

Senior Executive Service Performance Planning and Appraisal



Executive		
Title		
Organization	Installation	
Performance Period: Oct 1, to Sept 30,	If other, from t	0
Performance Plan Development		
Performance criteria and an Executive Development Plan have been establi with a copy.	shed in consultation with the executive, wh	o has been provided
Executive Signature		Date
Supervisory Official Signature		Date
Progress Review		
A minimum of one review must be conducted within 30 days of March 31. If modified accordingly.	performance requirements have changed,	the plan should be
A Progress Review has been conducted by the Executive and Rating Official	il.	
Executive Signature		Date
Supervisory Official Signature		Date
Performance Appraisal - Initial Summary Rating Performance Review Board and approved by the		eviewed by the
RATING LEVEL (Rating must be fully justified in the narrative summar	y.)	
I THINGATISEACTORY I I WILLIAM 1221 I I	JLLY HIGHLY SUCCESSFUL	OUTSTANDING
Supervisory Official Signature		Date
EXECUTIVE RESPONSE/REQUEST FOR REVIEW		
I do not wish to provide a written response and/or request a higher lev	vel review.	
I wish to provide a written response. (Complete Appendix A.) Response	se must be submitted within 10 days of rece	eipt of Initial Summary Rating.
I wish to request a higher level review. (Complete Appendix A.)		
Executive Signature		Date
Management and Performance Review Board/S	enior Executive Committee	e Reviews
Complete the following, or complete Appendix A, if the executive has provid Executive Position Manager or the Performance Review Board/Senior Exec		
EXECUTIVE POSITION MANAGER:		
I concur with the Initial Summary Rating.		
Signature		Date
PERFORMANCE REVIEW BOARD/SENIOR EXECUTIVE OF	OMMITTEE:	
We concur with and recommend the Initial Summary Rating to the NASA Ad	Iministrator or Designee.	
Signature of Official Designee		Date
NASA ADMINISTRATOR OR DESIGNEE:		
The Initial Summary Rating is approved and designated as the Annual Perfo	ormance Summary Rating. Date A	pproved

Senior Executive Service Performance Planning and Appraisal

OVERVIEW - The NASA Performance Management System for the Senior Executive Service (SES) encourages excellence in performance, facilitates the accurate evaluation of performance based on performance results, provides for the systematic appraisal of performance, and provides a basis for pay, awards, development, retention, removal, and other personnel decisions. The system promotes the achievement of the Agency's goals and a commitment to the core values by expecting and encouraging managerial excellence in individual and organizational performance and holding executives accountable for results. The system focuses on improved communication and goal clarity and provides for participative performance planning, setting, and communicating individual and organizational goals and performance requirements that are linked to strategic planning initiatives and the Agency's Annual Performance Plan, continual performance monitoring to assess achievements, and annual appraisals of performance using measures that balance individual and organizational results with customer, employee, and stake holder perspectives. Active participation of the senior executive with the supervisor in the total performance appraisal process is important to the overall system effectiveness.

<u>PERFORMANCE PLANNING</u> - The supervisor, in consultation with the senior executive, defines Performance Requirements for the appraisal period and documents them in the senior executive's performance plan. Each plan will include a Program/Project/Functional Objective(s) element specific to the position and a standard Management Competencies element as described on page 4. Performance Requirements are to be written at the "Fully Successful" level.

1. <u>Program/Project/Functional Objective</u>: This objective is the critical element that holds an Executive accountable for achieving business results. Business results should be the goals established in the Agency's current Fiscal Year Performance Plan.

Mission-Related Position - To ensure alignment, the program/project objective <u>must</u> identify at least one specific Annual Performance Goal(s) (APGs) from the Agency's current Fiscal Year Performance Plan as a <u>results-focused</u> critical element. This objective should be an APG(s) for which the Executive is responsible and/or to which he/she will contribute and of such importance, that unsatisfactory performance in this element would result in an overall performance summary rating of unsatisfactory. Next, the specific Agency Objective(s) and Outcome(s) associated with the APG(s) must be identified. This will establish alignment and clear linkage to the Agency's Mission, strategic goals, and annual performance plan and budget priorities.

Mission Support Position - The functional objective <u>must</u> identify at least one specific goal(s) within the Executive's functional area of responsibility as a <u>results-focused</u> critical element that will enable the Mission Directorates and Centers to accomplish their APGs. Wherever possible, consideration should be given to the Agency-specific goals identified relative to Governmentwide initiatives to improve management and program performance. This objective should be a goal for which the Executive is responsible and/or to which he/she will contribute and of such importance, that unsatisfactory performance in this element would result in an overall performance summary rating of unsatisfactory. Next, an Agency-specific goal(s) and/or external commitment(s) associated with the Executive's goal must be identified. This will establish alignment and clear linkage to the Agency's Mission, strategic goals, and annual performance plan and budget priorities.

If the Executive is a manager or supervisor, the work objectives of his/her subordinates should be linked to the specific Agency Objective(s), Outcome(s), and APG(s)/goal(s) identified.

