectives in which are collected worksheets, games and activities for each objective.

Two manuals for the art program and the music program were developed by the art staff and the music staff. Each contains: (l) lists of concepts in three performance levels involving 6 expressive elements of art and 9 expressive elements of music; (2) performance objectives for each concept; (3) a list of skills and experiences needed for accomplishment of each objective; (4) grade level lesson plans for each sequential concept.

As teachers become familiar with the fine arts skills, as developed and supervised by fine arts consultants, lessons are invented which put to use those art and music skills that relate to language strand concepts. This is done by using an integration matrix which identifies common intellectual processes between the three strands for 18 language categories represented by the sequential continuum. A "Recipe" booklet of such prescriptions ars in the process of being devised by members of the teaching staffs.

Comparison groups used no comparative field tested reading/language objectives or related materials. No comparitive art or music materials were used in comparitive groups.

1. From what surens wan? procram funds obtained?
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2. What was the tatal coct o? tiv Funcm?
3. What piricl oi tirn ans covered by inese funds?
4. Wat is the far eupil cost of the program? hat was the forma for compating this figure?
5. How does the par puill cost of the prouram compare with the normal per pupil cost of the schools in the morram?
6. Where can the reader rot more detailed buicet inforwation?
7. Of the total cost oi the prosran, cive rough collar estimates of develormental coste, implementation costs and oporational costs.
8. Give the casts for the ontire project period by budget categories (i.e., professionil salaries, contracted services, etc.).

No.'s 1 through 7 are contingent upon final expenditure report. The only funding saurce was Title III, ESEA. Cost of the program was \$66,059. Per od of time covered by these funds was July 1,1971 through June 30, 1973. Per pupil costs of the program is

Comparison of per pupil costs to normal per pupil costs of schools in the program is

The praject detail budget will give more adequate information and description of project expenditures.

Implementation costs
Operational costs
Professional: :\$16,877; Noriprofessional: \$3,169; Contracted Services: \$5,300;
Materials \& Supplies: \$1,435; Travel: \$400; Other Expenses: \$1,116

1. What $=7 n$, if eny, did rerents have in the rrogram?
2. Wore mectines held with meontz? hy? :me oiten?
3. What role, if any, dia various cominity eroups have in the program?
4. How was the corimity bept iriored?
5. If problews with parents or the comunity affected the program, wat steps, if any, were taken to remedy the situation?

Parent voluntegrs were used to scme extent as aids in the reading/language program. Five parents were used as full-time aids employed by the school districts.
The art program involved the greatest number of parent volunteers. Training was given 25 parents for special art techniques used in art workshops for student.c. There were four warkshops given during the year. Each involved the entire stufent body and utilized approximately 2, n hours. Eight to $^{\prime}$ ten tatles, each with special art projects to be done and eac. supervised by a parent trained for that special technique, were placed in a multipurpose room. Students worked at any or all iailes of their choice during the alloted time period. The parents, with training in these special techniques, were then used as assistants in clessram activities at the classroom teachers request.
Training was given these parents in $1 / 2$ hour meetings prior to the workshops. The Redding Art Huseum League was the most active community grap involved in the progrem. This group volunteered aides to the art consultants for classroam assistance.
The community was kept informed through public student performances and art shows. Alsa, a display decrribing the praject design and its operation was shown at a county wide education fair.
No problems with parents or community arose during the year. On the contrary, the parents involved in the art workshops requested and received permission from the districts to offer extra art workshod without assistance from the project staff or consultants. Their efforts proved very successful.

For use of potential ndopters of the program:

1. Wat nolifications of the prourna are possib?e?
2. What are the sureseted stons in adopting this proeram?
3. That are some things others sho:ld avoid in adoptins this progran?
4. Can the program to phased in, begirning on a small scale? How?
5. Can parts of the program be adopted without taking the whole program? What parts?

Possible modifications of the program are as follows: (1) Any of the three strands of the program may be used independently to effectively improve reading/language scores, but to a lesser degree of improvement as with the integration of the three. (2) The art and/or music programs can be adapted to any established reading/language program by designing identified skills in that program to the more general identified skills of the Cooperative Primary and CTES tests which are used in the integration matrix for combining the three strands. (3) The language strands of this frogrem may be adapted to any established art and/or music program by identifying within those programs the concepts which are identical to these programs. An integration of two of three strands would then be relatively uncamplicated.
Suggested steps in adapting this program are: (1) Perusal, adaption/adoption of the reading/language continuum relative to specific needs. Secure resources (personnel and materials). (2) Implement readirg/language continuum. (3) Perusal, adaption/adoption of art and music continuum relative to specific needs. Secure resources (personnel and materels). (4). Implement art and music programs. (5) Implement integration of language, art and music programs.
In adapting the program, others should avoid attempting to implement all four phases of the program in one school term. It is advisable to allow each strand of program to become independently operable over a reasonable period of time before beginning the integration of the three strands.

The program could be phased in at the beginning on a small scale more effectively than to try to implement the whole program at once.

$$
\begin{aligned}
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Discuss how project information was disenainatht during the past budget period.

1. Provide an estimate of the number of unsolicited requests for informlion frow both within and outside the project area.
2. List the number of visitors from outside the project area.
3. Provide the cost of dissemination during the last budget period.
4. Provide the total most of discorination including prior budget periods (if possible).
There were an estimated ten unsolicited requests for information about the project turing the year. There have been no been minimal because of the project area. Costs for disseject. However, brochures and exhibits the partial completion of the prof er and a packet for dissemination of for the Shasta County Education developed at an approximate cost of $\$ 6$. basic project information were the two years was approximately $\$ 243$. Total dissemination cos. .
5. Wiich participants received the prorram?
a. ! : $\quad$-r.jp perticipants received the praram?
6. mint are the ares or grade levels of firils in the prozram?
7. Iid the frogram serve many more boys than girls, or vice versa?
8. hint achievement scores were arailable berore the proeram with which to ceecribe the program group?
9. Are there other special characteristics you should mention in describing the proiram Eroup?

Particlpants receiving the program were the entire student bady of the thite ru:al schools numbering 566 students in grades kindergarten through eighth grade, with an approximate•equal number of girls and boys.
Base line data for achievement scores was taken from resuits uf state mandated standardized tests; Cooperative Primary and CTBS.

1. What meazures were applied to find out whether the froyran's aims were achicued?
2. How were the enasures matched to the objectives?
3. How were the weasures matchod to the pupils' capabilities?
4. Were obseryers specially trained?
5. How much titize elapsed between testings?

Standerdized tests (Cocperative Frimary and CTBS), used in terms of the program management process, was the project evaluation process.

An attitudina assessment was developed and validity and reliability established before the survey was administered. Standardized test results were adapted to the overall evaluation design as it applies to each objective.
Measures were matched to pupil capabilities in terms of achievement and attitude.

No. 4 is not applicable.
Elapsed time betwee, tests is as follows:
Cooperative Primary - May, 1972 to May, 1973
CTBS - Detaber, 1972 to May, 1973
Attitude Assessment Survey - October 1972, to January, 1973 to May, 1973

1. What data wro ohtired frov ite measures nemict?
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2. What $E$ assures of esntral teritency ware uesd?

