



Universal Business Language (UBL) Code List Representation

Version: 1.1 draft 17 January 2005

Document identifier:

wd-ublclsc-codelist-20050103.doc

Location:

<http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/ubl/>

Editor:

Marty Burns for National Institute of Standards and Technology, NIST, burnsmarty@aol.com

Contributors:

Anthony Coates abcoates@londonmarketsystems.com
Mavis Cournane mavis.cournane@cognitran.com
Suresh Damodaran Suresh_Damodaran@stercomm.com
Anne Hendry anne.hendry@sun.com
G. Ken Holman gkholman@CraneSoftwrights.com
Serm Kulvatunyou serm@nist.gov
Eve Maler eve.maler@sun.com
Tim McGrath tmcgrath@portcomm.com.au
Mark Palmer mark.palmer@nist.gov
Sue Probert sue.probert@dial.pipex.com
Lisa Seaburg lseaburg@aeon-llc.com
Paul Spencer paul.spencer@boynings.co.uk
Alan Stitzer alan.stitzer@marsh.com
Frank Yang Frank.Yang@RosettaNet.org

Abstract:

This specification provides rules for developing and using reusable code lists. This specification has been developed for the UBL Library and derivations thereof, but it may also be used by other technologies and XML vocabularies as a mechanism for sharing code lists and for expressing code lists in W3C XML Schema form.

Note: This draft is an intermediate edit along the path of UBL 1.1. The present revision has only modified the front matter of the document and revised the requirements. The reader is directed to ignore the balance of the draft contained herein.

Status:

This document was developed by the OASIS UBL Code List Subcommittee [CLSC]. Your comments are invited. Members of this subcommittee should send comments on this

40 specification to the ubl-clsc@lists.oasis-open.org list. Others should subscribe to and send
41 comments to the ubl-comment@lists.oasis-open.org list.

42 For information on whether any patents have been disclosed that may be essential to
43 implementing this specification, and any offers of patent licensing terms, please refer to the
44 Intellectual Property Rights (OASIS-IPR) section of the Security Services TC web page
45 (<http://www.oasis-open.org/who/intellectualproperty.php>)

Table of Contents

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

1	Introduction.....	5
1.1	About the current version.....	5
1.2	Scope and Audience.....	6
1.3	Terminology and Notation.....	6
1.3.1	Definitions.....	6
2	Requirements for Code Lists.....	9
2.1	Overview.....	9
2.2	Use and management of Code Lists.....	9
2.2.1	[R1] First-order business information entities.....	9
2.2.2	[R2] Second-order business information entities.....	9
2.2.3	[R3] Data and Metadata model separate from Schema representation.....	9
2.2.4	[R4] XML and XML Schema representation.....	10
2.2.5	[R5 (Future)] Machine readable data model.....	10
2.2.6	[R6 (Future)] Conformance test for code lists.....	10
2.2.7	[R6a] Supplementary components or metadata available in instance documents.....	10
2.3	Types of code lists.....	11
2.3.1	[R7] <i>UBL maintained Code List</i>	11
2.3.2	[R8] <i>Identify and use external standardized code lists</i>	11
2.3.3	[R9] <i>Private use code list</i>	11
2.4	Technical requirements of Code Lists.....	11
2.4.1	[R10] Semantic clarity.....	11
2.4.2	[R11] Interoperability.....	11
2.4.3	[R12] External maintenance.....	12
2.4.4	[R13] Validatability.....	12
2.4.5	[R14] Context rules friendliness.....	12
2.4.6	[R15] Upgradability / Extensibility without modifying underlying references.....	12
2.4.7	[R16] Readability.....	12
2.4.8	[R17] Code lists must be unambiguously identified.....	12
2.4.9	[R18 (Future)] Ability to prevent extension or modification.....	13
2.5	Design Requirements of Code List Data Model.....	13
2.5.1	[R19] A set of the values (codes) forms each code list.....	13
2.5.2	[R20 (Future)] Multiple lists of equivalent values (codes) for a code list.....	13
2.5.3	[R21] Unique identifier(s) for a code list.....	14
2.5.4	[R22] Unique identifiers for individual entries in a code list.....	14
2.5.5	[R23] Names for a code list.....	14

82	2.5.6	[R24] Documentation for a code list	14
83	2.5.7	[R25] Documentation for individual entries on a code list	14
84	2.5.8	[R26 (Future)] The ability to import, extend, and/or restrict values and elements of other	
85		code lists.....	14
86	2.5.9	[R27 (Future)] Support for describing code lists that cannot be enumerated	15
87	2.5.10	[R28 (Future)] Support for references to equivalent code lists	15
88	2.5.11	[R29 (Future)] Support for individual values to be mapped to equivalent values in other	
89		code lists	15
90	2.5.12	[R30 (Future)] Support for users to attach their own metadata to a code list.....	15
91	2.5.13	[R31 (Future)] Support for describing the validity period of the values.....	15
92	2.5.14	[R32] Identifier for UN/CEFACT DE 3055.....	15
93	3	Data and Metadata Model for Code Lists.....	16
94	3.1	Data Model Definition	16
95	3.2	Supplementary Components (Metadata) Model Definition.....	16
96	3.3	Examples of Use.....	17
97	4	XML Schema representation of Code Lists.....	19
98	4.1	Data Model Mapping.....	20
99	4.2	Supplementary Components Mapping	22
100	4.3	Namespace URN (Future).....	23
101	4.4	Namespace Prefix	23
102	4.5	Code List Schema Generation	23
103	4.5.1	Data model and example values.....	23
104	4.5.2	Schema to generate	24
105	4.5.3	Schema file name.....	25
106	4.6	Code List Schema Usage.....	30
107	4.7	Instance	32
108	4.8	Deriving New Code Lists from Old Ones (future).....	32
109	4.8.1	Extending code lists	32
110	4.8.2	Restricting code lists	33
111	5	Conformance to UBL Code Lists (future).....	34
112	6	References	35
113	Appendix A.	Revision History	36
114	Appendix B.	Notices	37
115			

116

1 Introduction

117 Trading partners utilizing the Universal Business Language (UBL) must agree on restricted sets of coded
118 values, termed "code lists", from which values populate particular UBL data fields. Code lists are
119 accessed using many technologies, including databases, programs and XML. Code lists are expressed
120 in XML for UBL using W3C XML Schema for authoring guidance and processing validation purposes.

121 It is important to note that XML schema languages are not purely abstract data models. They provide
122 only a particular representation of the data. In addition, there are many roughly equivalent design choices
123 (e.g. elements versus attributes). The underlying logical model is obscured, and can be difficult to
124 extract. Therefore, XML schema languages are principally useful as a way of specifying rules to an XML
125 validation engine. Database schemas and programming language class models would have their own
126 specific representations of the logical data models.

127 A good logical data model format should allow the information about code lists to be expressed in a
128 format that is as simple and unambiguous as possible. To maximize the abstraction on one hand, and the
129 utility of the code list representations on the other, this document first derives an abstract data model of a
130 code list, and then, an XMLSchema representation of that data model.

131 Note that there are two major aspects of a model of code lists – the list of codes and descriptive
132 information about the code list termed “supplementary components”. Supplementary components include
133 information such as origin and version, for example. Supplementary components describe the metadata
134 about the code lists and codes themselves. They appropriately describe the context within which
135 individual codes can be understood.

136 The document begins with a section expositing the requirements adopted by the committee in order to
137 make certain that design follows requirements. These requirements were used to steer the design
138 choices elected in the balance of the document.

139 This specification was developed by the OASIS UBL Code List Subcommittee **[CLSC]** to provide rules for
140 developing and using reusable code lists expressed using W3C XML Schema **[XSD]** syntax. 

141 The contents combine requirements and solutions previously developed by UBL's Library, Naming, and
142 Design Rules subcommittee **[CL5]**, the work of the National Institute of Standards “eBusiness Standards
143 Convergence Forum” **[eBSC]** with contributions from Frank Yang and Suresh Damodaran of Rosettanet
144 **[eBSCMemo]**, and position papers by Anthony Coates **[COATES]**, Gunther Stuhec **[STUHEC]**, and Paul
145 Spencer **[SPENCER]**.

146 The data model attempts to be sufficiently general to be employable with other technologies (e.g. non-
147 XML) and in other scenarios that are outside the scope of this committee's work.

148 This specification is organized as follows:

- 149 • Section 2 provides requirements for code lists;
- 150 • Section 3 provides a data and metadata model (supplementary components) of code lists;
- 151 • Section 4 is an XMLSchema representation of the model;
- 152 • Section 5 is the recommendations for code producers and the compliance rules.