Performance Requirements for Program/Project/Functional Objective: Performance requirements should be described in terms of specific result(s), with metrics, that the Executive must accomplish in order for the Agency to achieve the APG(s). Performance requirements should not be described as activities but as expected results in terms of clear, credible measures (e.g., quality, quantity, timeliness, and/or cost-effectiveness) of performance. They should be Specific, Measurable, and Aggressive yet Achievable, Results oriented and Time based (SMART).

<u>Mission-Related Position</u> - For each APG, identify the performance requirements (e.g., Efficiency Measure(s) if applicable) that support the achievement of the Program/Project Objective and Outcome that has been identified. Additional performance requirements related to the Agency's programmatic metrics, generated by programs and projects, should be identified as appropriate.

<u>Mission Support Position</u> - For each goal, identify the performance requirements that support the achievement of the Agency Objective and Outcome that has been identified. Institutional metrics relating to the Agency's administration, facilities, human capital, and other elements that fall outside the purview of programs and projects should be identified, as appropriate, as performance requirements.

If the Executive is a manager or supervisor, the performance requirements or expectations of his/her subordinates should be linked to and support the achievement of the Executive's performance requirements.

PROGRESS REVIEW - At a minimum, one progress review must be conducted between March 1 and April 30. The plan should be signed and dated to document the midterm review. If the performance plan is changed, the changes should be documented in the plan.

<u>PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT</u> - At the end of the appraisal period, the immediate supervisor and the senior executive discuss the actual individual and organization achievements during the appraisal period. Performance is assessed by the supervisor based on individual and organizational performance taking into account such factors as APG's or goals achieved, the effectiveness, productivity, and performance of assigned employees, meeting safety and diversity goals and complying with merit system principles. Customer, employee, and other stakeholder perspectives will be considered in this assessment.

PERFORMANCE ELEMENT/SUMMARY RATING LEVEL DEFINITIONS

Element 1 will receive a relative weight of 60%; Element 2 will receive a relative weight of 40%. The weight factor times the Element Rating will determine the Summary Rating. The maximum weighted score is 5.0.

OUTSTANDING: *Element Rating* - Performance exceeds requirements to an exceptional degree. *Summary Rating* - Total performance in the position exceeds requirements to an exceptional degree; weighted score is 4.6 or above.

HIGHLY SUCCESSFUL: *Element Rating* - Performance exceeds requirements to a substantial degree. *Summary Rating* - Total performance in the position exceeds requirements to a substantial degree; no element is rated below "Fully Successful"; weighted score is 4.0-4.5.

FULLY SUCCESSFUL - *Element Rating* - Performance fully meets requirements. *Summary Rating* - Total performance in the position fully meets requirements; both elements are rated "Fully Successful" or higher; weighted score is 3.0-3.9.

MINIMALLY SATISFACTORY - Element Rating - Performance only partially meets requirements. Summary Rating - Total performance in the position only partially meets requirements; one or more elements is rated "Minimally Satisfactory" and no element is rated "Unsatisfactory."

UNSATISFACTORY - *Element Rating* - Performance does not meet requirements. *Summary Rating* - Total performance in the position does not meet requirements; when any element is rated "Unsatisfactory."

Outstanding: 4.6-5.0 Minimally Satisfactory: Any Element rated Minimally Satisfactory, with none rated Unsatisfactory:

Highly Successful: 4.0-4.5 Unsatisfactory: Any Element rated Unsatisfactory:

Fully successful: 3.0-3.9

Executive	From	 То	

Title



Senior Executive Service Performance Planning and Appraisal Critical Elements



A Critical Element is a key component of an executive's work that contributes to organizational goals and results and is so important that unsatisfactory performance of it would make the executive's overall job performance unsatisfactory. (See previous page for Performance Summary Rating Level Definitions.) **NOTE: Text is limited to the space provided below.**

at 2.5 major responsibilities of this position
st 3-5 major responsibilities of this position.
gency Objective(s) and Outcome(s) or Agency-specific goal(s) and/or external commitment(s) to which this element
gency Objective(s) and Outcome(s) or Agency-specific goal(s) and/or external commitment(s) to which this element gns (State specific objective(s), outcome(s), goal(s), or commitment(s) and cite source, e.g., Annual Performance
an, etc.)
ogram/Project/Functional Objectives
REFORMANCE REQUIREMENT FOR FULLY SUCCESSFUL
DOODAM/DDO IFOT/FUNOTIONAL DATING /Am and an after the a
ROGRAM/PROJECT/FUNCTIONAL RATING (Any rating other than "Fully Successful" must be justified specifically in the narrative
mmary.) JUNICATION MINIMALLY FULLY HIGHLY OUTSTANDING
UNSATISFACTORY SATISFACTORY SUCCESSFUL SUCCESSFUL OUTSTANDING