4. Include exanis andor tables which presont data more clearly.

Student scoros were obtained for each class, each grade, and each school from each measurement applied.

Program data in terms of the project invalved comple fion or nancompletion of functions, problems versus time factors and objectives met on time or not met on time.
Measures dí central tendency involved class median and mean, longitudinal survey and control group.
Measures of dispersion were used in terms of standard deviation and variance when applicable.

Refer tz graphs attached.

GRAPH I
POST-TEST RESULTS: MUSIC SUBTEST
TARGET VERSUS CDNTROL POPULATIONS oUER GRADE LEVELS
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A Jontral Population
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KINDERGARTEN PRE - MID - POST RESULTS: READING
```



- Target Population
© Contral Population
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1. What amiyens :re undert.ken of the tata?
2. What was the insis for juging the recgece of the program group?
3. What comarisons vere dman for sabsanples?
4. What evidnace is there that those wio attemach more gained more from the prograz?

Program analysas was in terms of time and effectiveness and of process objectives and products produced.
Student analyses involved analyses of state mandated tests in terms of longitudinal histary in comparison with control graups.
Judgemint of progress in the program group was tased on the amount of achievement in mandated tests by longitudinal comparison of praject and control schcols, and the attitudinal changes of students as recerced in the attitudinal survey in the project schools versus control schools. No subsizeples were used in the program. See the firal product evaluation reoort for information concerning evidence that thase whotatended more gained more from the program.

1. What were the interim objectives of the program?
2. State the findings in orciinary language for each objective.
3. Indicate clearly success or failure for each objective.
4. Can the findings be generalized, or are they applicable only to the group served by the proeram?
5. What were the causative factors for uncet objectives?
6. What are the other important findings which were not anticipated?

Interim objectives were as follaws:
List accepted performance objectives in language/art/music.
Complete sequential activities to achieve performance in language/ art/music.
Raview/select instructional strategies.
Develap/distribute operational pragram manual.
Review/prepare statement of teacher in-service needs.
Deselop tatal in-service program (content/activities).
Lisat/secure required resaurces (materials/personnel).
Schedule/implement in-service pragram.
Establish anticipated learner levels in language/art/music. Identify/acquire assessment resources (including test instruments). Develop test administration schedule.
Develop/distribute recording system for compilation of criterion reference test data.
Develop evaluation report requirements.
Analyze compiled data.
Prepare/distribute evaluation reports.
Suppart participating teachers in implementation.
Monitor instructional pragram to insure compliance with program design.
Consider/implement operational revisions as required to meet program objectives.
Determine/acquire instructional materials as required.
Prepare/distribute program descriptive material.
The listing of accepted performance objectives in language, art and :music was successfully completed as scheduled. Project staff/teacher committees (reading, art, music) determined and tested the accepted performance abjectives.
Sequential activities to achieve performance in language, art and music were completed in accordance wi th the functional time line. The activities in language and in art and in music were ordered independently. Sequencing activities were performed by staff/teacher committees.
Instructional strategies were selected after due consideration. Three altermate strategies were identified and considered.

Cansictatetian :us qivan to those etratonies from the stantpoint of cost

 uas determinity to ua cancitu!agaly lauar than the other tuo strategies in that it uas thn mait cay ible in neeting the students' needs and could accomplish tho iritructional design more effectivaiy.
The operational prugran menull uas successfully completed for use in the pilat year of the projoct, with revisions for future use recommended as the related mbrialls were field tested. Content of the manual includes three separat. unol: 3 ; one for each strand: language, art and music. Their use is for tetermination of prescriptions to meet learner deficiencies and to deternine needed student skills. Teacher analyses of the three led to use of an integration matsix and "recipe book" for the inter-linking of thrra strands.
Teacher in-sorvice needs were reviewed and instructional skills listed. Expressed needs were determined by the Praject Directar and staff in formal/ informal discussions and observations with target school teachers.
The total development of the in-service program involved deternination of required materials, neaded consultants, needed instructional madia, the scheduled development and implementation of the total program. See the final process evaluation report for more detail.
Learner levels were established by a review of objectives by the staff; entry levels for each student on each strand were determined. Student's potential enc-of-year skills levels in each strand was determined using the teacher assessments of the student's patential and the diagnostic student base line data per strand.
Assessment rescurces included standardized test instruments (Cosperative Primary, CTGS), an attitudinal survey instrument and criterion referenced pre- and post-tests.
The testing administration schedule was developed which included testing of the general target population in October and May with random sample interim praduct testing done in January.
A recording system for compilation of criterion referenced test data included the determination of collection and recording needs, the development of forms for individual and class profile sheets and the development of recarding pracedures.
The development of evaluation report requirements determined the data required to show program effectiveness through use of a reparting format schedule.
Data was collected, analyzed and reported in accordance with the functional time line.
Support for participating teachers was provided through determination of teacher suppart needs, scheduled class visitations by the Praject Directar and the consultants and special training session in warkshops relating to special needs.
The instructional pragram was monitored through the scheduled class visitations twice a weak by the Project Director to ineure compliance with the project deaign.

Operational revisions were considered and implemented as need arose and as required to meet program objectives. Hany revisions were identified, but not implemented because of the need for a third year in which to procesi them.
Needed rencirces for the operational program were systematically idencified anci the necessary instructional materials were purehased according to the proposed budget and according to the instructional design.
Descriptive faterials far the project were designed, developed and distributed according to established needs.
Final reports were completed on schedule for use in recommending project continuation without funding.

1. What were the project obj"etivos of the prozram?
2. Stats the findines in orinary lenguage for each obieative.
3. Indicate ciearly cuccesis or failure for each objective.
4. Con the findin:s be cennailized, or are they applicable only to the group server ty the moogren?
5. What were the causative iuctors for unmet objectives?
6. What are the other inportant findings which were not anticipated?

The project objectives are as fallows:

1. Review and finalize operational program design.
2. Flan and implement teacher i.n-service program.
3. Cevelop and implement pragram evaluation strategy.
4. Implement and monitor instructional program.

Objective Na. I
Performance criteria met.: By the end of August, the teacher task force had in their possession insuructional level perfarmance objectives in language, art and music with the suggested sequential activities. Instructional strategies were identified and the "Operational Pragram Manual" was published and distributed to the teachers. The."Dperational Program Manial" consists of three baoks--for the language arts strand, one for the music strand and one for the art strand. Each manual contains the functions of the strand and includes suggested madia, suggested teaching methods, and learner responses. An integration matrix (and form) has also been developed which allows for the consistent use of all three manuals and recording of results. A pragram recipe baok (and forms) for language arts/music and language arts/art recipes (i.e., Prescriptions) is also an integral part of the develcped program. The objective was, thus, very successful in developing an operational design with a functional supportive management format.