1.1 About the current version

154 The Code List model described in this paper for UBL 1.0 has laid much of the groundwork for extensible
155 code lists. It includes an extensibility mechanism based on XSD substitution groups that has not been
156 adopted for UBL 1.0 but will serve as a starting point for work on a code list extension mechanism for

157 UBL 1.1. The current specification places a priority on uniformity of code list metadata independent of the
158 mechanism eventually adopted for code list extension.

159 The UBL team has embarked on an effort, in conjunction with NIST's eBusiness Standards Convergence
160 Forum (eBSC) to fulfill the goals of constructing a code list model that can be reused throughout industry.
161 The current version contains an update to the descriptions of the requirements and some enhanced
162 requirements discovered in the interim. For the time being, those features beyond the UBL1.0 are still
163 labeled as FUTURE such designation to be removed further along in the version 1.1 process.

164 Persons wishing to engage in the further evolution of this specification are urged to join the OASIS
165 Universal Business Language Technical Committee (<http://oasis-open.org/>).

166 1.2 Scope and Audience

167 The rules in this specification are designed to encourage the creation and maintenance of code list
168 modules by their proper owners as much as possible. It was originally developed for the UBL Library and
169 derivations thereof, but it is largely not specific to UBL needs; it may also be used with other XML and
170 non-XML vocabularies as a mechanism for sharing code lists. If enough code-list-maintaining agencies
171 adhere to these rules, we anticipate that a more open marketplace in XML-encoded code lists will emerge
172 for all XML vocabularies. In addition, it is anticipated that these common definitions will find use in other
173 non-XML applications that need to store or otherwise represent the same data as it traverses from
174 application to application.

175 This specification assumes that the reader is familiar with the UBL Library and with the ebXML Core
176 Components [CCTS2.01] concepts and ISO 11179 [ISO 11179] concepts that underlie it. While mastery
177 of these concepts is not essential to the understanding and use of this document, they are useful in
178 explaining the concepts behind the organization and structure of this material.

179 1.3 Terminology and Notation

180 The text in this specification is normative for UBL Library use unless otherwise indicated. The key words
181 *must*, *must not*, *required*, *shall*, *shall not*, *should*, *should not*, *recommended*, *may*, and *optional* in this
182 specification are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

183 Terms defined in the text are in **bold**. Refer to the UBL Naming and Design Rules [NDR] for additional
184 definitions of terms.

185 Core Component names from ebXML are in *italic*.

186 Example code listings appear like this.

187 **Note:** Non-normative notes and explanations appear like this.

188 Conventional XML namespace prefixes are used throughout this specification to stand for their respective
189 namespaces as follows, whether or not a namespace declaration is present in the example:

190 The prefix `xs:` stands for the W3C XML Schema namespace [XSD].

191 The prefix `xhtml:` stands for the XHTML namespace.

192 The prefix `iso3166:` stands for a namespace assigned by a fictitious code list module for the ISO 3166-
193 1 country code list.

194 1.3.1 Definitions

195 [1/2/05 MJB] Need to substantially populate this list with all acronyms and terminology
196 used in this paper.

197

BIE	Business Information Entities.
code	A group of contiguous text characters that together uniquely specify the name and/or attributes of a particular field or “element” embedded in a stream of data.
code list	A set containing one or more codes or code values that is associated with one or more elements of data stream.
code list mechanism	A term used to distinguish this specification from the instances of actual code lists based on it.
code value	See the definition for “code” above.
core components	A building block such as account identification data that contains pieces of business information associated with a single concept. Core components are sufficiently general to be used across several or many different business sectors.
data model	A technique, set of rules and/or methods used to organize information objects and thereby define a structure for data. Such models are created to streamline the storage/retrieval, manipulation, use or comprehension of data and/or to provide information about its interrelationships, meaning, function or usage.
ebXML	An acronym for Electronic Business using eXtensible Markup Language. ebXML is a modular suite of specifications that enables enterprises at disparate geographical locations to conduct business over the Internet. ebXML, provides standard methods for exchanging business messages, for conducting trading relationships, for communicating data in common terms and for defining and registering business processes.
enumeration	A list or set, usually containing two or more entries, of associated data elements. Entries have been logically grouped or associated, and possibly named as a set, permitting later selection of a single member or entry for a purpose such as specifying the characteristics of an object.
ISO 11179	An International Organization for Standardization specification that provides rules and guidelines for the naming, definition, creation and registration of data elements. It also contains information about the type of metadata that should be specified for data elements.
metadata	Information, for example characteristics, content, context or structure, that is associated with a data object. In short, metadata is “data about data.”
NDR	Naming and design rules.
OASIS	An acronym for Organization for the Advancement of Structured Information Standards. OASIS is a not-for-profit, international consortium that drives the development, convergence, and adoption of e-business standards. It produces standards used for or by Web services, security, e-business, the public sector and application-specific markets.
Perl	The Practical Extraction and Report Language is an interpreted programming language that utilizes features from C, sed, awk, and sh. It scans arbitrary text files, extracts information from them and generates output based on the extracted information. As open source software its source code is available.

supplementary components	Supplementary components describe the metadata about the code lists and codes themselves. They appropriately describe the context within which individual codes can be understood.
UBL	A generic XML interchange format for business documents that can be extended to meet the requirements of particular industries. The UBL specification currently consists of a library of XML schemas for reusable data components (e.g. "address", "payment", etc.), a set of XML schemas for common business documents (e.g. "Order", "Invoice", etc.) and support for industry-specific extensions to the format.
URI	An acronym for Uniform Resource Identifier. Each URI is a unique identifier for a resource or object on the Internet. URIs are drawn from a universal set of names or addresses and the objects or resources to which they refer can be accessed with well-known protocols. Every URI is located in one or more registries of such names and/or addresses. A Uniform Resource Locator (URL) is one example of a URI.
W3C	An acronym for World Wide Web Consortium. This organization develops and distributes information, specifications, guidelines, software, and tools that enhance the operation of the Internet. It also acts as a forum for commerce, education and communication.
XML	An acronym for eXtensible Markup Language. XML is a set of rules for the creation of customized markup languages that are used in textual documents to name, describe the attributes of and specify the relationships between data elements contained in those documents. XML is derived from SGML (Standard Generalized Markup Language) and has been designed for the transport and sharing of data..
XML Schema	A textual description of the appearance, interrelationships and valid value ranges for the data elements in an XML stream.

2 Requirements for Code Lists

199

200

“There can be no solution without a requirement!”

201

This section summarizes the requirements to be addressed by this paper. Requirements are identified in the heading for each one as: [Rn], where ‘n’ is the requirement number. This draft contains requirements that have been accumulated for code lists in general. In order to allow for the interim publishing of this specification, several of the requirements have been labeled as future requirements: [Rn (Future)]

202

203

204

205

[3/9/04 MJB] The requirements in this section need to be associated ultimately with the design in sections 3 and 4. This will be done by listing requirements addressed in each subsection below the subsection title line.

206

207

208

2.1 Overview

209

The goal of this document is to provide a representation model or mechanism for code lists that are extensible, restrictable, traceable, and cognizant of the need for code lists to be maintained by various organizations who are authorities on their content. Code lists developed by this means will be infused with the requirements outlined in this section.

210

211

212

213

Note that the code list *mechanism* of this specification needs to support all of the requirements in this section. However, any single code list based on this specification may not be required to meet all requirements simultaneously. The appropriate subset of requirements that a given code list must support is summarized in the use cases presented in the conformance section (5 Conformance to UBL Code Lists).

214

215

216

217

218

2.2 Use and management of Code Lists

219

This section describes requirements for the use and management of code lists.

220

2.2.1 [R1] First-order business information entities

221

Code list values may appear as first-order business information entities (BIEs). For example, one property of an address might be a code indicating the country. This information appears in an element, according to the Naming and Design Rules specification [NDR]. For example, in XML a country code might appear as:

222

223

224

225

```
<Country>UK</Country>
```

226

2.2.2 [R2] Second-order business information entities

227

Code list values may appear as second-order information that qualifies another BIE. For example, any information of the Amount core component type must have a supplementary component (metadata) indicating the currency code. For example, in XML a currency code might appear as an attribute – the value of element Currency is 2456000; the code EUR describes that these are in Euros:

228

229

230

231

```
<Currency code="EUR">2456000</Currency>
```

232

2.2.3 [R3] Data and Metadata model separate from Schema representation

233

Since all uses of code lists will not be exclusively within the XML domain – ie. Databases, etc..., it is desirable to separate the description of the data model from its XML representative form. This will facilitate use for other purposes of the semantically identical information.

234

235

236 Code list interoperability comes about when different specifications or applications use the same
237 enumerated values (or aliases thereof) to represent the same things/concepts/etc. Sharing XML
238 schemas (or fragments) is one way of achieving this, but it is not a necessary method for achieving this
239 goal.