Executive	From	То	
Fitle			
2. <u>Management Competencies</u> : Management competencies	tencies are related to the SES Executive Co	ore Qualifications (ECQs).	
Performance Requirements: In rare cases, not all Such performance requirements should be identified position in the block for Performance Requirement.	of the performance requirements for each of during the performance planning stage with	competency may be applicable to an e n the explanation that it is not applicab	executive's position. Dule to the Executive's
a. Leading and Managing People and Resources Cooperation, collaboration, and teamwork acroperformance requirements and outcomes. Workforce or project teams are deployed to acc Current and future workforce or project team in Program/project/functional budgets are well-determined in the Programs/project/functional budgets are well-determined in the Programs/project/functional and contractor perfoschedule delays are identified and immediately information technology is utilized effectively to the Awork environment exists that recognizes and (Supervisory Only) Performance plans and appraisals of subordina traceable to the Agency's goals and objectives Performance plans and appraisals of the emplocompleted by the due date, and at least one or Feedback on performance is provided to subornindividual or as a member of a team, is recognically and progress towards the goals and objectives; Appropriate and timely action is taken to address and timely action is taken to address experiments, and training. The performance of subordinate staff members Time-based (SMART) performance expectation transition into the Federal service; employees a equal employment opportunity without regard to accommodation(s) to an otherwise qualified ind b. Leading and Managing Change Performance for achieving results and continuous and encouraged. The impact of changing national priorities on the is clearly articulated as a vision for the organize. To achieve the vision, strategies are developed needed. The impact of changing national priorities on the is clearly articulated as a vision for the organize. To achieve the vision, strategies are developed needed. The impact of changing national priorities on the is clearly articulated as a vision for the organize. To achieve the vision, strategies are developed needed. The impact of the accomplishment of the Agesafety, teamwork, integrity, and mission succession. Interactions with others demonstrate the achieve the programa of the programa of the accomplishment of the Agency's content of the programa	ss NASA Centers and functions are actively complish efficiently and effectively the organization and adefensible and prepared within expertised and defensible and prepared within expertise to the attention of senior manager organize and manage work and resources supports the needs of employees to balant the staff members are completed by the due (e.g., the Agency Strategic Plan, Agency Propes reporting to subordinate managers at tical element for each is clearly traceable to dinate staff members are of requent basis; the dinate staff members on a frequent basis; the distribution of annual performance awards that are completed by the due (e.g., the Agency Strategic Plan, Agency Propes reporting to subordinate managers at tical element for each is clearly traceable to dinate staff members on a frequent basis; the distribution of annual performance awards that are completed by the due (e.g., the Agency Strategic part of the distribution of annual performance awards that are completed by the due (e.g., the Agency Strategic part of the distribution of annual performance awards that are completed by the due (e.g., the Agency Strategic part of the distribution of annual performance awards that are completed by the due (e.g., the Agency Strategic part of the distribution of annual performance awards that are completed to report of the Agency so make the properties and projects and assistence and the program and projects and assistence and enditing the distribution of annual performance are accompleted by the distribution of annual performance and properties and balance of workload every and the program/project objectives are propriate management officials in a timely report of the program and projects and assistency and the program and projects and assistency and the program and projects and assistency and the program and projects and an understanding of others' needs; estated possible and objectives. **Requirements:** Into the business strategy of the organizate employees are engaged, valued and respectate and progr	repromoted in the accomplishment of the inization's goals and objectives. On's goals and objectives and the initial distribution of the initial distribution of the initial distribution of performance of the Agency's goals and objectives. On the Agency's goals and objectives. O	due dates. dentified and brought als; any problems or Int for each is clearly organization are ployees, as an ce, responsibility, nance ratings. Ing, developmental sults-oriented and ensure successful stems Principles and if needed, reasonable rograms, and projects and outcomes as lange are supported IASA's core values of eachieved. ive courses of action ence, functional support, tating win-win situations ation, and knowledge differences, treat one dissenting views while essible to qualified ing in an alternate
MANAGEMENT COMPETENCIES RATING	Any rating other than "Fully Succ	essful" must be justified speci	fically in the narrative
summary.)			,
UNSATISFACTORY MINIM/ SATISF	ALLY FULLY SUCCESSFU	JL HIGHLY SUCCESSFUL	OUTSTANDING

Executive	From	To
zxecutive	From	10

Title



Senior Executive Service Performance Planning and Appraisal Overall Performance Assessment Narrative



Describe specific achievements, results, and behaviors justifying the executive's performance rating against program/project/functional objectives. NOTE: Text is limited to the space provided below and must be Times New Roman 12 point.

PROGRAM/PROJECT/FUNCTIONAL OBJECTIVES	

Executive	From	To
zxecutive	From	10

Title



Senior Executive Service Performance Planning and Appraisal Overall Performance Assessment Narrative



Describe specific achievements, results, and behaviors justifying the executive's performance rating against management competencies.

NOTE: Text is limited to the space provided below and must be Times New Roman 12 point.

MANAGEMENT COMPETENCIES	