Objective No. 2
In-service needs, program content and instructional activities and the necessary resaurces (persannel and materials) were identified at a preschoal warkshap. They were developed successfully at the workshop and during the first part of the school year and implemented successfully throughout the year. Participating teachers show evidence of having acquired predetermined needed skills far operation of the project in its present stage of development.

## Objective No. 3

The pragram evaluation strategy was successfully developed and implemented. Anticipated learner levels were established early in the year and the assessment resaurces identified and scheduled. A recording system far compiling criterion reference test data was develaped and implemented

## best copy avalable

and evaluation report ronuirements were developed. Data was analyzed and distributud on schecdule. An attitudinal (affective damain) survey instrument was developed and administered ard the data col?ected, analyzed and repartot.

Dbjective Na. 4
The instructianal program has been successfully implemented and monitared through schediled class visitations, for support of participating teachers, by two art coiisultants, three music consultants and the praject directar to insure corpliance with the project design. Revisions were cansidered and implemnatsd as required. Instructional materials were acquired as needed. Cescriptions of the praject were prepared and distributed as needs arase. Continuation plans for further operation without special funding were develaped and recommended. Evaluation determined that monitaring was affective in achieving project objectives.
SUMMARY OF OBJECTIVES ACCOMPLISISED
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## FINAL PRODUCT EVALUATION REPORT

# NEW DIMENSICNS IN LANGUAGE DEVELOPMENT SKILLS FOR RURAL SCHOOLS PROJECT \#1054 

## SHASTA COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS

CONDUCTED BY IRA NELKEN

NORTHERN CALIFORNIA P.A.C.E. CENTER

JUNE 25, 1973

To increase the current reading achievement of students in Grades $K$ through 8 in three rural schools in Shasta County by analyzing current practices in the teaching of reading and she fine arts and recommending and implementing new dimensions to the established programs, that will result, at the termination of the project, with students reading at significantly higher levels as determined by evaluation design.

## I. SUMMARY

The reading test data indicates considerable project success in increasing reading performance in the project's target population in 1972-73 (measured by gain scores) in comparison to reading performance of a control population (1972-73) and in comparison to the target populations previous reading performance gains (1971-72),

## II. DESCRIPTION OF TESTS ADMINISTERED

Dates of test administration are found on Tables I - III and Tables V - IX. Grades $4-6$ used the CTBS Reading Test, Form 2Q. Grades 7-8 used the CTBS Reading Test, Form 3Q. Grades I.-3 used the Cooperative Primary Test, Reading.

## III. DESCRIPTION OF DATA

Mean grade equivalent scores were calculated from all available test data. The grade ievel by school results may be found in tabulated form in Tables I - X: Summary of Measurement by Grade Level, and Tables XI - XIV: Longitudinal Data.

The change score (gain score) is defined as the resultant gradeequivalent difference between two testings (pre/post) for a given achool year. The mean
gain scores in reading may be found in tabulated form in Tables XV - XVIII: Summary of Longitudinal Effect, and Tables XIX - XXII: Summary of Profect vs. Comparison Group Gains.

## IV. DESIGN

The treatment group consists of the project's schools: Columbia Elementary School, Shasta Union Elementary School, and Whitmore Elementary School.

The gain scores in the project school's classrooms during the treatment year (1972-73) are compared with the gain scores in the project school's class rooms during the baseline year (1971-72).

Furthermore, a comparison during the treatment year is avaiiable between gain scores in project school classrooms vs. control school classrooms. The control school class rooms were classroom's in other rural schools in Shasta County of like rural conditions and class room/school size. The control school class rooms are in Buckeye, Junction, Happy Valey, French Gulch, and Oakrun Elementary Schools.

The hypotheges for the evaluation design are that students in the treatment group (the project) would increase their performance (gain scores) during 1972-73 (treatment year) when compared to 1971-72 (baseline year); and that the treatment group students (the project) would ’ave greater gain scores during treatment (1972-73) than would the control group students who received no treatment (during the same year: 1972-73).

## V. ANALYSIS OF DATA

Logitudinal Effect: Logitudinal data was available for treatment classroom from

1971, 1972 and 1973. This data is reported in Tables XI - XIV. A comparison of gain scores, project year (1972-;3) to baseline year (1971-72) -- see Tailes XV - XVIII -- indicates greater gain score gains at each of the three project schools during the project year than during the baseline year. Columbia School had four class rooms showing greater gains during 1972-73 vs. two showing less gain than 1971-72 (See Table XV). Shasta Union School had three classrooms with greater gains, two with less and one the same as 1971-72 (See TaDle XVI). Whitmore School had three with greater gains, two with less and o:re the same (See Table XVII).

Summarizing classroom logitudinal comparisons, in ten cases project year gains are greater than baseline, in six cases they are less, and in two cases they are the same.

Overall, five out of six grade levels ( $2,4,5,6$, and 8) had greater gain score gains during 1972-73 and one grade level (7) had less gain (See Table XVIII).

Project Schools Vs. Control Schools Comparison: Comparison data was available for both treatment classrooms and control classrooms during 1972-73. This data is reported in Tables $I$ - $X$ and summaries of project vs. comparison group gains is reported in Tables XIX - AXII. A comparison of gain scores, project schools vs. control schools during the project year (1972-73) -- See Tables XIX - XXII -indicates greater gain score gains in project classrooms than in control group classrooms. Shasta Union School gains were greater than control group gains in six of seven grade levels (clasrooms) and is less in one classroom (Table XIX). Columbia Schools gains are greater in two and less in three classes (Table XX) and Whitmore school gains are greater in four cases, less in two cases and the same in one case (Table XXI). Sumarizing classroom comparisons, in twelve cases the treatment classroom gains are greater, in si; cases they are less, and in one case it is the same. Grade level gain scores for all project participants is greater in all seven cases (Grades 2-7) than the control group grade level gain scores
VI. CONCLUSIONS

An examination of the mean gain scores was made and the results indicate substantial increases in gain score reading performance (1972-73) at the three project schools (Columbia, Shasta Union, and Whitmore) when compared to their previous baseline performance (1971-72) and also when compared to their control classrooms performance (1972-73). The data indicates a clear consistant trend Indicating the project's effectiveness at increasing reading performance among its target population.

|  | : |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |
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Pre-test Information
Typo of
scoreky
(12)
Pre-Post Differences

|  | Pre-test Information |  |  |  | Post-test Information |  |  |  | Pre-Post Differences |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { cand } \\ & \text { e761* } \end{aligned}$ <br> (1) | Peotest month <br> (2) | Codo No. OE test \& subjact** <br> (3) | Number pretestad (4) | Man score $(5)$ | Posttest month | Cota No of test \&. sabjogt | Number posttested <br> (8) | Hann Scoro (9) | Percent taking both pro- and post-tests <br> (10) | Differance (Col. 9 minus Col. 5) <br> (11) | Typo of score ${ }^{k \# \#}$ <br> (12) | State Use OnIy (13) |
| Pe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - $\cdot$ |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | - | ----- | - | ---- | May | 05 | 20 | 3.15 | - | ------ | GE |  |
| 2 | May | 05 | 26 | 2.46 | May | 05 | 26 | 3.23 | 100 | 0.77 | GE |  |
| 3 | May | 05 | 14 | 3.33 | May | 05 | 14 | 4.17 | 100 | 0.86 | GE |  |
| 4 | May | 05 | 19 | 3.65 | May | 04 | 19 | 4.70 | 109 | 1.10 | GE |  |
| 5 | May | 04 | 15 | 4.92 | May | 04 | 15 | 5.50 | 100 | 0.58 | GE |  |
| 6 | May | 04 | 25 | 5.92 | May | 04 | 25 | 7.35 | 100 | 1.43 | GE |  |