240 Broader interoperability can be achieved instead by defining a format which models code lists
241 independently of any validation or representation mechanisms that they may be used with. Such a data
242 model should be able to be processed to produce the required XML Schemas, and should also be able to
243 be processed to produce other artifacts, e.g. Java type-safe enumeration classes, database Schemas,
244 code snippets for HTML forms or XForms, etc.

245 The format should be appropriate for use across a range of standards activities, i.e. it should embody the
246 most generic view of code lists, and not any particular group's specific view. It should also be useful for
247 implementations of those standards, not just for the standards activity itself.

248 **2.2.4 [R4] XML and XML Schema representation**

249 The principal anticipated use of the code list model will be in XML applications – XML for usage, and
250 XMLSchema for validation of instance documents. This paper should realize a proper XML / XMLSchema
251 representation for the code list model.

252 **2.2.5 [R5 (Future)] Machine readable data model**

253 A data model is an abstraction and it must be converted to explicit representation for use. The principal
254 such use anticipated by this effort is that of XML data exchange. A machine readable representation of
255 the data model makes the lossless transfer of all meaning to the representation of choice easier since it
256 can be automated. It is therefore desirable that the data model be expressed in a machine-readable
257 form. By lossless transfer it is intended that once a transfer of a code list model into an alternate form, all
258 original information or semantics is contained in the alternate form so that the original could then be
259 recreated solely from the contents of the original form.

260 By way of a negative example, consider the following translation that is not lossless:

261 Assume that a number represented in syntax A 98.6. Syntax B is restricted by its designers to
262 only integral number representations. Thus the translation of 98.6 would result in 98. Clearly, the
263 translation was not lossless since the fractional part (although not needed by applications using
264 Syntax B) was truncated. There is no way to deduce 98.6 solely from the number 98.

265 **2.2.6 [R6 (Future)] Conformance test for code lists**

266 An abstract model for code lists requires a method to ensure conformance and consistency of the
267 rendering of instance Schemas based on the model. There shall be a definition of this conformance to
268 qualify the results of the usage of this specification.

269 **2.2.7 [R6a] Supplementary components or metadata available in instance 270 documents**

271 Instance documents often have fiduciary requirements. This requirement is independent of the need to be
272 able to validate contents according to a referenced schema. This requires that some meta-information be
273 explicitly contained in the instance document, irrespective of its availability in a referenced document.
274 Therefore:

- 275 ▪ The supplementary components of the code lists of code list values utilized in a UBL instance
276 shall optionally be available in the XML instance proper without any processing from any external
277 source including any schema expression.

- 278
279
280
- The supplementary components shall be optionally available for all code-list-value information items even when two or more such information items are found in the set of data and attribute information items for any given element.

281 **2.3 Types of code lists**

282 **2.3.1 [R7] UBL maintained Code List**

283 UBL will make use of code lists that describe information content specific to UBL. Such code lists are
284 intended to become part of the UBL Library of schemas.

285 In some cases the UBL Library may have to be extended to meet specific business requirements. In other
286 cases where a suitable code list does not exist in the public domain, that code list and all its values may
287 have to be added to the UBL Library where it will be maintained. Both of these types of code lists would
288 be considered UBL-internal code lists.

289 **2.3.2 [R8] Identify and use external standardized code lists**

290 Because the majority of code lists are expected to be owned and maintained by external agencies, UBL
291 shall make maximum use of such external code lists where they exist. The UBL Library SHOULD identify
292 and use external standardized code lists rather than develop its own UBL-native code lists.

293 **2.3.3 [R9] Private use code list**

294 This model must support the construction of private code lists where an existing external code list needs
295 to be extended, or where no suitable external code list exists.

296 **2.4 Technical requirements of Code Lists**


297 Following are technical quality requirements for code lists.

298 **2.4.1 [R10] Semantic clarity**

299 The ability to “de-reference” the ultimate normative definition of the code being used. The supplementary
300 components for “Code.Type” CCTs are the expected way of providing this clarity, but there are many
301 ways to supply values for these components in XML, and it’s even possible to supply values in some non-
302 XML form that can then be referenced by the XML form.

303 *[1/1/05 MJB] Still need to elaborate this requirement.*

304 **2.4.2 [R11] Interoperability**

305 Interoperability can be thought of as the sharing of a common understanding of the limited set of codes
306 expected to be used. There is a continuum of possibilities here. For example, a schema datatype that
307 allows only a hard-coded enumerated list of code values provides “hard” (but inflexible) interoperability.
308 On the other hand, merely documenting the intended shared values is more flexible but somewhat less
309 interoperable, since there are fewer penalties for private arrangements that go outside the standard
310 boundaries. This requirement is related to, but distinct from, validatability and context rules friendliness 

311 **2.4.3 [R12] External maintenance**

312 The ability for non-UBL organizations to create XSD schema modules that define code lists in a way that
313 allows UBL to reuse them without modification on anyone's part. Some standards bodies are already
314 doing this, although we recognize that others may never choose to create such modules.

315 **2.4.4 [R13] Validatability**

316 The ability to use XSD (or correspondingly suitable tool if not an XML based representation of the code
317 list) to validate that a code appearing in an instance is legitimately a member of the referenced code list.

318 **2.4.5 [R14] Context rules friendliness**

319 The code list mechanism shall use expected normal mechanisms of the UBL Naming and Design Rules
320 (NDR) without unnecessarily adding custom features just for code lists.

321 *[1/3/05 MJB] Note: If any extension is necessary or agreed upon, changes in the NDR*
322 *shall be required to evidence it.*

323 **2.4.6 [R15] Upgradability / Extensibility without modifying underlying** 324 **references**

325 The code list mechanism shall support the ability to begin using a new version of a code list without the
326 need for upgrading, modifying, or customizing the source schema modules (or other original referenced
327 material).

328 It is therefore necessary to establish a mechanism by which a given code or code list can be extended for
329 use without having to alter the underlying source material. When such an extension is made, it is also
330 necessary to be able to determine unambiguously the nature and source of the modification so that its
331 use can be validated.

332 **2.4.7 [R16] Readability**

333 A representation in the XML instance that provides code information in a clear, easily readable form. For
334 example, representing codes as a sequence of arbitrary number sequences would fail this test as there
335 would be no contextual information.

336 **2.4.8 [R17] Code lists must be unambiguously identified**

337 The generation of multiple versions of a code list and the coexistence of more than one version shall be
338 supported. The procedure used to generate each such revision from an earlier version shall be
339 deterministic and thus repeatable and auditable. Publication of related code lists, for example either
340 multiple versions of a single code list or other appropriate groupings, shall be accommodated to, for
341 example, simplify configuration management tasks.

342 In any instance of a document that uses codes from a code list, it must be unambiguous what the set of
343 valid codes are and the origin and version of the code list. For example, presuming that version can be
344 facilitated by the definition of a unique Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI), it is required that:

- 345 1. Any two uses of the same namespace URI represent the use of the same code list
346 definition
- 347 2. No two differing code list definitions shall be represented by the same namespace URI

- 348 3. When two trading partners identify the use of a code list, there must not be any
 349 ambiguity.
- 350 4. Should either partner create a code list or change an existing code list, the identification
 351 of the resulting code list must be distinct from that of its origin.

352 **2.4.9 [R18 (Future)] Ability to prevent extension or modification**

353 Certain code lists should not be extensible. For example, the traditional English list of colors in a rainbow,
 354 RED ORANGE YELLOW GREEN BLUE INDIGO VIOLET. It should be possible to indicate that such a
 355 code list is not extensible so the users can be assured of this constancy in its usage. [ABC] I think this
 356 only applies to XML Schema, not to the generic XML model, for reasons we can discuss as required.

357 **2.5 Design Requirements of Code List Data Model**

358 What follows is a list of some of the features that a code list data model must and/or should provide.

359 **2.5.1 [R19] A set of the values (codes) forms each code list**

360 Each code list must contain zero or more valid codes. The codes represent the content of the code list.
 361 Some useful code lists have been designed that have no specific predefined codes. Support for such lists
 362 is required.

363 **2.5.2 [R20 (Future)] Multiple lists of equivalent values (codes) for a code
 364 list**

365 Multiple representations for each code value must be supported in order to account for individual
 366 business requirements. For example, both integer & mnemonic representations may be needed as well
 367 as versions in more than one language. Clearly each value in a particular set of code values must be
 368 unique.

369 Consider days of the week, for instance. In this case well-accepted names, abbreviations, and integers
 370 might be needed under different circumstance to represent the text strings "Sunday", "Monday",
 371 "Tuesday", etc. A number of different ways of identifying days are presented in the table below, and
 372 clearly the rightmost column is not acceptable since each value is no longer unique. This requirement
 373 means that there must be no impediments to adding columns to such a table of codes.

