For Official Use ONLY/ Source Selection Information (Confidential) – See FAR 2.101 and 3.104 and 42.1503 / For Official Use ONLY					
Adding comments; not done					
CPARS Construction Evaluation Form Instructions are in RED text					
Contract Number: DTFH70 Task Order No:					
Name of CO who signed the contract on page A-3:					
Contractor Information					
To be completed by Acquisition					
Product Service Code: NAICS:					
Contractor Name/ Address:					
Contractor Name/ Address.					
DUNS Number:					
Contract Information					
Evaluation type: (check one) Final Interim (Percent complete) Addendum					
Period of performance being assessed: From: To:					
Project location: (ex: Approximately 25 miles NE of Kalispell, Montana)					
Course true					
County: Client Agency:					
Contract Dates					
Award date: Final fixed completion date:					
Actual completion date: (If terminated, use the date the termination became effective)					
Dollar Values Award amount:					
Final amount: (final eval only) Current Amount: (interim eval only)					
Complexity (check one) High (Highly skilled personnel, stringent tolerance limits, high degree of management effort required, could involve new technology)					
Medium (moderately complex, uses proven methods/means, moderate tolerance in specs, moderate management effort required)					
Was this project terminated?					
no Lyes, for convenience					
Lyes, for default Lyes, for cause					

* A factual detailed narrative is required (even for "Satisfactory" ratings). It must be clear and concise. This is where you list the facts that support your rating.

CPARS Construction Evaluation Form

Instructions are in RED text

(Completed by Acquisition) Competition Type: Contract Type:

Contract Type Firm-fixed Price Firm-fixed price with incentives SCR Other (explain)

Miscellaneous Information

Project No:

Project Name:

Project length:

Work performed under this contract:

Key subcontractors, DUNS number and work performed (complete for subcontractors performing <u>more</u> than 25% of the project work; otherwise leave blank)

Subcontractor name: DUNS: Work performed:

Subcontractor name: DUNS: Work performed:

This contract (check one) \square does \square does not include a subcontracting plan.

CPARS Construction Evaluation Form

Instructions are in RED text

\mathcal{O}		Rat	ings			
Quality Rating:	(select one)	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\mathcal{O}	
□Not Applicable [Exceptional Ve	ery Good 🗖S	atisfactory 🔲	Marginal 🔲 Ur	satisfactory	
Quality reflects the Contracto Describe things like the contra		y control program, as	well as the quality of	the work itself. (i.e. H	as a quality project been co	nstructed?)
Ability to maintain						
Testing performa						
	of an effective inspection proc	Cess				
	y control documentation correction of deficient work					
 identification and 	correction of delicient work					

- Reviews of materials and shop drawings
- Use of unspecified materials

Your comments should support your rating. Your rating should be supported by documented facts. Include successes and failures. Note corrective actions taken.

Comments:* (required) [24,000 character limit]

Schedule Rating: (select one)

Not Applicable Exceptional Very Good Satisfactory Marginal Unsatisfactory

Assess the timeliness of the Contractor against the completion of the contract milestones and delivery schedule. Address what they did to contribute to or affect the schedule variance. Address the significance of the missed milestones. Note adverse actions, such as liquidated damages, issuance of Cure Notices, Show Cause Notices, etc.

Questions you might consider:

- Is the contractor completing the project in a timely manner?
- Did the contractor adequately schedule the work?
- Has the contractor met administrative deadlines (i.e. submittals received timely?)
- Has the contractor met milestone dates?
- If the schedule slipped due the contractor's action/inaction, what efforts were made to correct this?
- Have construction activities been completed in a timely manner?
- Has the contractor submitted updated project schedules in a timely manner?
- If liquidated damages apply, how much has been assessed (dollars)?

Comments:* (required) [24,000 character limit]

CPARS Construction Evaluation Form

Instructions are in RED text

Cost Control Rating: (not applicable to firm-fixed price contracts)

X_Not Applicable _Exceptional _Very Good _Satisfactory _Marginal _Unsatisfactory

Management Rating: (select one)

Not Applicable Exceptional Very Good Satisfactory Marginal Unsatisfactory

Assess the integration and coordination of all activity needed to complete the contract. Consider timeliness, completeness, quality of problem identification, corrective action plans, proposal submittals, Contractor's history of reasonable & cooperative behavior, timely identification of issues, focus on customer satisfaction, and timely award & management of subcontractors.

Questions you might consider:

- Is the contractor customer focused? What is the quality of interaction between the Government and the contractor? Is management reasonable and cooperative?
- Did contractor's management (onsite and home office) exhibit the capacity to adequately plan, schedule, resource, organize, and otherwise manage the work. Did the contractor identify and apply adequate resources to meet schedule requirements? Did the contractor share the right information with the right people at the right time?
- Responsiveness: Assess the timeliness, completeness, and quality of problem identification, corrective action plans, and proposal submittals.
- Subcontract Management: Are subcontracts awarded timely? How well does contractor manage subcontractors? How early do they
 identify and address subcontract issues? Are subcontractors paid on time? Do they ensure subcontractors comply with labor and
 safety requirements?
- If the contract has a substantial amount of government furnished property, how well did the contractor manage this property?
- If the contract has a Key Personnel Clause (1252.237-73), assess the contractor's performance in selecting, retaining, supporting, and replacing (if necessary) key personnel?

Comments:* (required) [24,000 character limit]

CPARS Construction Evaluation Form

Instructions are in RED text



Comments* (required) [24,000 character limit]

Regulatory Rating (select one)

Not Applicable Exceptional Very Good Satisfactory Marginal Unsatisfactory

Assess compliance with all terms and conditions in the contract relating to regulations and codes. Consider financial, environmental, safety, labor, and other regulations or laws.

Questions you might consider:

- Has the contractor complied with reporting requirements found in various FAR clauses?
- Has the contractor complied with safety requirements? Have they implemented an effective safety program?
- Has the contractor complied with labor laws?
- Has the contractor complied with hazardous Material Identification and Material Safety Data?
- Has the contractor complied with Environmental requirements?
- Has the contractor complied with EEO?
- Has the contractor complied with combating human trafficking?

Comments:* (required) [24,000 character limit]

CPARS Construction Evaluation Form

Instructions are in RED text

Other Areas Rating (optional)

Specify additional evaluation areas that are unique to this contract or that were not captured elsewhere.

Name of area (Select one) Not Applicable Exceptional Very Good Satisfactory Marginal Unsatisfactory				
Name of area (Select one) Not Applicable Exceptional Very Good Satisfactory Marginal Unsatisfactory				
Name of area				
Comments: * (If you add evaluation areas in this section, comments are required) [24,000 character limit]				

Recommendation:

Given what I know today about the contractor's ability to perform in accordance with this contract or order's most significant requirements, I (check one) would would not recommend them for similar requirements in the future.

Comments:* Use this area for general comments not directly related to an evaluation area. [24,000 character limit]

CPARS Construction Evaluation Form

Instructions are in RED text

Signatures

Assessing Official Info				
Name:	Signature			
Title: Project Engineer	Date			
Evaluation Reviewed by				
Name:	Signature			
Title: Construction Operations Engineer	Date			
Phone:				
Second Level Review				
Name:	Signature			
Title: Contracting Officer	Date			

* A factual detailed narrative is required (even for "Satisfactory" ratings). It must be clear and concise. This is where you list the facts that support your rating.