| 7 | May | 04 | 19 | 5.49 | May | 04 | 19 | 8.26 | 100 | 2.72 | GE |  |
| 6 | May | 04 | 25 | 7.32 | May | 04 | 25 | 7.91 | 100 | 0.56 | GE |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |
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|  | Pre-test Information |  |  |  | Post-tost Information |  |  |  | Pro-Post Differences |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cate ejol* <br> (1) | Pretest month <br> (2) | Codo lio. of test \& subject** (3) | Number pretestod <br> (4) | M30n score | Posttest month (6) | Cola No of test ? sabject (7) | Nuabor posttosted <br> (8) | Thisan Score <br> (9). | Porcent taking both pre- and post-tests <br> (10) | Differance (Col. 9 minus CoI. 5) <br> (11) | Typo of scorek** <br> (12) | State Use Only <br> (13) |
| 58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - .. |
| $\pi$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | - | ----- | ---- | ---- | May | 05 | 22 | 2.38 | - | ------ | GE |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | May | 05 | 24 | 3.09 | May | 05 | 24 | 4.02 | 100 | 0.93 | GE |  |
| 4 | oct. | 04 | 22 | 3.66 | May | 04 | 22 | 4.72 | 109 | 1.06 | GE |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Oct. | 04 | 14 | 5.26 | May | 04 | 14 | 6.08 | 100 | 0.82 | GF |  |
| 7 | Oct. | 04 | 18 | 6.87 | May | 04 | 18 | 7.64 | 100 | 0.77 | GE |  |
| 8 | Oct. | 04 | 19 | 8.41 | May | 04 | 19 | 8.83 | 100 | 0.42 | GE |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* inea miltiple mezures are to bo roportod for a single grade laval, revise oolvan (1) using boditional lines es need ** uie tha test inst (Ev. 73.12); inscmt an astarisk if a sub-test is usel, and give its namo. $\qquad$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | FUR Pinderio schoor m. FOR COITPARTSDN SCICOL RO. fur ast, plidjbit: SCimols FOR ALC COMPARISON SCiKOOLS |  |  | cate type of repr $\overline{6}-505$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre-test Information |  |  |  | Post-test Information |  |  |  | Pre-Post Differences |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { enats } \\ & \text { (I) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Pretost month $(2)$ | Code No. OR test \& subject** (3) | Nunber pretested $(4)$ | ㄴำ sicore | Posttest month (6) | Coda No of tect s siabject (7) | Number posttested <br> (8) |  Score <br> (9). | Porcent taking both pre- and post-tests <br> (10) | Differance (Col. 9 minus Col. 5) <br> (11) | Typo of scorek\#\# <br> (12) | State Use 0:Iy (13) |
| $\underline{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - .. |
| K |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | ---- | ----- | --- | ---- | Mey | 05 | 32 | 1.66 |  | ------ | GE |  |
| 2 | May | 05 | 25 | 1.56 | May | 05 | 25 | 2.44 | 100 | 0.88 | GE |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Oct. | 04 | 18 | 3.14 | May | 04 | 18 | 3.75 | 109 | 0.61 | GE |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Oct. | 04 | 21 | 5.15 | May | 04 | 21 | 6.28 | 100 | 1.13 | GE |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |


FOR COHPARTSON SCIOOL NO. $\overline{6} \overline{0} \overline{5} \overline{0} \overline{5}$



[^2]L7

|  | Pro-eest Inforantion |  |  |  | Post-tast Trisoration |  |  |  | Pro-Poto differenes |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ces |  | coad Ho. | Number |  | Post- | - Cata 10 | plumbr | mam | Peroent taido | [rifereraes | Sex |  |
|  | toit | deat |  |  | (tant | toid | Sosed |  | tritata | ${ }_{\text {coi. }}$ |  |  |
| ) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (3997) | (8) | (9). | (10) | (12) | (22) | (13) |
| \%o |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Oct. | 04 | 2 | 2.55 | may | 04 | 2 | 4.10 | 109 | 1.55 | ${ }_{68}$ |  |
| 5 | oct. | 04 | 2 | 4.90 | ${ }^{\text {may }}$ | 04 | 2 | 5.65 | 100 | 0.75 | ${ }^{68}$ |  |
| 6 | Ooc. | ${ }_{0}^{04}$ | ${ }_{3}$ | 8,00 | ${ }^{\text {nav }}$ | $\stackrel{0}{04}$ | ${ }_{9}^{3}$ | $\frac{7.20}{6.00}$ | $\frac{100}{100}$ | $\frac{-0.80}{1.80}$ | ${ }_{\text {cex }}^{\text {OR }}$ |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

FRENCH GULCH
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|  | Pre-test Information |  |  |  | Post-test Information |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { :=ane } \\ & e \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{l}^{*} \end{aligned}$ (1) | Pretest month <br> (2) | Code Ho. of test \& subjact** <br> (3) | Nunber pretested $(4)$ | Na3n score (5) | Posttest month <br> (6) | Code No، of test \& siohjoct 7 ) | Number posttested <br> (8) | Tusan Scory (9). |
| Peo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | --- | ------ | --- | --- | May | 05 | 4 | 1.18 |
| 2 | May | 05 | 3 | 1.73 | May | 05 | 3 | 2.77 |
| 3 | May | 05 | 3 | 2.90 | May | 05 | 3 | 3.60 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Oct. | 04 | 5 | 6.83 | May | 04 | 5 | 7.70 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  | Pre-test Information |  |  |  | Post-test Iniormation |  |  |  | Pre-Post Differences |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| isade .e761* (1) | Pretest month $\qquad$ (2) | Codo No. 0 I test \& subjact** (3) | Number pretestod (4) | Mann score (5) | Posttest month <br> (6) | Code Nos of test ? sintjact | Number posttested <br> (8) | Tham 3core <br> (9). | Percont taking both pre- and post-tests <br> (10) | Differanco (Col. 9 minus Col. 5) <br> (II) | Typo or score ${ }^{\text {k\# }}$ <br> (12) | State Use 0.21y (13) |
| Pee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . . |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | ---- | ------ | ---- | -- | May | 05 | 58 | 1.90 | -------- | ------ | GE |  |
| 2 | May | 05 | 47 | 1.75 | May | 05 | 47 | 2.56 | 100 | 0.81 | GE |  |
| 2 | May | 05 | 50 | 3.05 | May | $n 5$ | 50 | 3.81 | 100 | 0.76 | GE |  |
| 4 | Oct. | 04 | 42 | 3.38 | May | 04 | 42 | 4.27 | 109 | 0.89 | GE |  |
| $\cdot 5$ | Oct. | 04 | 27 | 4.84 | May | 04 | 27 | 5.59 | 100 | 0.75 | GE |  |
| $6^{6}$ | Oct. | 04 | 47 | 5.66 | May | 04 | 47 | 6.45 | 100 | 0.79 | GE |  |
| 7 | Oct. | 04 | 48 | 5.77 | May | 04 | 48 | 6.88 | 100 | 1.11 | GE |  |
| b | Oct. | 04 | 24 | 8.08 | May | 04 | 24 | 8.59 | 100 | 0.51 | GE |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |
| ' 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |





|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\therefore 8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Ba}$ |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | i | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ |  | i |  |
|  | 管 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | ！ | 1 | 1 | ， | 1 |
| 㣍 |  |  |  | $\stackrel{d}{1}$ | 7 |  | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | $\stackrel{d}{4}$ | $\stackrel{d}{1}$ |  | 1 4 1 1 | i | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 5 5 |  |  | d | d |  | a | d | d |  | a | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 哴苞 |  |  | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ | $\cdots$ |  | 1 1 |  | $!$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{1}$ | ${ }_{0}^{1}$ |  | d | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | dे | $1:$ |  | d | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |
|  | \％ | $\left.\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | $\underset{\substack{9 \\ i \\ i}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ 4 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $n_{n}^{n}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $1$ | 1 | ， |  | 1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & d \\ & d \\ & d \end{aligned}$ | 5 | ${ }_{\square}^{1}$ | ${ }_{9}^{1}$ | ${ }_{0}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ | $\because$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 1 | a |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 9 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 91 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | 告1 | 1 |  | ！ | 1 | 1 <br> 1 <br> 1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { abe } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $1$ | $1$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | Huny | 400 |  |  | 1 |
|  |  | $\cdots$ | $\sim$ | 1 |  | $\cdots$ | n | $\bigcirc$ | － | － | $\infty$ | 0 |  | $\therefore$ | 7 | $\because$ |

Kor sell projest schools 5


 WHitMORE
a COMPARISON OF PROJECT GAINS WITH PRE-PROJECT GAINS

## ,



[^3]
CONTROL SCHCOL CLASSROOMS
(BUCKEYE, HAPPY VALLEY, JUNCTION)


[^4]FRATEOR WUBER 1.0 2 4


\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { *) If the arerases reported are for other than the groups as they radst (e.g. matched subsets), checir here- and describe } \\
& \text { in detgil on the bsck of the page. }
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

# BEST COPY Availlable 
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## attitudinal Instrument