374

Number	Uppercase English	Mixed Case English	Mixed Case English Full	French Uppercase	Single Letter
0	SUN	Sun	Sunday	DIM	S
1	MON	Mon	Monday	LUN	M
2	TUE	Tue	Tuesday	MAR	T
3	WED	Wed	Wednesday	MER	W
4	THU	Thu	Thursday	JEU	T
5	FRI	Fri	Friday	VEN	F
6	SAT	Sat	Saturday	SAM	S

375

376 The format used to express each notional code or list entry should permit multiple values to be associated
377 with or assigned to each such entry. List entries should be represented in a generic fashion that is
378 appropriate both for all associated standards activities and for all conceivable code list implementations of
379 the standard.

380 The format used for code lists should support any required level of complexity for both list entries and the
381 code lists containing them. This format should make provision for the rapid construction of simple code
382 lists and minimize the complexity of this process without increasing the difficulty of generating more
383 complex lists. Any format should be portable and thus able to be processed on a broad range of computer
384 systems.

385 **2.5.3 [R21] Unique identifier(s) for a code list**

386 Each code list and each version of such a list must contain at least one unique identifier (or set of
387 identifiers which are collectively unique) able to reference that entire code list. It is equivalent to a key for
388 the entire code list that can distinguish it from other code lists. There should be no restrictions as to which
389 set of codes in the list can be used for this purpose, how many such keys will be used or which key(s)
390 have higher priorities than others.

391 The unique identifier(s) for each code list shall support automated differentiation, i.e. by machine, of each
392 code list or version thereof from all others.

393 **2.5.4 [R22] Unique identifiers for individual entries in a code list**

394 Each code within a code list must be represented by a unique identifier. This requirement means that no
395 two codes within a single code list can have identical identifiers.


396 **2.5.5 [R23] Names for a code list**

397 Each code list must have a unique name. The same, as much as possible, should convey the content of
398 the list.

399 **2.5.6 [R24] Documentation for a code list**

400 Each code list must contain documentation that describes, in detail, the business usage for that code list.

401 **2.5.7 [R25] Documentation for individual entries on a code list**

402 Each code entry on a code list shall support valid values, optional index values, and an optional long
403 description to convey, in detail, the business meaning (as presented from the context of the code list
404 author) and usage for this code value 

405 **2.5.8 [R26 (Future)] The ability to import, extend, and/or restrict values and** 406 **elements of other code lists**

407 The model for code lists must provide the ability to extend, restrict or import additional values and/or
408 elements of other code lists.

409 Each code list and the format used to represent it must support derivation of descendant code lists.

410 Derivation in this context shall include adding and/or removing notional codes and/or sets of values
411 associated with the list as well as adding and/or removing keys, descriptive information, etc.

412 Any such derivation shall be done in a deterministic fashion that is repeatable and auditable (see [R17],
413 [R21]).

414
415

2.5.9 [R27 (Future)] Support for describing code lists that cannot be enumerated

416
417

Provision shall be made for the creation of code lists that cannot be enumerated either in part or in their entirety because of size, volatility, or proprietary restrictions.

418

2.5.10 [R28 (Future)] Support for references to equivalent code lists

419
420

Each code list must be able to refer to other code lists that may or may not be used in place of it. These references are not necessarily exactly the same, but may be equivalent based on business usage.

421
422

If there are two code lists that can substitute for each other in a transaction, there shall be a mechanism by which this relationship can be expressed.

423
424

2.5.11 [R29 (Future)] Support for individual values to be mapped to equivalent values in other code lists

425
426
427

Each code list value must be able to refer to other code list values that may or may not be used in place of it. These references are not necessarily exactly the same, but may be equivalent based on business usage.

428
429

For example, a country might change its name, and hence be assigned a different country code, which is effectively a replacement for the previous one.


430
431

2.5.12 [R30 (Future)] Support for users to attach their own metadata to a code list

432
433

Each code list shall accommodate the addition of descriptive information by an individual user to account for unique business requirements.

434
435

Addition of such “metadata” to any combination of code lists, individual codes, and associated values shall be supported 

436
437

2.5.13 [R31 (Future)] Support for describing the validity period of the values

438
439
440

An effective date and expiration date should be established so that the code list can be scoped in time. See, for example, “Patterns for things that change with time”, <http://martinfowler.com/ap2/timeNarrative.html>.

441

2.5.14 [R32] Identifier for UN/CEFACT DE 3055.

442
443
444

Many code lists have been defined by UN/CEFACT. The code list model requires a representation of an identifier for this standard UNTDED 3055 **[UNTDED 3055]**. This identifier uniquely identifies UN/EDIFACT standard code lists.

445

3 Data and Metadata Model for Code Lists

446
447
448

This section provides rules for developing and using reusable code lists. These rules were developed for the UBL Library and derivations thereof, but they may also be used by other code-list-maintaining agencies as guidelines for any vocabulary wishing to share code lists. See section 5.0 Conformance.

449
450
451

Since the UBL Library is based on the ebXML Core Components Version 2.01, 15 November 2003; see [CCTS2.01]), the supplementary components identified for the *Code*. *Type* core component type are used to identify a code as being from a particular list.

452

Note that the model in this section is presented in two parts:

453

A data model for the codes themselves, and,

454

A metadata model for “supplementary components” that describe the entire list

455

3.1 Data Model Definition

456

The data model of codes in a code list is presented below.

CCT	UBL Name	Object Class	Property Term	Representation Term	Primitive Type	Card	Remarks
Code. Content	Content	Code	Content	Text	String	1..1	Required
Code. Name. Text	CodeName	Code	Name	Text	String	0..n	Optional
N/A	CodeDescription	Code Description	Description	Text	String	0..n	Optional
N/A	CodeIndex (Future)	Code Index	Index	Numeric	Number	0..1	Optional

457

3.2 Supplementary Components (Metadata) Model Definition

458

The following model contains the supplementary components description of a code list.

CCT	UBL Name	Object Class	Property Term	Representation Term	Primitive Type	Card	Remarks
N/A	name	Code	Name	Text	String	0..1	Optional
Code List. Identifier	CodeListID	Code List	Identification	Identifier	String	0..1	Optional
Code List. Agency. Identifier	CodeListAgencyID	Code List	Agency	Identifier	String	0..1	Optional

Code List. Agency Name. Text	CodeListAgencyName	Code List Agency Name	Text	String	0..1	Optional
Code List. Name. Text	CodeListName	Code List Name	Text	String	0..1	Optional
Code List. Version. Identifier	CodeListVersionID	Code List Version	Identifier	String	0..1	Optional
Code List. Uniform Resource. Identifier	CodeListURI	Code List Uniform Resource	Identifier	String	0..1	Optional
Code List Scheme. Uniform Resource. Identifier	CodeListSchemeURI	Code List Scheme Resource	Identifier	String	0..1	Optional
Language. Identifier	LanguageID	Language Identifier	Identifier	String	0..1	Optional
Code List . Namespace . Prefix. Identifier	CodeListNamespacePrefixID	Code List Namespace Prefix	Identifier	String	0..1	Optional
N/A	CodeListDescription	Code List Description	Text	String	0..1	Optional
N/A	CodeListCredits	Code List Credits	Text	String	0..1	Optional

459

460 3.3 Examples of Use

461 The data type “Code“ is used for all elements that should enable coded value representation in the
 462 communication between partners or systems, in place of texts, methods, or characteristics. The list of
 463 codes should be relatively stable and should not be subject to frequent alterations (for example,
 464 CountryCode, LanguageCode, etc.). Code lists must have versions.

465 If the agency that manages the code list is not explicitly named and is specified using a role, then this
 466 takes place in an element type’s name.

467 The following types of code can be represented:

468 a.) Standardized codes whose code lists are managed by an agency from the code list DE 3055.

Code	Standard
CodeListID	Code list for standard code
CodeListVersionID	Code list version
CodeListAgencyID	Agency from DE 3055 (excluding roles)

469 b.) Proprietary codes whose code lists are managed by an agency that is identified by using a standard.

Code	Proprietary
CodeListID	Code list for the propriety code

CodeListVersionID	Version of the code list
CodeListAgencyID	Standardized ID for the agency (normally the company that manages the code list)
CodeListSchemeURI	ID schema for the schemeAgencyId
CodeListURI	Agency DE 3055 that manages the standardized ID 'listAgencyId'

470
471

c.) Proprietary codes whose code lists are managed by an agency that is identified without the use of a standard.

Code	Proprietary
CodeListID	Code list for the proprietary code
CodeListVersionID	Code list version
CodeListAgencyID	Standardized ID for the agency (normally the company that manages the code list)
CodeListSchemeURI	ID schema for the schemeAgencyId
CodeListURI	'ZZZ' (mutually defined from DE 3055)

472
473

d.) Proprietary codes whose code lists are managed by an agency that is specified by using a role or that is not specified at all.