# NEU DIMENGIONS IN LANGUAGE DEVELOPMENT SKILLS FOR RURAL SCHOOLS PROJECT \#1054 

SHASTA COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHODLS

CONDUCTED GY IRA NELKEN
JUNE 25, 1973
bla

Target population attiturdes towarda art, music and reading were more pa;iitive across the sexes and qrai, levels than those of the control population. No substantial langitudinal effect (increase in positive aititude over time in the target population) was found except for indications of this effect amang the kindergarten population.

## II THE ATTITUDINAL INSTRUMENT USED

An attitudinal instrument was administered to the students in the treatment et Columbia and Shasta Union and to a group of control students in other rural Shasta County Elementary Schools. The instrument measured student attitude towards art, music and reading using a three point "Smiles" scales-IIke, uncertain, dislike. (See Appendix A for a copy of the instrument.) The instrument consists of three parts of subtests. Part I contains fourteen items relating to attitude towards art. Part II contains sixteen items relating to attitude towards music. Part III contains seventeen items relating to attitude towards reading/language arts. A study in 1972 (Reliability and Validity Study on Attitudinal Instrument for Students Develoged for New Dimer:sions in Lenguage Develapment Skills for Rural Schools, Ira Nelken, June 18, 1972) indicated the apprapriateness of the instrument's velidity and reliability.

## III TEST ADMINISTRATIDN

The instrument was administered to the target and contral population K- 8 on a pre-mid-post basis in Uctober, 1972, January, 1973 and May, 1973. The data abtained is tabulated on Tables I - III, Results of "Smiles"

Survey Candurited. The target papulation eighth grade did not do the required post-testing on the instrument and only data for grades K-7 is reparted in this report.

## IV DESIGN

The assumption made was: For children's performance to increase, their attitude must change in a positive direction towards a greater degree of "liking" ar enjoyment in the subject matter invalved. The study was an attempt to determine whether there was any confirmation of the major hypthesis: (1) Target children wauld show a greater increase in positive Peelings (attitude) towards art, music and reading. Several minar hypotheaes ware expected to be confirmed. (2) The distinction between the sexes would be lessened in the target population in their feelings towards the subject matter. (3) The distinction among grades wauld be lessened-in the target papulation in their feelings towards the subject mat.ter. $\equiv$. Bath diatinctive effects--sexual differentiation (2) and grade differentiation (3) had been found in the Basic Skills Improvement Praject use of a similar inatrument in the 1971-72 school year in its project schcoals.

## $v$ ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

The project schaols maintained substantially lower numerical scares fbetter fealings) on all three subtests than did the contral population. No:trend in pre-mid-past results was naticeable (see Table I).

There was virtually no distinction between the two sexes in the target schools. Boys and girls manifested the same resultant feelings (s.ee Table II)
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uhereas a nuticeable distinction was evident in the contrul pupulation (boys showing a less pasitive attitude towards the subjects). There was no trend evident in pre-mid-post results.

There was a lessening in the grade level distinctians in the target papulation. In the control group these is a noticeable trend towards a less positive attitude as the grade level increased. ihis was much less evident in the target population (see Table III). This effect has been illustrated in Graph I (which is one graphic example of this effect). Once again, na trend in pre-mid-past is evident except in the kindergarten treatment graup where there is some evidence for an increasing, positive attitude towards all three subjects; (see Table III and Graph II which illustrates a sample of this effect).

## VI CONCLUSIONS

The target schaol papulation showed less sexual and grade level attitudinal distinctions towards reading, music and art as neeasured by this instrument. The only grade level which illustrated the expected effect of an increase in pasitive attitude during this project year was the treatment kindergarten population. Hypotheses (2) and (3) were confirmed to some extent; hypothesis (1) a longitudinal inerease in positive attitude in the target population this year was nat found to any substantial degree.

TABLE I
RESULTS OF "SMILES" SURVEY CONDUCTED
Project Schools Versus Contral Group
Mean Scores

| School | Subtest | Pre | Mid | Post |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Shasta Unian School | Art | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| No. of students: 179 | Music | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
|  | Reading | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Columbia School | Art: | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| No. of students: 115 | Music | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
|  | Reading | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| All Praject Schools | Art | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| No. of students: 294 | Music | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| Contral Schools | Reading | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| No. of students: 297 | Mrit | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
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taele II

## RESULTS OF "SMILES" SURVEY CONDUCTED <br> BOYS VERSUS GIRLS <br> PROJECT VERSUS CONTROL GROUPS

Mean Scares

| Group | Se: | Subtest | Pre | Mid | Post |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Praject | Male | Art | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
|  | Na. of students: 145 | Music | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
|  |  | Reading | 1.t: | 1.4 | 1.4 |
|  | Female | Art | 1.3 | 2.3 | 1.3 |
|  | No. of studenis: 149 | Music | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
|  |  | Reading | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Contral | Male | Art. | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
|  | No. of students: 151 | Mus.e | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
|  |  | Reading | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
|  | Female | Art | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
|  | No. of students: 146 | Music | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
|  |  | Reading | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 |

fesults af "Smiles" survey conducted
GRADE LEVEL DISTITICTIONS: FROJECT VERGUS CONTROL GROUPS BT COPY AVALABLE grade level distifictions: froject verijus control graups

| Group | Grade Level | Subte $\%$ \% | Pre | Mid | Past |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project | No. of students: 23 | Art | . 4 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
|  |  | Music | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
|  |  | Reading | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
|  | $1$ <br> No. of students: 32 | Art | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
|  |  | Music | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
|  |  | Reading | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
|  | $2$ <br> No. of students: 33 | Art | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
|  |  | Music | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
|  |  | Reading | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
|  | $3$ <br> No. of students: 41 | AIt | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
|  |  | Music | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
|  |  | Reading | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
|  | 4 | Art | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
|  | No. of students: 43 | Music | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
|  |  | Reading | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
|  | No. of students: 49 | Art | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
|  |  | Music | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
|  |  | Beading | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
|  | 6 <br> Na. of students: 48 | Art | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
|  |  | Music | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
|  |  | Reading | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
|  | 7 | Art | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
|  | No. of students: 25 | Music | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
|  |  | Reading | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| Contral | K <br> No. of students: 28 <br> 1 <br> No. of students: 35 | Art | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
|  |  | Music | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