474
475

The role is specified as a prefix in the tag name. listID and listVersionID can optionally be used as attributes if there is more than one code list. If there is only one code list, no attributes are required.

Code	Proprietary
CodeListID	ID schema for the proprietary identifier
CodeListVersionID	ID schema version

476

4 XML Schema representation of Code Lists

477

[3/9/04 MJB] This section still needs correction to match the needs of the library content subcommittee when they settle on the specific set of supplementary components necessary when a code list is used as an element or as an attribute.

478


479

480

This section describes how the data model is mapped to XML schema [XSD]. The code list mechanism described in this paper assumes that it will be used in the UBL context according to the following graphic that describes the type derivation hierarchy for code list and related schemas [UBL1-SD]:

481

482



483

484

Figure 1 UML Diagram of UBL Schemas type hierarchy

485

As shown in the figure, an abstract model of “any” UBL code list appears in a code list specific namespace.

486

487

Note that an instance of a code list is derived in several pieces – a simpleType that contains the actual content of the code list, and, a complexType with simple content that attaches the optional supplementary components to the enumeration. The following procedure describes the construction of a code list schema:

488

489

490

491

- Define an abstract element for inclusion in extensible schemas (future)

492

- Define a simpleType to hold the enumerated values

493

- Define a complexType to add the supplementary components

- 494 ▪ Define a global attribute to contain the enumerated values as an attribute and for supplementary
495 components as needed. (future)
- 496 ▪ Define an element that substitutes for the abstract type to enable usage in unextended schemas
497 (future)
- 498 ▪ Define a comprehensive URN to hold supplementary components that can qualify uniqueness of
499 usage (future)

500 **4.1 Data Model Mapping**

501 The following table summarizes the component mapping of the data model. Items in braces, “{}” are
502 references to the data model components. For example:

503 {code.name} represents the contents of the name of the code list, i.e. CountryCode;

504 “{code.name} Type” represents the contents of the name of the code list, i.e. “CountryCodeType”;

- | | |
|------------|---------------------|
| ○ UBL Name | ○ XMLSchema Mapping |
|------------|---------------------|

- o Code.Content

- o 1. Abstract element (Future)

```
<xs:element name="{code.name}A" type="xs:token"
abstract="true"/>
```

- o 2. Simple type to hold code list values and optional annotations

```
<xs:simpleType name="{code.name}Type">
  <xs:restriction base="xs:token">
    <xs:enumeration value="{code.content}"
      <xs:annotation>
        <xs:documentation>
          {code.description}
        </xs:documentation>
      </xs:annotation>
    </xs:enumeration>
    <xs:enumeration value="{code.content}"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="{code.content}"/>
    . . .
  </xs:restriction>
</xs:simpleType>
```

- o 3. Complex type to associate supplementary values with code list values that substitutes for the abstract type.

```
<xs:complexType name="{code.name}">
  <xs:annotation>
    <xs:documentation>
      <ccts:Instance>
        <!-- Data and values stored in this space
        are meant for instance-processing
        purposes, and are non-normative. -->
        <ccts:Prefix>loc</ccts:Prefix>
        <ccts:CodeListQualifier>{code.name}
          </ccts:CodeListQualifier>
        <ccts:CodeListAgency>{Code.listAgencyID}
          </ccts:CodeListAgency>
        <ccts:CodeListVersion>{Code.listVersionID}
          </ccts:CodeListVersion>
      </ccts:Instance>
    </xs:documentation>
  </xs:annotation>
  <xs:simpleContent>
    <xs:extension base="{Code.name}Type">
      <xs:attribute name="CodeListID"
        type="xs:token" fixed="{CodeListID}"/>
      <xs:attribute name="CodeListAgencyID"
        type="xs:token"
        fixed="{CodeListAgencyID}"/>
      <xs:attribute name="CodeListVersionID"
        type="xs:string"
        fixed="{CodeListVersionID}"/>
      . . . additional optional attributes
    </xs:extension>
  </xs:simpleContent>
</xs:complexType>
```

- o 4. Attribute (Future)

```
<xs:attribute name="{Code.name}"
type="{Code.name}ContentType"/>
```

- o 5. Element to substitute for abstract element in non-extended schemas (Future)

```
<xs:element name="{Code.name}"
type="{Code.name}Type"
substitutionGroup="{Code.name}TypeA"/>
```

o Code.Description	Xs:annotation/ xs:documentation/
o Code.Value	Xs:annotation/ xs:documentation/

505

4.2 Supplementary Components Mapping

506

The following table shows all supplementary components of the code type. It also shows the current representation by using attributes and the recommended optional representation by using namespaces and annotations.

507

508

UBL Name	Optional XMLSchema Mapping URN mapping	Optional complex type attribute mapping
name	xs:annotation/ xs:documentation/ cc:codename	o This is the default name of the implemented element and attribute above.
CodeListID	namespace (URN) 1. position Mandatory	<code><xs:attribute name="CodeListID" type="xs:normalizedString"/></code>
CodeListName	namespace (URN) 2. position Optional	<code><xs:attribute name="CodeListName" type="xs:string"/></code>
CodeListVersionID	namespace (URN) 3. position Mandatory	<code><xs:attribute name="CodeListVersionID" type="xs:normalizedString"/></code>
CodeListAgencyID	namespace (URN) 4. position Optional	<code><xs:attribute name="CodeListAgencyID" type="xs:normalizedString"/></code>
CodeListAgencyName	namespace (URN) 5. position optional	<code><xs:attribute name="CodeListAgencyName" type="xs:string"/></code>
CodeListURI	namespace (URN) 6. position optional	<code><xs:attribute name="CodeListURI" type="xs:anyURI"/></code>
CodeListSchemeURI	namespace (URN) 7. position optional	<code><xs:attribute name="CodeListSchemeURI" type="xs:normalizedString"/></code>
LanguageID		<code><xs:attribute name="LanguageID" type="xs:language"/></code>
CodeListNamespacePrefixID		<code><xs:attribute name="CodeListNamespacePrefixID" type="xs:normalizedString"/></code>
CodeListDescription		<code><xs:attribute name="CodeListDescription" type="xs:string"/></code>

CodeListCredits

```
<xs:attribute name="CodeListCredits" type="xs:string"/>
```

509

4.3 Namespace URN (Future)

510

The following construct represents the construct for the URN of a code list, according OASIS URN:

511

```
urn:oasis:tc:ubl:codeList:<CodeList.Identification.Identifier>:<CodeList.Name.Text>:<CodeList.Version.Identifier>:<CodeList.AgencyIdentifier>:<CodeList.AgencyName.Text>:<CodeList.AgencyScheme.Identifier>:<CodeList.AgencySchemeAgency.Identifier>
```

512

513

514

515

The first four parameters are fixed by Uniform Resource Name (URN) [see RFC 2141] and OASIS URN [see RFC 3121]:

516

517

- o urn --> leading token of URNs

518

- o oasis --> registered namespace ID "oasis"

519

- o tc --> Technical Committee Work Products

520

- o ubl --> From Technical Committee UBL (Universal Business Language)

521

- o The parameter "codeList" identifies the schema type "code list".

522

- o The following parameters from <Code List. Identifier> to <Code List. Agency Scheme Agency. Identifier> represents the specific code list supplementary components of the CCT codeType.

523

524

- o Example:

525

```
urn:oasis:tc:ubl:codeList:ISO639:Language%20Code:3:ISO:International%20Standardization%20Organization::
```

526

527

4.4 Namespace Prefix

528

REWORD THIS. Namespace prefix could be freely defined. However, it is helpful for better understanding, to identify the code lists by a convention of namespace prefixes.

529

530

The prefix provides the namespace prefix part of the qualified name of each code list. It is recommended that this prefix should contain the information of the supplementary component <Code List. Identification Identifier> and if it is necessary for separation, the information of the supplementary component <Code List. Version. Identifier> separated by a dash "-". All letters should be lower case.

531

532

533

534

Example:

535

```
iso639
```

536

```
iso639-3 (with version)
```

537

4.5 Code List Schema Generation

538

This section describes how to generate complete code list schemas from the data model of section 4.

539

4.5.1 Data model and example values

540

The code list model and supplementary components are listed in the following table. The first column contains the UBL name and the second column contains an example of the value(s) for that name. It is assumed that the UBL name is the proposed name for the schema element/attribute/simpleType/complexType etc....

541

542

543

544
545
546

The expressions ValueOf(<UBL Name>), and, {UBL Name}refer to the contents for a specific code list. The latter representation is used so that a substitution can be shown within the schema fragments generated.

UBL Name	Description	Sample ValueOf(<UBL Name>) ≡ {UBL Name}
Content	A character string (letters, figures or symbols) that for brevity and/or language independence may be used to represent or replace a definitive value or text of an <i>Attribute</i> .	<enumerated values>
Name	<enumerated value definitions> (if Content="USD" then Name = "US Dollars")	The textual name of the code content.
CodeListID	The identification of a list of codes.	ISO4217 Alpha
CodeListAgencyID	An agency that maintains one or more code lists.	6
CodeListAgencyName	The name of the agency that maintains the code list.	United Nations Economic Commission for Europe
CodeListName	The name of a list of codes.	Currency
CodeListVersionID	The <i>Version</i> of the code list.	0.3
CodeListURI	The Uniform Resource Identifier that identifies where the code list is located.	http://www.bsi-global.com/Technical%2BInformation/Publications/Publications/tig90x.doc
CodeListSchemeURI	The Uniform Resource Identifier that identifies where the code list scheme is located.	urn:oasis:names:tc:ubl:codelist:CurrencyCode:1:0-draft-8-11
LanguageID	The identifier of the language used in the corresponding text string	En
CodeListNamespacePrefixID	The namespace prefix recommended for this code list. Should be based on the CodeListID.	cur
CodeListDescription	Describes the set of codes	The set of world currencies
CodeListCredits	Acknowledges the source and ownership of codes	Derived from the ISO 4217 currency code list and used under the terms of the ISO policy stated at http://www.iso.org/iso/en/commcentre/pressreleases/2003/Ref871.html .

547

4.5.2 Schema to generate

548
549

This section describes the specific steps required to generate a schema from the above model. Each step shows two schema fragments – one that is a template for generating the schema, and, the second one

550 that is an example schema generated. In the template sections, the places where values from the
551 spreadsheet model are inserted are shown in braces, and are colored green –
552 e.g. "{CodeListAgencyID}" means substitute the value "6".

553 4.5.3 Schema file name

554 The name of this schema file should be:

555 `UBL-CodeList-{CodeListName}-{CodeListVersionID}.xsd`

556 For example:

557 `UBL-CodeList-CurrencyCode-1.0.xsd`

558 4.5.3.1 Generate XML header

559 Template, Sample are the same:

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<!--
Universal Business Language (UBL) Schema 1.0-draft-10.1

Copyright (C) OASIS Open (2004). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and
derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its
implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or
in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright
notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works.
However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by
removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as needed for the
purpose of developing OASIS specifications, in which case the procedures for
copyrights defined in the OASIS Intellectual Property Rights document must be
followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by
OASIS or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS"
basis and OASIS DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT
LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT
INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR
A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

=====

For our absent friend, Michael J. Adcock - il miglior fabbro

=====

Universal Business Language Specification
(http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/tc\_home.php?wg\_abbrev=ubl)
OASIS Open (http://www.oasis-open.org/)

Schema generated by GEFEG EDIFIX v5.0-beta
(http://www.gefeg.com/en/standard/xml/ubl.htm)

Document Type:   CurrencyCode
Generated On:    Fri Mar 26 14:30:20 2004
-->
```

560 4.5.3.2 Generate XML Schema header

561 **Template:**

```
<xs:schema
  targetNamespace="{CodeListSchemeURI}"
  xmlns="{CodeListSchemeURI}"
  xmlns:xsd="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
  elementFormDefault="qualified" attributeFormDefault="unqualified" version="1:0-draft-7.1">
```

562

Sample:

```
<xs:schema
  targetNamespace="urn:oasis:names:tc:ubl:codelist:CurrencyCode:1:0-draft-7.1"
  xmlns="urn:oasis:names:tc:ubl:codelist:CurrencyCode:1:0-draft-7.1"
  xmlns:xsd="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
  elementFormDefault="qualified" attributeFormDefault="unqualified" version="1:0-draft-7.1">
```

563

4.5.3.3 Generate abstract element (Future)

564

Template:

```
<xs:element name="{CodeListName}Abstract" type="xs:string" abstract="true"/> {i would prefer to make the meaning of this clear}
```

565

Sample:

```
<xs:element name="CurrencyCodeAbstract" type="xs:normalizedString" abstract="true"/>
```

566

4.5.3.4 Generate simple type to contain the enumerated values

567

Template:

```
<xs:simpleType name="{CodeListName}ContentType">
  <xs:restriction base="xs:string">
    <xs:enumeration value="{first Content}"
      <xs:annotation>
        <xs:documentation>
          <CodeName>{first Name}</CodeName>
        </xs:documentation>
      </xs:annotation>
    </xs:enumeration>
    ...
    <xs:enumeration value="{last Content}"
      <xs:annotation>
        <xs:documentation>
          <CodeName>{last Name}</CodeName>
        </xs:documentation>
      </xs:annotation>
    </xs:enumeration>
  </xs:restriction>
</xs:simpleType>
```

568

Sample:

```

<xs:simpleType name="CurrencyCodeContentType">
  <xs:restriction base="xs:string">
    <xs:enumeration value="AED">
      <xs:annotation>
        <xs:documentation>
          <CodeName>UAE Dirham</CodeName>
        </xs:documentation>
      </xs:annotation>
    </xs:enumeration>
    <xs:enumeration value="ALL">
      <xs:annotation>
        <xs:documentation>
          <CodeName>Albanian Lek</CodeName>
        </xs:documentation>
      </xs:annotation>
    </xs:enumeration>
    <xs:enumeration value="AMD">
      <xs:annotation>
        <xs:documentation>
          <CodeName>Armenian Dram</CodeName>
        </xs:documentation>
      </xs:annotation>
    </xs:enumeration>
    <xs:enumeration value="ANG"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="AOA"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="XDR"/>
    ...
    <xs:enumeration value="ZAR"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="ZMK"/>
    <xs:enumeration value="ZWD"/>
  </xs:restriction>
</xs:simpleType>

```

569

4.5.3.5 Generate complex type to hold enumerated values and supplemental components

570

571

Template:

```

<xs:complexType name="{CodeListName}Type">
  <xs:annotation>
    <xsd:documentation>
      <ccts:Component>
        <ccts:ComponentType>DT</ccts:ComponentType>
        <ccts:DictionaryEntryName>Code. Type</ccts:DictionaryEntryName>
        <ccts:RepresentationTerm>Code</ccts:RepresentationTerm>
        <ccts:DataTypeQualifier>Currency</ccts:DataTypeQualifier>
        <ccts:DataType>Code. Type</ccts:DataType>
      </ccts:Component>
      <ccts:Instance>
        <ccts:CodeListID>{CodeListID}</ccts:CodeListID>
        <ccts:CodeListAgencyID>{CodeListAgencyID}</ccts:CodeListAgencyID>
        <ccts:CodeListAgencyName>{CodeListAgencyName}</ccts:CodeListAgencyName>
        <ccts:CodeListName>{CodeListName}</ccts:CodeListName>
        <ccts:CodeListVersionID>{CodeListVersionID}</ccts:CodeListVersionID>
        <ccts:CodeListUniformResourceID>{CodeListURI}</ccts:CodeListUniformResourceID>
        <ccts:CodeListSchemeUniformResourceID>{CodeListSchemeURI}</ccts:CodeListSchemeUniformResourceID>
        <ccts:LanguageID>{LanguageID}</ccts:LanguageID>
      </ccts:Instance>
    </xsd:documentation>
  </xs:annotation>
  <xs:simpleContent>
    <xs:extension base="{CodeListName}ContentType">
      <xs:attribute name="name" type="xs:string" use="optional"/> ?????????
      <xs:attribute name="codeListID" type="xs:normalizedString" fixed="{CodeListID}"/>
      <xs:attribute name="codeListAgencyID" type="xs:normalizedString"
        fixed="{CodeListAgencyID}"/>
      <xs:attribute name="codeListAgencyName" type="xs:normalizedString"
        fixed="{CodeListAgencyName}"/>
      <xs:attribute name="codeListName" type="xs:string" fixed="{CodeListName}"/>
      <xs:attribute name="codeListVersionID" type="xs:string"
        fixed="{CodeListVersionID}"/>
      <xs:attribute name="codeListURI" type="xs:anyURI" fixed="{CodeListURI}"/>
      <xs:attribute name="codeListSchemeURI" type="xs:anyURI"
        fixed="{CodeListSchemeURI}"/>
      <xs:attribute name="languageID" type="xs:language" fixed="{LanguageID}"/>
    </xs:extension>
  </xs:simpleContent>
</xs:complexType>

```