|  |  | Reading | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
|  |  | Art | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
|  |  | Music | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
|  |  | Reading | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
|  | 2 <br> No. of students: 24 | Art | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
|  |  | Music | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.9 |
|  |  | Reading | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.7 |
|  | No. af students: 45 | Art | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
|  |  | Music | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
|  |  | Reading | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.2 |
|  | 4 | Art | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
|  | No. of students: 42 | Music | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
|  |  | Reading | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
|  |  |  | 1.5 | 1.9 |  |
|  | No. of students: 19 | Music | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.5 |
|  |  | Reading | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
|  | 6 <br> No. of students: 36 | Art | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
|  |  | Music | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
|  |  | Reading | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.7 |
|  | 7 | Art | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
|  | No. of students: 42 | Music | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
|  |  | 'Reading | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.0 |
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POST-TEST RESULTS: MUSIC SUBTEST
target versus cuntral papllations QUER GRADE LEVEIS


- Target Population
© Contral Pupulatian

- Target Papulation
$\Delta^{*}$ Control Population

Directions to seusinis (in tide)
This is not a test. It is an exercise that will describe how you feel about certain things. Your responses, or answers to this exercise are very important; therefore, please work very carefully. There are no right or wrong answers; the answer you select will be the right answer for you. Listen or read each item carefully and then mark your answer by coloring the face that best expresses how you feel.

Look at the example below. It says, "I like to go fishing." Mark the face that tells how you feel about going fishing.

Example:
I like to go fishing.


Let's try three more. Place your marker so that only Item "A" shows. If you like to run and limp, mark the "I like" face. If you don't know what it means to run and jump, mark the "I don't know" face. If you don"t like to run and jump, mark the "I don't like" face.
A. I like to run and jump.


Hove your marker down to Item "8.". 'It says, "I like to play demiseniquavers." Please mark the "I like" face, or the "I don't know" face, or the "I don"t like" face.
B. I like to play demisemiquavers.


Move your marker to item "C.: It says, "I like to have a bad cold." Please mark the face that best shows how you feel.
C. I like to have a bed cold.


Please turn to the next page.
$\qquad$
Tencher $\qquad$


Part 1

| L I like to paint pictures I draw. | (1) (11) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 I like to color pictures with erayons. | (1) 11 |
| 3. I like to draw pictures of things I sem | (1) (1) |
| 4. I like the bulletin boards in my classroom. | (1) $\because$ |
| 5. I like to make happy pictures. | (11) 1 |
| 6. I like to use my imagination for pictures. |  |

7. I like to draw lines that look like shapes of things 1 see.
8. I like to paint with many different colors.

9. I like to make a picture look different by using different colors.

ta. I like to find out if things feel like they look.

II. I like to make up stcries for pictures I drav.

R. I like to play with clay and make funny shapes.

B. I like to touch and squeeze clay.

10. I like to make clay people.

L I like the diys at schicol when we do things with music.


2 I like to listen to my vilce when I am singing.
3. I like to hear my voice get high and low when I sing.


4 I like to hear music when I'm working.

5. I like to make up my oun dance when I hear music.

6. I like to tap my feet when I hear a marching band.

7. I like days whein we have dancing at school.

\& I like to hear myself sing together with other people.

?. I like it when my family listens to music logether.

B. I like to play records at home.

II. I like to play records with my friends.

12. I like to make music.

B. Ilike to watch musical shows.
M. Music makes me feel gocd.

15. I like to make up songs.

16. Marching bands make parades fun.


| L I like to read. | (11) 11 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. I like the sicries my teacher has me read. | (1) 11 |
| 2. I like the teacher to read stories to my class. | (1) 11 |
| -. 4. I like to read a lot at home | (1) 13 |
| \&. Ilike to tell stories in class. | (1) (11) |
| 6. Ilike to kncy the words I read. | (1) 2 |
| 7. Ithink its fun to learn ney words. | (3) (11) |
| 8. Ilike it wimen funny things are talked about in stories. | (1) 11 |
| 2. I like to figurs out what stories mejn | (13) 3 |
| In I like to make up my own ending for a story. | (4) (1) |
| 1 Hike to fig̣ure out TV mystery stories. | (4) 11 |
| 12. Ilike to read atoud to peaple. | (1) $\because$ |
| 2. Jike to make up stories. | (19) 9 |
| ( 7 like to write stories. | (3) 11 |
| 4. I like to write tetters to penple. | (41) (11) |
| Th. I Write stories at home. | $(14$ |
| 1. I like to draw pictures for stories 1 write. | © <br> (1) |
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## MISSION OBJECTIVE

To increase the current reading achievement of students in Grades $K$ through 8 in three rural schools in Shasta County by analyzing current practices in the teaching of reading and the fine arts and recomending and implementing new dimensions to the established programs, that will result, at the termination of the project, with students reading at significantly higher levels as determined by evaluation design.

Curront practices in the teaching of reading and the fine arts (art and music) In Shasta County were analyzed in detail. New dimensions to the established programs throughout the county were recommended and implemented by the project in this project jear. The result of the implementation was measured and anulyzed this present project year in the Final Product Evaluation Report, for a significant increase in current reading achievement of studerts in Grade K through 8 in the rural schools in Shasta County that partook is this project. This is the second year of the project. The project remained in a pilot stage: the first year of the project was spent in developing the design; the second year of the project proceeded with iritial implemontation and testing of the design. The Evaluator recommends a third year as a necessary adjunct to determine the necessary modifications to this design and the actual worthwhileness of the design on an ongoing, fully implemented status.

## MAJOR FUNCTIONS

### 1.0 Review/finalize overational proeram design.

Performance criteria met: Ey the end of August the teacher task force had in their possession instructional level performance objectives in language art
and music with the suggested sequential activities. Instructional strategies were identified and the "Operational Program Manual" was published and distributed to the teachers. The "Operational Program Manual" consists of three books -- one for the language arts strand, one for the music strand and one for the art strand. Each manual contains the objectives and functions of the strand and innludes suggested media, suggested teaching methods, and learner responses. An integration matrix (and form) has also been developed which allows for the consistent use of all three manuals and recording of results. A prover recipe book (and forms) for language arts/music and language arts/art recipes i.e., Prescriptions) is also an integral part of the developed program. The Evaluator comends the Project Director and project staff on the excellent deaign developed for the project and the functional supportive management format developed also.

### 2.0 Plan/implement teacher in-service program.

Performance criteria met: In-scrvice needs, program content and instructional activities, and the necessary resources (personnel and maierials) were identified at a preschool workshop. They were developed successfully at the workshop and during the first part of the school year, and implemented successfully throughout the school year. This set of performance criteria is being continuously satisfied. The in-service component has met the stated time requirement and assessments by the Project Director. The Project Evaluator has determined that all participating teachers have acquired predetermined needed skills. The Evaluator interviewed several teachers to determine that their in-service needs for functioning effectively with this project were being met. The interviews showed, to the Evaluator's satisfaction, the success of the in-service training component of this project.