```

<xs:complexType name="CurrencyCodeType">
  <xs:annotation>
    <xsd:documentation>
      <ccts:Component>
        <ccts:ComponentType>DT</ccts:ComponentType>
        <ccts:DictionaryEntryName>Code. Type</ccts:DictionaryEntryName>
        <ccts:RepresentationTerm>Code</ccts:RepresentationTerm>
        <ccts:DataTypeQualifier>Currency</ccts:DataTypeQualifier>
        <ccts:DataType>Code. Type</ccts:DataType>
      </ccts:Component>
      <ccts:Instance>
        <ccts:CodeListID>ISO 4217 Alpha</ccts:CodeListID>
        <ccts:CodeListAgencyID>6</ccts:CodeListAgencyID>
        <ccts:CodeListAgencyName>United Nations Economic Commission for
Europe</ccts:CodeListAgencyName>
        <ccts:CodeListName>Currency</ccts:CodeListName>
        <ccts:CodeListVersionID>0.3</ccts:CodeListVersionID>
        <ccts:CodeListUniformResourceID>
          http://www.bsi-global.com/Technical%2BInformation
          /Publications/_Publications/tig90x.doc </ccts:CodeListUniformResourceID>
        <ccts:CodeListSchemeUniformResourceID>
          urn:oasis:names:tc:ubl:codelist:CurrencyCode:1:0-draft-10.1
        </ccts:CodeListSchemeUniformResourceID>
        <ccts:LanguageID>en</ccts:LanguageID>
      </ccts:Instance>
    </xsd:documentation>
  </xs:annotation>
  <xs:simpleContent>
    <xs:extension base="CurrencyCodeContentType">
      <xsd:attribute name="name" type="xsd:string" use="optional"/>
      <xsd:attribute name="codeListID" type="xsd:normalizedString" use="optional"
        fixed="ISO 4217 Alpha"/>
      <xsd:attribute name="codeListAgencyID" type="xsd:normalizedString" use="optional"
        fixed="6"/>
      <xsd:attribute name="codeListAgencyName" type="xsd:string" use="optional"
        fixed="United Nations Economic Commission for Europe"/>
      <xsd:attribute name="codeListName" type="xsd:string" use="optional"
        fixed="Currency"/>
      <xsd:attribute name="codeListVersionID" type="xsd:normalizedString" use="optional"
        fixed="0.3"/>
      <xsd:attribute name="codeListURI" type="xsd:anyURI" use="optional"
        fixed="http://www.bsi-global.com/
          Technical%2BInformation/Publications/_Publications/tig90x.doc"/>
      <xsd:attribute name="codeListSchemeURI" type="xsd:anyURI" use="optional"
        fixed="urn:oasis:names:tc:ubl:codelist:CurrencyCode:1:0-draft-10.1"/>
      <xsd:attribute name="languageID" type="xsd:language" use="optional" fixed="en"/>
    </xs:extension>
  </xs:simpleContent>
</xs:complexType>

```

573

4.5.3.6 Generate global attributes to allow usage of code lists as an attribute (Future)

574

575

Template:

```

<xs:attribute name="{CodeListName}" type="{CodeListName}ContentType"/>
<xs:attribute name="codeListID" type="xs:normalizedString" fixed="{CodeListID}"/>
<xs:attribute name="codeListAgencyID" type="xs:normalizedString" fixed="{CodeListAgencyID}"/>
<xs:attribute name="codeListAgencyName" type="xs:string"
    fixed="{CodeListAgencyName}"/>
<xs:attribute name="codeListVersionID" type="xs:normalizedString" fixed="{CodeListVersionID}"/>
<xs:attribute name="codeListName" type="xs:string" fixed="{CodeListName}"/>
<xs:attribute name="name" type="xs:normalizedString" fixed="{name}"/>
<xs:attribute name="codeListURI" type="xs:anyURI" fixed="{CodeListURI}"/>
<xs:attribute name="codeListSchemeURI" type="xs:anyURI" fixed="{CodeListSchemeURI}"/>
<xs:attribute name="languageID" type="xs:normalizedString" fixed="{LanguageID}"/>

```

576

Sample:

```

<xs:attribute name="CurrencyCode" type="CurrencyCodeContentType"/>
<xs:attribute name="name" type="xs:normalizedString" fixed="cur"/>
<xs:attribute name="codeListID" type="xs:normalizedString" fixed="ISO 4217 Alpha"/>
<xs:attribute name="codeListAgencyID" type="xs:normalizedString" fixed="6"/>
<xs:attribute name="codeListAgencyName" type="xs:string"
    fixed="United Nations Economic Commission for Europe"/>
<xs:attribute name="codeListVersionID" type="xs:normalizedString" fixed="0.3"/>
<xs:attribute name="codeListName" type="xs:string" fixed="CurrencyCode"/>
<xs:attribute name="codeListURI" type="xs:anyURI"
    fixed="http://www.bsi-global.com/Technical%2BInformation/Publications/_Publications/tig90x.doc"/>
<xs:attribute name="codeListSchemeURI" type="xs:anyURI"
    fixed="urn:oasis:names:tc:ubl:odelist:CurrencyCode:1:0-draft-8-1"/>
<xs:attribute name="languageID" type="xs:language" fixed="en"/>

```

577

4.5.3.7 Generate global element to allow usage of code list as an element (Future)

578

Template:

```

<xs:element name="{CodeListName}" type="{CodeListName}Type"
    substitutionGroup="{CodeListName}Abstract"/>

```

579

Sample:

```

<xs:element name="CurrencyCode" type="CurrencyCodeType"
    substitutionGroup="CurrencyCodeAbstract"/>

```

580

4.5.3.8 End of schema

581

Template:

```

</xs:schema>

```

582

Sample:

```

</xs:schema>

```

583

4.6 Code List Schema Usage

584

For every code list, there exists a specific code list schema. This code list schema must have a targetNamespace with the UBL specific code list namespace and have a prefix with the code list identifier itself.

585

586

587

The element in the code list schema can be used for the representation as a global declared element in the document schemas. The name of the element is the UBL tag name of the specific BIE for a code.

588

589

The simpleType represents the possible codes and the characteristics of the code content. The name of the simpleType must be always ended with ". Content". Within the simpleType is a restriction of the XSD built-in data type "xs:token". This restriction includes the specific facets "length", "minLength", "maxLength" and "pattern" for regular expressions to describe the specific characteristics of each code list.

590

591

592

593

594
595
596

Each code will be represented by the facet “enumeration” after the characteristics. The value of each enumeration represents the specific code value and the annotation includes the further definition of each code, like “Code. Name”, “Language. Identifier” and the description.

597

The schema definitions to support this might look as follows:

598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema
  targetNamespace="urn:oasis:ubl:codeList:ISO3166:Locale%20Code:3:5:ISO::"
  xmlns:iso3166="urn:oasis:ubl:codeList:ISO3166: Locale%20Code:3:5:ISO::"
  xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
  elementFormDefault="qualified" attributeFormDefault="unqualified">

  <xs:element name="LocaleCodeTypeA" type="xs:token"
    abstract="true">
    <xs:annotation>
      <xs:documentation>
        An abstract place holder for a code list element
      </xs:documentation>
    </xs:annotation>
  </xs:element>

  <xs:simpleType name="LocaleCodeContentType">
    <xs:restriction base="xs:token">
      <xs:enumeration value="DE"/>
      <xs:enumeration value="FR"/>
      <xs:enumeration value="US"/>
      . . .
    </xs:restriction>
  </xs:simpleType>

  <xs:complexType name="LocaleCodeType">
    <xs:annotation>
      <xs:documentation>
        <ccts:Instance>
          <!-- Data and values stored in this space
            are meant for instance-processing purposes, and are
            non-normative. -->
          <ccts:Prefix>loc</ccts:Prefix>
          <ccts:CodeListQualifier>LocaleCode</ccts:CodeListQualifier>
          <ccts:CodeListAgency>ISO3166</ccts:CodeListAgency>
          <ccts:CodeListVersion>0.3</ccts:CodeListVersion>
        </ccts:Instance>
      </xs:documentation>
    </xs:annotation>
    <xs:simpleContent>
      <xs:extension base=" LocaleCodeType">
        <xs:attribute name="CodeListID" type="xs:token" fixed="ISO3166"/>
        <xs:attribute name="CodeListAgencyID" type="xs:token" fixed="6"/>
        <xs:attribute name="CodeListVersionID" type="xs:string" fixed="0.3"/>
        . . . additional optional attributes
      </xs:extension>
    </xs:simpleContent>
  </xs:complexType>

  <xs:element name="LocaleCode" type="LocaleCodeType"
    substitutionGroup="LocaleCodeTypeA">
    <xs:annotation>
      <xs:documentation>
        A substitution for the abstract element based
        on aStdEnum
      </xs:documentation>
    </xs:annotation>
  </xs:element>
```

```

656
657 <xs:attribute name="{Code.name}" type="{Code.name}ContentType">
658   <xs:annotation>
659     <xs:documentation>
660       A global attribute for use inside an element
661     </xs:documentation>
662   </xs:annotation>
663 </xs:attribute/>
664
665
666 </xs:schema>
667

```

668 4.7 Instance

669 The enumerated list method results in instance documents with the following structures.

```

670 <LocaleCode>US</LocaleCode>
671
672 <iso3166:LocaleCode>US</iso3166:LocaleCode>
673
674 <PostCode iso3166:LocaleCode="FQ">20878</PostCode>
675
676

```

677 4.8 Deriving New Code Lists from Old Ones (future)

678 In order to promote maximum reusability and ease code lists maintenance, code list designers are
679 expected to build new code lists from existing lists. They could for example combine several code lists or
680 restrict an existing code list.