### 3.0 Develoc/implement program evaluation strategy.

Performance criteria met: Anticipated learner lerels were established in September/October 1972 by May and September/Octoker 1972 testing. Assessment resources were identified and tr: administration scheduled. A recording system for compilation of criterion referenced test data was developed and implemented, and evaluation report requirements were developed. Data has been analyzed and reports distributed as scheciuled. An attitudinal (affective domain) survey instrument has been used and data has been collected, analyzed, and reported.

### 4.0 Imolement/monitor instructional programs.

Performance criteria met: Participating teachers have been and are supported through scheduled class visitations by two art consultants, three music consultants, and the Project Director, according to determined teacher support needs. The instructional program has seen monitored to insure compliance with the program design. Revisions have been considered and implemented as required. Instructional materials have been acquired as needed. Materials to describe the project have been prepared and have been distributed as opportunities and necessity arises. Continuation plans were reviewed, developed, and recommended. Evaluation has indicated (this Evaluator has determined) the effectiveness of monitoring at a level which is enabling ihe achievement of the project's objectives insofar as process is concerned. Evaluation indicating the effectiveness of project objectives in terms of product can be found in the analysis of the profect's testing results (see Finsl Product Evaluation Report for indications of project success).

Activity 1.1 List accerted serformance objectives in language/arts/music was initiated on schedule and completed successfully as scheduled. Language objectives were listed, art objectives were listed, music objectives were listed. Project staff/teacher comittees (reaiing, art, music) were responsible for determining and listing accepted performance objectives in reading/languageart/masic.

Activity 1.2 Complete sequential activities to achieve performance in language/ art/music was initiated on schedule and completed successfully and in accordance with its original time line. Language activities were ordered, art activities were ordered and music activities were ordered independently of one another. The sequencing activities were performed by the same committees which listed the objectives in activity 1.1. In this process each committee integrated and sequenced the appropriate activities of the appropriate objectives for the appropriate subject area.

Activity 1.3 Review/select instructional strategies was inftiated and completed successfully and as scheduled. Discussion among the committee staff and Project Director took place to identify alternate acceptable strategies. Three strategies were examined:

1. Integration of art and music objectives/activities with reading/ language arts objectives without considering students' performance levels or present skill capabilities in art or music;
2. A program consisting of a language arts/reading strand, an art strand, and a music strand directed towaids common intellectual processes without specified and directed integration of the three strands in
terms of skill development (three independent skills programs);
3. Reading/language arts objectives supported by art/music methods/ media designed within the context of art/music sequential learning paths (three integrated intertwining and cross-linked strands).

These strategies were considered from a cost-effectiveness standpoint and an instrurtional design standpoint. The third alternative strategy was selected for implementation though the total cost was the highest of the three strategies. The cost-effectiveness was determined to be considerably low.r tian the other two strategies because of its capabilities in meeting the student: needs and accomplishing the instructional objectives/design of the project itself. The most appropriate strategy was selected by the Project Director in consultation with project staff and teachers involved in the project.

Activity 1.4 Develop/distribute operational program manual was initiated on schedule and completed successfully and on schedule. The content of the manual was determined and three separate books, one for each of the three strands, were developed, printed, and distributeci. The operational program manuals sre used to aid in determining prescriptions to meet learner deficiencies and to determine skills that need to be taught. The results of teacher analysis of the operational program manuals and learner deficiencies lead to the use of the recipe book and the actual learner task/teacher plan to enable students/ teacher to meet predetermined and necessary objectives.

Function 1.0 Review/finalize operational program design, initiated as scheduled and completed successfully and highly satisfactorily on schedule. Materials, methods/media, performance objectives, teacher task, learner task,
managenent support structure, and all other necessary and required procedures and processes for the operational program were accomplished competently and very successfully by the Project Director and staff.

Activity 2.1 Review/orepare statement of teacher in-service needs was initiated on schedule and completed successfully and on schedule. In-service needs were reviewed, instructional skills listed, and a needs list was compiled. Expressed needs were determined by Project Director and staff in formal/informal discussions and observatiors with target school teachers. Instructional skills deficiencies were determined by Project Director and project staff in observations of and discussions with target school teachers. Activity 2.2 Develop total in-service program (cuntent-activities) was initiated on schedule and completed successfully and on schedule. The determination was made of required materials, needed consultants, and needed instructional media for the total in-service program. A schedule was then developed to implement the development and implementation of the program. Available materials, consultants and instructional media were assessed by the Project Director to determine the level at which the in-service program would have to initiate. A discrepancy between required materials, consultants and instructionsl media, and available materials, consultants and instructional media allowed for a determination of needed and necessary further acquisitions.

Activity 2.3 List/secure required resources (materials) was initiated on schedule with the listing of required resources and the ordering of necessary materials. Ints was an ongoing function throughout the project year. This activity progressed satisfactorily.

Activity 2.4 Schedule/imnlement in-service program was initiated on schedule and continued as scheduled in accordance with its year-long time line. The schedule was finalized and the in-service program was implemented with a workshop. The workshop has been followed by continuous planning, training and classroom demonstration sessions by the Project Director and project staff with the teachers involved.

Function 2.0 Plan/implement teacher in-service program continued as scheduled. This function progressed satisfactorily and successfully to conclusion at the end of the project year.

Activity 3.1 Establish anticipated learner levels in language/art/music was initiated on schedule and completed successfully and on schedule. The grade level objectives were reviewed with staff. The entry skills level of each student on each strand was determined. Each student's potential end-of-year skills level in language/art/music was determined using the teacher assessment of the student's potential and the diagnostic student base line data per strand (entry skills levels) and the instructional design itself. In future years, previous years' learner performance (number of levels mastered, steps on continuum completed, etc.) will be used to make a more objective determination of anticipated learner levels.

Activity 3.2 Identify/acquire assessment resources (including test instruments) was initiated on schedule and completed successfully and on schedule. Areas acquiring assessment were listed, test instruments were identified and selected, and resources necessary were listed and acquired. Assessment resources include standardized test instruments (Cooperative Primary, CTBS), an attitudinal survey-instrument, and criterion referenced prem- post-tests.

Activiiy 3.3 Develor administration schedule was initiated on schedule and completed successfully and on schedule. A testing of the general target population was accomplished in May 1972. Pre-testing of the control school population was accomplished in October 1972. New students entering the target popuration were also tested in October 1972. Testing of a sample of students in the target population for interim product test results was accomplished in January 1973. Post-testing of the entire target and control populations was accomplished in May 1973.

Activity 3.4 Develon/distribute recording system for compilation of criterion referenced test data was initiated on schedule and completed successfully and on schedule in accordance with its time line. Collection/recording needs were determined, forms were deveioped (individual profile sheens of objectives, class profile sheets), recording procedures were developed, the staff was oriented towards the recording system and compilation of criterion referenced test data, and the recording system instruments were distributed to all the teachers/staff.

Activity 3.5 Develop evaluation report requixements was initiated on schedule and completed successfully and on schedule. Data required to determine program effectiveness were listed and a reporting format/schedule was developed by the Project Director, in consultation with the Project Evaluator.

Activity 3.6 Analyze compiled data was initiated on schedule and completed successfully and on schedule. The time line for this activity was the entire project year. The data has been compiled and analysis of data has been accomplished. This function was an ongoing one throughoit the project year and was completed with the final evaluation reports. Interim data was available via
the interim progress and product evaluation reports. All tests/other data for evaluation that have been collected are available in the Project Director's office and are very accessible.

Activity 3.7 Prepare/distribute evaluation reports was initiated on schedule and completed on schedule and in accordance with its year-long time line. Interim evaluation reports in January had been accomplished and finaz evaluation reports in June were completed.

Function 3.0 Develop/implement program evaluaticin strategy was initiated on schedule and progressed highly satisfactorily in accordance with its year-long time line to completion. The evaluation strategy consisted of process and product evaluation of the management support to the implemented project design, teacher in-service training, student performance test results on standardized and criterion referenced tests, student attitudinal/behavioral change during the project year, and process implementation of the instructional strategy designed.

Activity 4.1 Support participating teachers in implementation was initiated on schedule and continued as sche uled for the entire school year. This activity has been highly successful and the Profect Evaluator commends the Project Director and staff for their fine support of participating teachers in the implementation of the project. Program visitations were scheduled, teacher support needs were determined, and the necessary support has been provided continuously and most effectively. Support consists of at least two visitations/meetings by a project staff person (Project Director, music consultant or art consultant) per participating teacher per week.

Activity 4.2 Monitur instructional program to insure compliance with the program desigh was initiated on schedule and continued satisfactorily in accordance with its year-long time line. A monitoring schedule was available from the Project Director for herself and project consultants. Effective monitoring has been carried out throughout the project year. The Project Evaluator commends the project on its effective, consistent, competent monitoring program.

Activity 4.3 Consider/implement operational revisions as required to meet crogram objectives was initiated on schedule and continued as scheduled satisfactor: ly for the entire project year. Problems have been identified as they occur. Operational revisions as required to meet program objectives and to alleviate problems and discrepancies as they occur have been implemented. Any program modifications that have become necessary have been accomplished. The Project Evaluator has determined that the project staff has been highly receptive and open in meeting individual teachers, students and the project's needs.

Activity 4.4 Determine/acquire ins+ructional materials as required was
initiated on schedule and continued in accordance with its year-long time line. This activity progressed highly successfully and satisfactorily. Needed resources were determined as the project year continued. The Project Director, the project staff and participating teachers observed the working of present practices and procedures in accordance with the instructional design and determined new and innovative methods and oft-forgotten methods/media for meeting the instructional design. The Project Director and staff have bought and acquired or developed instructional materials as their existence and need became evident.

Activity 4.5 Prepare/distriuute program descriptive material was initiated on schedule and progressed satisfactorily and on schedule in accord lance with its yearlong time line. A distribution schedule was developed and data was collected few escriptive reports. The reports/material were then prepared, edited and distributed.

Activity 4.6 Prepare final reports and review/recomend/develop possible program incentive application was initiated a mon:: ahead of schedule for completion on schedule in April. Data was reviewed. Evaluation data was reviewed in January and February. Recommendations from available data were considered, a final report was prepared, and a proposal for an incentive grant application was developed in March and April.

Function 4.0 Implement/monitor instructional program was initiated on schedule and progressed as scheduled in accordance with its year-long time line. The Evaluator has artermined that the implementation and monitoring of the instructional program has been highly successful from a management point of view. The worthwhileness of the instructional design itself using product evaluation determination data available May 1973, can be found in the Final Product Evaluation Report. The Evaluate or is inserting into this report his belief that a third year is necessary for $\varepsilon$ fully competent, generalizable, and acceptable evaluation of this protect and its instructional program design's applicability to California education. Very limited conclusions are available from data of the second year. This project year was the first year of implementation of the total project design.

## SUMMARY

## STRENGTHS

1. Sequential learning paths for reading, art and music strands with activities, skills, and learner responses.
2. Integration design for reading/language arts-art/music strands by crosslinkage of skills/activities/learner responses which allow for a multiplier effect in learning.
3. A very effective in-service training program/approach.
4. Excellent teacher/administrative/school board support for the project.
5. An approach which successfully uses/involves tactile, auditory, visual, affective, and cognitive media/responses of target children.
6. Recognizable local support for the program.

WEAKNESSES

1. Further depth in activities/skills/objectives/learner responses could be accomplished if further funding and project staff time allocations were/ are available (especially for levels corresponding with Junior High School).
2. Further necessary support for the art strand is required. This can be accomplished with more fiscal and time support for the project.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Further development of the project and its potential via a third year of orientation/continuance, with or without federal funding.
2. Extension of the program into more target schools. Check applicability with a wider distribution of population. A suggestion might be a larger school in an urban area in Shasta County.

[^0]:    * Allumber 1 Reach desired level of performance, No. 2 Exceed comparison group, No. 3 Past performance from baseline data. Fercentage as stated in narrative, 1.e.; 80\% 01 participants will -m do\% in

[^1]:    \#Record degree of success anticipated. **\#Percentage as stated in narrative, $1.0 ., 80 \%$ of participants will -- $80 \%$ in this case equals $100 \%$ of objective3. ***Applies to measures of participants only, i.e., Washington school (2,3, and 5).

[^2]:    * haen ailiple msacnres are to bo roported for a single graile leyel, revise colvan (1) using additional lines es needec ** Uive tho test ?ist (EN. 73,12) ; irwint an astorisk if a sub-tast is usel and give its namo.
    $\because$ haeze appropriste, use a scaled score (otherwise, write in Rey score). Indicate the scale used; G.E. for grade

[^3]:    
     iie buse, subtract the Kay 70 score from the May 71 score)
    

[^4]:    for other than the groups as they exdst (e.g. matched subsets), check here and descrije
    aso (11), choek bore
    