681 These new code lists must be usable in UBL elements the same manner the "basic" code lists are used.

682 4.8.1 Extending code lists

683 The base schema shown above could be extended to support new codes as follows:

```

684 <xs:schema targetNamespace="cust"
685   xmlns:std="std"
686   xmlns="cust"
687   xmlns:cust="custom"
688   xmlns:xs=http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema
689   elementFormDefault="qualified"
690   attributeFormDefault="unqualified">
691
692   <xs:import namespace="std"
693     schemaLocation="D:\_PROJECT\NIST\XMLSchema\test0513\std.xsd"/>
694
695   <xs:element name="LocaleCode" substitutionGroup="std:LocaleCodeA">
696     <xs:annotation>
697       <xs:documentation>A substitute for the abstract LocaleCodeA
698         that extends the enumeration
699       </xs:documentation>
700     </xs:annotation>
701     <xs:simpleType>
702       <xs:union memberTypes="std:aStdEnum">
703         <xs:simpleType>
704           <xs:restriction base="xs:token">
705             <xs:enumeration value="IL"/>
706             <xs:enumeration value="GR"/>
707           </xs:restriction>
708         </xs:simpleType>

```



```
709     </xs:union>
710   </xs:simpleType>
711 </xs:element>
712 </xs:schema>
```

713 4.8.2 Restricting code lists

714 The base schema shown above could be restricted to support a subset of codes as follows:

```
715 <xs:import namespace="std"
716   schemaLocation="D:\_PROJECT\NIST\XMLSchema\test0513\std.xsd"/>
717 <xs:element name="LocaleCode" substitutionGroup="std:LocaleCodeA">
718   <xs:annotation>
719     <xs:documentation>
720       A substitute for the abstract LocaleCodeA that restricts
721       the enumeration
722     </xs:documentation>
723   </xs:annotation>
724   <xs:simpleType>
725     <xs:restriction base="xs:token">
726       <xs:enumeration value="DE"/>
727       <xs:enumeration value="US"/>
728     </xs:restriction>
729   </xs:simpleType>
730 </xs:element>
```

731

5 Conformance to UBL Code Lists (future)

732
733

This section is for Producers of Code Lists outside of UBL. These lists could be owned by a number of different types of organizations.

734
735
736
737

We probably need a Conformance section in this document so that code list producers (who, in general, won't be UBL itself) will know how/when to claim conformance to the requirements (MUST) and recommendations (SHOULD/MAY) in this specification. This spec is not for the UBL TC, but for code list producers (which may occasionally include UBL itself).

738

6 References

- 739 **[3166-XSD]** UN/ECE XSD code list module for ISO 3166-1,
740 **[CCTS2.01]** *UN/CEFACT Core Components Technical Specification – Part 8 of the ebXML*
741 *Framework*, 15 November 2003, Version 2.01.
- 742 **[CLSC]** OASIS UBL Code List Subcommittee. Portal: [http://www.oasis-](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/sc_home.php?wg_abbrev=ubl-clsc)
743 open.org/committees/sc_home.php?wg_abbrev=ubl-clsc . Email archive:
744 <http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/ubl-clsc/>.
- 745 **[SPENCER]** [http://www.oasis-open.org/apps/org/workgroup/ubl-](http://www.oasis-open.org/apps/org/workgroup/ubl-clsc/download.php/5195/Spencer-CodeList-PositionPaper1-0.pdf)
746 clsc/download.php/5195/Spencer-CodeList-PositionPaper1-0.pdf
- 747 **[STUHEC]** <need reference>
- 748 **[COATES]** [http://www.oasis-open.org/apps/org/workgroup/ubl-](http://www.oasis-open.org/apps/org/workgroup/ubl-clsc/download.php/4522/draft-coates-codeListDataModels-0p2.doc)
749 clsc/download.php/4522/draft-coates-codeListDataModels-0p2.doc
- 750 **[CLTemplate]** OASIS UBL Naming and Design Rules code list module template,
751 <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/ubl/ndrsc/archive/>.
- 752 **[eBSC]** “eBusiness Standards Convergence Forum”, <http://www.nist.gov/ebsc>.
- 753 **[eBSCMemo]** M. Burns, S. Damodaran, F. Yang, “Draft Code List Implementation description”,
754 [http://www.oasis-open.org/apps/org/workgroup/ubl-](http://www.oasis-open.org/apps/org/workgroup/ubl-clsc/download.php/4503/nistTOUbl20031119.zip)
755 clsc/download.php/4503/nistTOUbl20031119.zip
- 756 **[NDR]** M. Cournane et al., *Universal Business Language (UBL) Naming and Design*
757 *Rules*, OASIS, 2002, [http://www.oasis-](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/ubl/ndrsc/archive/wd-ublNdrsc-ndrdoc-nn/)
758 open.org/committees/ubl/ndrsc/archive/wd-ublNdrsc-ndrdoc-nn/.
- 759 **[RFC2119]** S. Bradner, *Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels*,
760 <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt>, IETF RFC 2119, March 1997.
- 761 **[CL5]** [http://www.oasis-open.org/apps/org/workgroup/ubl-](http://www.oasis-open.org/apps/org/workgroup/ubl-clsc/download.php/4502/wd-ublNdrsc-codelist-05_las_20030702.doc)
762 clsc/download.php/4502/wd-ublNdrsc-codelist-05_las_20030702.doc
- 763 **[ISO 11179]** [http://www.iso.org/iso/en/StandardsQueryFormHandler.StandardsQueryFormHa](http://www.iso.org/iso/en/StandardsQueryFormHandler.StandardsQueryFormHandler?scope=CATALOGUE&keyword=&isoNumber=11179)
764 ndler?scope=CATALOGUE&keyword=&isoNumber=11179
765
- 766 **[UBL1-SD]** <http://ibiblio.org/bosak/ubl/UBL-1.0/art/UBL-1.0-SchemaDependency.jpg>
- 767 **[UNTTDED 3055]** <need reference>
- 768 **[XSD]** *XML Schema*, W3C Recommendations Parts 0, 1, and 2. 2 May 2001.
769 <http://www.unece.org/etrades/unedocs/repository/codelist.htm>.

Appendix A. Revision History

Revision	Editor	Description
2004-01-13	Marty Burns	First complete version converted from NDR revision 05
2004-01-14	Marty Burns	Minor edit of chapter heading 3 & 4
2004-01-20	Marty Burns	Incorporated descriptions from AS and KH
2004-02-06	Marty Burns	Cleaned up requirements and other sections – removed some redundant content from merge of contributions. Explicitly identified Data Model and Metadata models separately from XML representations of the same.
2004-02-11	Marty Burns	Added comments from 2/11 conference call
2004-02-29	Marty Burns	Added resolutions from February Face to Face meeting
2004-03-03	Marty Burns	Incorporated Tim McGrath's corrections of data model
2004-03-09	Marty Burns	Addressed Eve Maler's comments Addressed Tony Coates comments Addressed 2004-03-03 telecon comments Added some elaboration of the model usage in ubl
2004-03-15	Marty Burns	Added example mapping schema paper to section 4.6
2004-03-23	Marty Burns	Added data model for supplementary components, Marked future features for UBL 1.1 as (future) Added comment about UBL 1.0 release vs. future.
2004-04-01	Marty Burns	Clean up for UBL version 1.0
2004-04-14	Marty Burns	Incorporated suggested edits from GKH
2005-01-02	Marty Burns	Incorporated elaborations of requirements for better clarity to kick off the UBL 1.1 revisions. Incorporated comments from Tony Coates.

771

Appendix B. Notices

772 OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that
773 might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or
774 the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it
775 represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on OASIS's procedures with
776 respect to rights in OASIS specifications can be found at the OASIS website. Copies of claims of rights
777 made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an
778 attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by
779 implementors or users of this specification, can be obtained from the OASIS Executive Director.

780 OASIS invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications,
781 or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to implement this
782 specification. Please address the information to the OASIS Executive Director.

783 Copyright © OASIS Open 2004. *All Rights Reserved.*

784 This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that
785 comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published
786 and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice
787 and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself
788 does not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS,
789 except as needed for the purpose of developing OASIS specifications, in which case the procedures for
790 copyrights defined in the OASIS Intellectual Property Rights document must be followed, or as required to
791 translate it into languages other than English.

792 The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its successors
793 or assigns.

794 This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS
795 DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY
796 WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR
797 ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.